

Patent No. 10,406,432 —Petition for Post Grant Review

Filed on behalf of Supercell Oy

By:

RAJIV P. PATEL, Reg. No 39,327

JENNIFER R. BUSH, Reg. No. 50,784

KEVIN X. McGANN, Reg. No. 48,793

MICHAEL J. SACKSTEDER (*pro hac vice*)

GUANG Y. ZHANG, Reg. No. 70,901

GEOFFREY R. MILLER (*pro hac vice*)

FENWICK & WEST LLP

801 California Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

Telephone: (650) 988-8500

Facsimile: (650) 938-5200

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SUPERCCELL OY,
Petitioner

v.

GREE, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Post Grant Review No. _____
Patent 10,406,432 B2

**PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT 10,406,432**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF EXHIBITS	xi
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 CFR § 42.8(A)(1)).....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(1))	1
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C. Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(3))	1
D. Service of Information (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(4)).....	2
III. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS	2
A. Timing	2
B. Grounds for Standing (37 CFR § 42.204(a))	2
IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE ‘432 PATENT.....	3
A. Specification.....	3
1. Functionality	3
2. System Description	6
B. Prosecution History	8
V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 CFR § 42.204(B) AND RELIEF REQUESTED	9
A. Effective Filing Date of the Challenged Claims	9

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
B. Claims for Which PGR Is Requested, Precise Relief Requested, and Specific Statutory Grounds on Which the Challenge Is Based [37 CFR § 42.204(b)(1) & 37 CFR § 42.204(b)(2)].....	10
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	11
D. Claim Construction (37 CFR § 42.204(b)(3)).....	11
1. The Claimed Invention.....	13
2. Conditional Limitations	17
VI. IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE ‘432 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	20
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-9 Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. §101	20
1. Patentable Eligibility Under 35 U.S.C. §101	20
2. The 2019 Eligibility Guidance Was Not Addressed During Prosecution.	24
3. Prong One of Alice Step 1: Claims of the ‘432 Patent Recite the Abstract Idea of Providing Information Based on Movement of a Player’s Gaze.	25
a. Providing Information Based on a Movement of a Player’s Gaze Is a Mental Process and a Longstanding Method of Organizing Human Activity	25
b. Providing Information Based on a Player’s Gaze is a Manually Achievable Purpose.	28

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
c. The Conditional Language of the Claims Does Not Require Certain Steps to Be Executed and Are Impermissibly Broad.	31
4. Prong Two of Alice Step 1: Claims 1-9 of the ‘432 Patent Do Not Recite a Practical Application of the Abstract Idea	31
a. The Additional Elements Do No More than Implement the Abstract Idea on a Computer.....	32
b. The Claims Are Not Directed to an Improvement in Computer Functionality or Other Technology.	33
c. Contrary to Statements Made in Prosecution, the Claims Are Not Similar to those in <i>Thales Visionix</i> and <i>Core Wireless</i> , but Rather to Those in <i>In re Smith</i> and <i>Electric Power Group</i>	34
5. Alice Step 2: Claims 1-9 of the ‘432 Patent Provide No “Inventive Concept.”	38
6. The Dependent Claims Add Nothing Inventive.	39
B. Ground 2: Claims 1-9 of the ‘432 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) for Lack of Written Description.....	41
1. Claims 1-9 of the ‘432 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) because the specification of the ‘432 patent fails to provide adequate written description of the “first area” and the “second area.”	42

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
2. Claims 1-9 of the ‘432 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §112(a) for lack of written description because the specification of the ‘432 patent fails to provide adequate written description of determining a “position and direction”, “reference range”, and “predetermined movement” of the “body part of the player”	43
C. Ground 3: Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, and 9 of the ‘432 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. §102 as Being Anticipated by Ross.	45
1. Ross Anticipates Independent Claims 1, 8, and 9.....	46
a. Ross Anticipates the Preamble of Claims 1, 8, and 9.....	46
b. Ross Anticipates “detecting, with a sensor operationally linked to the virtual image display apparatus, a movement of a body part of a player, the body part comprising..., and the sensor being...” as Recited in Claims 1, 8, and 9.	48
c. Ross Anticipates “determining...a position and direction of the body part of a player” as Recited in Claims 1, 8, and 9.....	49
d. Ross Anticipates “displaying, on a display...in accordance with the position and direction of the body part of the player, an image of a virtual space including a first area and a second area” as Recited in Claims 1, 8, and 9.....	50

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.