

PGR2020-00063

Petitioner's Request for Rehearing

Filed on behalf of Supercell Oy

By:

RAJIV P. PATEL, Reg. No 39,327

JENNIFER R. BUSH, Reg. No. 50,784

KEVIN X. McGANN, Reg. No. 48,793

MICHAEL J. SACKSTEDER (*pro hac vice*)

GEOFFREY R. MILLER (*pro hac vice*)

EMILY J. BULLIS (*pro hac vice*)

FENWICK & WEST LLP

801 California Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

Telephone: (650) 988-8500

Facsimile: (650) 938-5200

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SUPERCCELL OY,  
Petitioner

v.

GREE, INC.,  
Patent Owner

Case No. PGR2020-00063

Patent 10,406,432 B2

---

**PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.71**

**EXHIBIT LIST (37 CFR § 42.63(e))**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,406,432 to Watanabe
1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 10,406,432
1003	Declaration of Joseph LaViola, Ph.D.
1004	U.S. Patent No. 9,392,212 to Ross
1005	Chris Lankford., Nov. 2000, "Effective eye-gaze input into Windows." In Proceedings of the 2000 symposium on Eye tracking research & applications, (ETRA '00, Nov. 6-8, 2000, Palm Beach Gardens, FL), Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 23-27, ISBN: 1-58113-280-8
1006	U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 20160093105 to Rimon
1007	"Unify Community" – Unity Game Engine Wiki – "Object Label" Article ("ObjectLabel"), available at <a href="http://wiki.unity3d.com/index.php?title=ObjectLabel">http://wiki.unity3d.com/index.php?title=ObjectLabel</a> (last updated Nov. 23, 2014)
1008	U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 20150153913 to Ballard
1009	Bowman, D. A., Poupyrev, I., Kruijff, E., LaViola, J. J. (2005), 3D User Interfaces: Theory and Practice, Germany: Addison-Wesley
1010	Robert Jacob, April 1990, "Eye Movement-Based Interaction Techniques," Proceedings of the SIGCHI '90 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, (CHI '90, April 1-5, 1990, Seattle, WA, USA), ACM Press, New York, NY, USA, 11-18
1011	Linda Sibert et al., April 2000, "Evaluation of Eye Gaze Interaction" Proceedings of the SIGCHI '00 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, (CHI '00, April 1-6, 2000, The Hague, Netherlands), ACM Press, New York, NY, USA, 281-288

Exhibit	Description
1012	LaViola, J., "3D Gestural Interaction: The State of the Field," ISRN Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 2013, Article ID 514641, 18 pages, 2013
1013	Invalidity Chart – Primary Reference: Ross
1014	Invalidity Chart – Primary Reference: Ballard
1015	Internet Archive Copy of Ex. 1007 Dated Feb. 16, 2015
1016	Declaration of Guang Y Zhang for Exhibit 1015
1017	Curriculum Vitae of Joseph J. LaViola, Ph.D.
1018	Decision, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , No. 19-1864 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 19, 2020)

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
INTRODUCTION AND REQUESTED RELIEF.....	1
LEGAL STANDARD.....	1
BASIS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF.....	2
I. Patent Owner’s Misrepresentation Caused the Board to Misapprehend Petitioner’s § 101 Arguments .....	2
II. The Board Overlooked Several Differences Between Petitioner’s § 101 Arguments and Those Considered by the Office .....	4
A. Petitioner’s Argument No. 1 .....	4
B. Petitioner’s Argument No. 2 .....	5
C. Petitioner’s Argument No. 3 .....	6
D. Petitioner’s Argument No. 4 .....	7
E. Petitioner’s Argument No. 5 .....	8
III. The Result Is Inconsistent With Eight Other Cases Between the Parties in Which the Board Found the Claims Ineligible.....	10

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	5
<i>In re Smith</i> , 815 F.3d 816 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	13
<i>In re TLI Commc 'ns</i> , 823 F.3d at 612-13 .....	8
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	2
<i>Internet Patents Corp. v. Active Network, Inc.</i> , 790 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	3, 6, 7, 8
<i>Tranxition, Inc. v. Lenovo (U.S.), Inc.</i> 664 F. App'x 968 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	3, 5, 6
<b>STATUTES AND RULES</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 101 .....	<i>passim</i>
<b>OTHER AUTHORITIES</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.71 .....	1

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.