

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TRUTEK CORP.
Petitioner

v.

MATRIX INITIATIVES, INC.
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 10,736,931

Case PGR2021-00005

**PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 321-329 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.200**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES2

 A. Real Party In Interest3

 B. Related Matters (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2))3

 C. Counsel and Service Information (37 CFR §§ 42.8(b)(3) and 42.10(a))4

 E. Payment of Fees4

 F. Time for Filing Petition4

III. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR POST GRANT REVIEW5

 A. Certification of Grounds For Standing5

IV. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED5

 A. Claims For Which Post Grant Review Is Requested5

 B. Prior Art References5

 C. Relief Requested10

V. THE '931 PATENT11

 A. Specification12

 B. Claims12

 C. Prosecution History12

 D. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art12

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION13

 A. Independent Claim 113

 1. *Luffa Operculata* (*L. operculata*)13

Petition for Post Grant Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,736,931

2.	<i>S. officinale (V. sabadilla)</i>	14
3.	<i>Galphimia Glauca (G. glauca)</i>	14
4.	Inactive ingredients	15
B.	Dependent Claim 2	15
C.	Dependent Claim 3	15
D.	Dependent Claim 4	15
E.	Dependent Claim 5	16
F.	Dependent Claim 6	16
G.	Dependent Claim 7	16
H.	Dependent Claim 8	16
I.	Dependent Claim 9	17
J.	Dependent Claim 10	17
K.	Dependent Claim 11	17
L.	Dependent Claim 12	17
M.	Dependent Claim 13	18
N.	Dependent Claim 14	18
O.	Dependent Claim 15	18
P.	Dependent Claim 16	18
Q.	Dependent Claim 17	19
R.	Dependent Claim 18	19
VI.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT ENTITLED TO A PRIORITY DATE EARLIER THAN JANUARY 23, 2014	19
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	19
A.	<u>Similasan</u> (Exhibit 1006)	19

Petition for Post Grant Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,736,931

A.	Claim 1 is obvious and unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over <u>Similasan</u> in view of Clarot Patent Application Publications ('107 and '628)	28
B.	Claim 1 is obvious and unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over <u>Similasan</u> in view of <u>Weiser, Clarke, TeutM,</u> and <u>Dorsch</u> , and further in view of <u>Liu '574</u>	30
C.	Claim 2 is obvious and unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over <u>Similasan</u> in view of <u>Weiser, Clarke, TeutM,</u> and <u>Dorsch</u> , and further in view of <u>Haslwanter '146</u> and Clarot Patent Application Publications ('440, '066, '107, '924, '343, and '628)	31
D.	Claims 3-5 are obvious and unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over <u>Similasan</u> in view of <u>Weiser, Clarke, TeutM,</u> and <u>Dorsch</u> , and further in view of <u>Ariza, Clymer '676,</u> and Clarot Patent Application Publications ('440, '066, '107, '343, and '628)	32
E.	Claims 6 and 7 are obvious and unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over <u>Similasan</u> in view of <u>Weiser, Clarke, TeutM,</u> and <u>Dorsch</u> , and further in view of Clarot Patent Application Publications ('107, '343, and '628)	36
F.	Claims 8-10 are obvious and unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over <u>Similasan</u> in view of <u>Weiser, Clarke, TeutM,</u> and <u>Dorsch</u> , and further in view of <u>Davis</u>	37
G.	Claims 11-12 are Obvious and unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over <u>Similasan</u> in view of <u>Weiser, Clarke, TeutM,</u> and <u>Dorsch</u> , and further in view of Clarot Patent Application Publications ('107, '924, '343, and '628)	38
H.	Claims 13 and 14 are obvious and unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over <u>Similasan</u> in view of <u>Weiser, Clarke, TeutM,</u> and <u>Dorsch</u> , Clarot Patent Application Publications ('107 and '628) and further in view of <u>Jefferson, Yuen. FDA Advisory,</u> and <u>FDA Ziagen</u>	40
I.	Claim 15 is obvious and unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over <u>Similasan</u> in view of <u>Weiser, Clarke, TeutM,</u> and <u>Dorsch</u> , Clarot Patent Application Publications ('107 and '628) and further in view of <u>Eshkol</u> and <u>Wiki Phenethanol</u>	42

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.