

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LKQ CORPORATION and  
KEYSTONE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRIES, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

PGR2020-00055  
Patent D855,508 S

---

Before KEN B. BARRETT, SCOTT A. DANIELS, ROBERT L. KINDER,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

KINDER, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Unopposed Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of  
Joseph A. Herriges  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

GM Global Technology Operations LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Joseph A. Herriges in the above-listed proceeding (“Motion”). Paper 7. Patent Owner also filed a supporting declaration from Mr. Herriges. Ex. 2001. Patent Owner states that the Motion is unopposed. Paper 7, 1.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In its notice authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in this proceeding. *See* Paper 3, 2 (citing *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

The Board has reviewed the submissions and determined that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met, and that there is good cause to admit Mr. Herriges *pro hac vice*.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that the Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Joseph A. Herriges is *granted* and Mr. Herriges is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file an updated mandatory notice identifying Mr. Herriges as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that a registered practitioner will continue to represent Patent Owner as lead counsel in the above-listed proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Herriges shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, as updated by the Consolidated Office Patent Trial Practice Guide (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)) and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations;<sup>1</sup> and

FURTHER ORDERED that that Mr. Herriges is subject to the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

---

<sup>1</sup> The Declaration states that "I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of *the* Code of Federal Regulations." Ex. 2001 ¶ 5. The Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials are set forth in Part 42 of *Title 37*, Code of Federal Regulations. We deem this harmless error.

PGR2020-00055  
Patent D855,508 S

PETITIONER:

Barry F. Irwin  
Reid Huefner  
IRWIN IP LLC  
birwin@irwinip.com  
rhuefner@irwinip.com

PATENT OWNER:

Dorothy P. Whelen  
Jennifer Huang  
Craig A. Deutsch  
Grace J. Kim  
Joseph A. Herriges (Pro Hac Vice)  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
IPR45343-0018IP1@fr.com  
PTABInbound@fr.com  
whelan@fr.com  
jjh@fr.com  
deutsch@fr.com  
gkim@fr.com  
jhuang@fr.com  
herriges@fr.com