

Patent No. 10,518,177 — Petition for Post-Grant Review

Filed on behalf of Supercell Oy

By:

BRIAN HOFFMAN, Reg. No. 39,713

MICHAEL J. SACKSTEDER (*pro hac vice*)

KEVIN X. MCGANN, Reg. No. 48,793

JENNIFER R. BUSH, Reg. No. 50,784

GREGORY HOPEWELL, Reg. No. 66,012

GEOFFREY MILLER (*pro hac vice*)

FENWICK & WEST LLP

555 California Street, 12th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: 415.875.2300

Facsimile: 415.281.1350

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SUPERCCELL OY,
Petitioner,

v.

GREE, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Post Grant Review No. _____
Patent 10,518,177 B2

**PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT 10,518,177**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 CFR § 42.8(a)(1)).....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(1))	1
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C. Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(3)).....	1
D. Service of Information (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(4)).....	2
III. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS	2
A. Timing	2
B. Grounds for Standing (37 CFR § 42.204(a))	2
IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE '177 PATENT.....	2
A. Specification.....	2
B. Prosecution History	7
V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 CFR § 42.204(b) AND RELIEF REQUESTED	9
A. Effective Filing Date of the Challenged Claims	9
B. Claims for Which PGR Is Requested, Precise Relief Requested, and Specific Statutory Grounds on Which the Challenge Is Based	10
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	10
D. Claim Construction (37 CFR § 42.204(b)(3)).....	11
1. The Claimed Invention.....	12
2. Ordinary and Customary Meaning.....	15

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
VI. IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '177 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	15
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-17 of the '177 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 For Failing To Be Directed Toward Patent-Eligible Subject Matter	15
1. Introduction.....	15
2. The '177 Patent Claims Patent Ineligible Subject Matter Under the 2019 Eligibility Guidance and Gaming Cases	20
3. Step One, Prong One: The Claims of the '177 Patent Are Directed to Managing and Playing a Game Involving Different Rules for Different Time Periods Within the Game	23
4. Step One, Prong Two: The Claims of the '177 Patent Do Not Integrate the Abstract Idea into a Practical Application.....	27
a. The Additional Elements Do No More than Generically Implement the Abstract Idea on a Computer	27
b. The Claims Are Not Directed to an Improvement in Computer Functionality or Other Technology	28
5. Alice Step Two: The Claims of the '177 Patent Provide No “Inventive Concept”.....	31
a. The Claims Recite Purely Conventional and Functional Components.....	33
b. The Claims Do Not Capture Any Purported Technical Improvement.....	35

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
c. Beyond the Abstract Idea, the Claims Are Well-Understood, Routine, and Conventional	37
d. The Dependent Claims Add Nothing Inventive	39
B. Ground 2: Claims 1-17 of the '177 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as Obvious Over MH and Gilson	40
1. Overview of the references	41
a. Master Hearthstone in 10 Minutes!	41
b. Gilson.....	45
2. Independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2-7.....	47
a. MH and Gilson disclose a non-transitory computer-readable recording medium storing instructions to be executed by one or a plurality of computers capable of being used by a player conducting a battle game, the instructions causing the one or a plurality of computers to execute steps of claim 1.....	47
b. MH discloses displaying, on a first field, a plurality of cards selected from a deck which is a stack of virtual cards of claim 1.....	48
c. MH discloses during a first term of the battle game, conducting a battle to a first opponent character based on a parameter set on a card selected by a player's operation under a first battle condition, wherein the first battle condition is not changed during the first term of claim 1.	49
d. MH discloses at a conclusion of the first term of the battle game, automatically initiating a second term of the battle game of claim 1.....	53

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
e. MH discloses during the second term of the battle game continued from the first term, conducting the battle to a second opponent character based on the parameter set on the card selected by the player's operation under a second battle condition, wherein the second battle condition is different from the first battle condition and is predetermined independent from a battle result of the first term, and the first opponent character and the second opponent character are same or different, and wherein the second battle condition is not changed during the second term of claim 1.....	54
f. MH discloses during a third term of the battle game continued from the second term, conducting the battle to a third opponent character based on the parameter set on the card selected by the player's operation under a third battle condition, wherein the third battle condition is different from the second battle condition and is dependent on a battle result of the second term, and the second opponent character and the third opponent character are same or different, and wherein the third battle condition is not changed during the third term of claim 1.	56
g. MH discloses the third battle condition is a condition for providing a reward to the player of claim 2.	60
h. MH discloses a start timing and an end timing of each of the first term and the second term are predetermined using a start timing of the battle game as a reference of claim 3.	60

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.