# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SUPERCELL OY, Petitioner,

v.

GREE, INC., Patent Owner.

Case PGR2020-00088 U.S. Patent No. 10,518,177

# PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY TO PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

# Page

| I.  | The Petition Should Be Denied Under § 324(a)1                         |
|-----|---|
| II. | The Petition Failed to Demonstrate that MH Was Publicly<br>Accessible |

# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

# Page(s)

## Cases

| 10X Genomics, Inc. v. President & Fellows of Harvard College,<br>IPR2020-01180, Paper 23 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 13, 2021)1, 5 |
|---|
| <i>Apple Inc. v. Seven Networks, LLC,</i><br>IPR2020-00235, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. July 28, 2020)                         |
| <i>Celltrion, LLC v. Biogen, Inc.,</i><br>IPR2017-01230, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Oct 12, 2017)7                            |
| <i>Google LLC v. IPA Techs. Inc.</i> ,<br>IPR2018-00384, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. July 3, 2018)7                             |
| Guardian Alliance Techs., Inc. v. Miller,<br>IPR2020-00031, Paper 27 (P.T.A.B. July 27, 2020)6                        |
| Hulu, LLC v. Sound View Innovations, LLC,<br>IPR2018-01039, Paper 29 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 20, 2019)                         |
| <i>KeyMe, LLC v. The Hillman Group, Inc.</i> ,<br>IPR2020-01028, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 13, 2021)2                   |
| Oticon Medical AB v. Cochlear Limited,<br>IPR2019-00975, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2019)4                           |
| Samsung Elecs. Co. Ltd. v. Clear Imaging Research, LLC,<br>IPR2020-01552, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 3, 2021)            |
| Sand Revolution II, LLC v. Cont'l Intermodal Group,<br>IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (P.T.A.B. June 16, 2020)               |
| <i>Snap, Inc. v. SRK Tech. LLC</i> ,<br>IPR2020-00820, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 21, 2020)4                             |
| Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.,<br>IPR2020-01019, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 1, 2020)                             |

ii

| Supercell Oy v. GREE, Inc.,                       |   |
|---|---|
| IPR2020-00215, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. June 10, 2020)  | 5 |
|   |   |
| Supercell Oy v. GREE, Inc.,                       |   |
| PGR2020-00039, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 14, 2020) | 3 |



| Exhibit No. | Description   |
|-------------|---|
| 2001        | Third Amended Docket Control Order, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell</i><br><i>Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00413, Document 98 (E.D. Tex.<br>Dec. 30, 2020)  |
| 2002        | Complaint, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00413, Document 1 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 31, 2019)   |
| 2003        | Defendant Supercell Oy's Preliminary Ineligibility Contentions, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00413 (E.D. Tex.), dated June 1, 2020  |
| 2004        | Defendant Supercell Oy's Invalidity Contentions and Disclosures<br>Under Local Patent Rules 3-3 and 3-4, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> ,<br>Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00413 (E.D. Tex.), dated June 1, 2020                                  |
| 2005        | Exhibit A-4 to Defendant Supercell Oy's Invalidity Contentions<br>and Disclosures Under Local Patent Rules 3-3 and 3-4, <i>GREE</i> , <i>Inc.</i><br><i>v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00413 (E.D. Tex.),<br>dated June 1, 2020 |
| 2006        | Excerpts of the Expert Report of Stacy Friedman, <i>GREE</i> , <i>Inc. v.</i><br>Supercell Oy, Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00413 (E.D. Tex.), dated<br>December 23, 2020   |
| 2007        | Buehler, Katie, 'Clash of Clans' Game Maker Owes \$8.5M, Texas<br>Jury Says, Law360 (September 18, 2020)  |
| 2008        | Order, Solas OLED Ltd. v. Samsung Display Co., Ltd. et al., Civil<br>Action No. 2:19-cv-001520, Document 302 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 20,<br>2020)   |
| 2009        | Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>GREE, Inc.</i><br>v. Supercell Oy, Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00413, Document 85<br>(E.D. Tex. Nov. 6, 2020)  |
| 2010        | Notice of Hearing, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00413 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 7, 2021)  |

# LIST OF EXHIBITS

iv

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.