

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EVERGREEN THERAGNOSTICS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

ADVANCED ACCELERATOR APPLICATIONS S.A.,

Patent Owner.

Case PGR2021-00002

U.S. Patent No. 10,596,278

PRELIMINARY PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
FACTS	5
A. Radionuclide Cancer Therapy Prior to July 2018	5
1. Therapeutic Rationale.....	5
2. Problem of Stability.....	8
3. Advanced Accelerator Applications S.A.....	14
4. The Invention.....	14
5. Prosecution	15
B. Evergreen’s Petition.....	17
ARGUMENT.....	18
A. Maus Was Overcome in Prosecution and Evergreen Presents Substantially the Same Arguments.....	19
1. Substantially the Same Art, and Substantially the Same Arguments Were Presented to the PTO	22
a) <i>Becton factor (a)</i>: the similarities and material differences between the asserted art and the prior art involved during examination and <i>Becton factor (b)</i>: the cumulative nature of the asserted art and the prior art evaluated during examination.....	22
b) <i>Becton factor (d)</i>: the extent of the overlap between the arguments made during examination and the manner in which Petitioner relies on the prior art	23
2. Evergreen Fails to Sufficiently Point Out Material Error by the PTO.....	25
a) <i>Becton factor (c)</i>: the extent to which the asserted art was evaluated during examination, including whether the prior art was the basis for rejection	25
b) <i>Becton factor (e)</i>: whether Petitioner has pointed out sufficiently how the Examiner erred in its evaluation of the asserted prior art	28
c) <i>Becton factor (f)</i>: the extent to which additional evidence and facts presented in the petition warrant reconsideration of the prior art or arguments	30

B. Evergreen Fails to Show Maus Anticipates the Claimed Invention.....	35
1. Maus Does Not Explicitly Disclose the Required Stability Characteristics	35
2. Maus Does Not Inherently Disclose the Required Stability Characteristics	36
C. Obviousness.....	40
1. Evergreen’s Petition Improperly Multiplies Its Obviousness Grounds	41
2. Evergreen’s Petition Offers Only <i>Pro Forma</i> Statements Concerning Motivation to Combine.....	42
3. Evergreen’s Putative Expert Is Not a Person of Ordinary Skill, Which Is a Team Including both Radiochemists and Individuals Skilled in Administering Radiopharmaceuticals.....	45
D. Evergreen’s Contingent Enablement Argument Is Legally Incorrect, Internally Inconsistent, and Foreclosed by Its Admissions	48

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

<i>Adaptics Ltd. v. Perfect Co.</i> , IPR2018-01596, Paper 20 (PTAB Mar. 6, 2019).....	3, 4, 41, 42, 48
<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. Med-El Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH</i> , IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2020).....	19, 20, 21, 29
<i>Albaad Massuot Yitzhak, Ltd. v. Edgewell Pers. Care Brands, LLC</i> , IPR2017-00693, Paper 11 (PTAB July 17, 2017).....	38, 40
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017).....	20, 21, 30, 34
<i>Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	44
<i>Bettcher Indus., Inc. v. Bunzl USA, Inc.</i> , 661 F.3d 629 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	37
<i>C.R. Bard, Inc. v. Medline Indus., Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00511, Paper 9 (PTAB July 15, 2015).....	38
<i>In re Clay</i> , 966 F.2d 656 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	32, 34
<i>Coalition for Affordable Drugs V, LLC, et. al. v. Biogen MA, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01993, Paper 63 (PTAB Mar. 21, 2017).....	47
<i>Crown Operations Int'l, Ltd. v. Solutia Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	39
<i>Edmund Optics, Inc. v. Semrock Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00583, Paper 9 (PTAB Sept. 19, 2014).....	42
<i>Evergreen Theragnostics, Inc. v. Advanced Accelerator Applications SA</i> , PGR2021-00001	17

<i>Evergreen Theragnostics, Inc. v. Advanced Accelerator Applications SA,</i> PGR2021-00003	6, 17
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.,</i> 799 F. App'x 838 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	37, 38, 40
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc.,</i> 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	44
<i>Ex parte Levy,</i> 17 USPQ2d 1461 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990).....	40
<i>Metalcraft of Mayville, Inc. v. The Toro Co.,</i> 848 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	43
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Enfish, LLC,</i> 662 F. App'x 981 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	44
<i>In re Nat. Alternatives, LLC,</i> 659 F. App'x 608 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	32, 34
<i>In re NuVasive,</i> 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	43
<i>Okajima v. Bourdeau,</i> 261 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	48
<i>Rexnord Indus., LLC v. Kappos,</i> 705 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	37
<i>In re Rijckaert,</i> 9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	40
<i>RPX Corp. et. al., v. Parity Networks, LLC,</i> IPR2018-00097, Paper 7 (PTAB Apr. 24, 2018)	48
<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu,</i> 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018).....	42
<i>In re Schreiber,</i> 128 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	37

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.