

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION AG,
Petitioner,

v.

UPL LIMITED,
Patent Owner.

Case PGR2023-00017
Patent 11,445,727 B2

**DECLARATION OF PHILLIP M. BRANNEN, Ph.D., IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 11,445,727**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Scope of Work	1
B.	Qualifications	2
C.	Materials Reviewed	4
II.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	4
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS	6
A.	Unpatentability	6
B.	Anticipation	8
C.	Obviousness	9
D.	Written Description Requirement	11
E.	Enablement Requirement	12
IV.	BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY AND THE LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	13
A.	General Background	13
B.	The '727 Patent	18
V.	CLAIM TERMS	27
A.	Fungicidal Combination and Composition	28
B.	"Agrochemically acceptable excipient"	29
VI.	Overview of prior art	29
A.	Godoy (Ex. 1005)	29
B.	Gullino (Ex. 1010)	30
C.	Tobler (Ex. 1006)	32
D.	De Oliveira (Ex. 1007)	34
E.	Wiglesworth (Ex. 1008)	38
F.	Elatus EPA Label (Ex. 1009)	39
VII.	GROUND FOR UNPATENTABILITY	40
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9 and 10 of the '727 Patent are anticipated by Godoy (Ex. 1005).	40
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-10 of the '727 Patent are anticipated by, or in the alternative rendered obvious by, Tobler (Ex. 1006) alone or in view of Godoy (Ex. 1005)	47

C.	Ground 3: Claims 1-10 of the ‘727 Patent are rendered obvious by De Oliveira (Ex. 1007) alone or in view of either Wiglesworth (Ex. 1008), Tobler (Ex. 1006) or Godoy (Ex. 1005).....	67
D.	Ground 4: Claims 1-10 of the ‘727 Patent lack enablement	82
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	87

I, Phillip M. Brannen, hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Scope of Work

1. I have been retained by Baker & Hostetler LLP on behalf of Syngenta Crop Protection AG (“Syngenta” or “Petitioner”) as an independent expert to provide my opinions on the subject matter recited in U.S. Patent No. 11,445,727 (Exhibit 1001, “the ‘727 Patent”) in view of the state of the art at the time and various references that predate the ‘727 Patent, all of which I refer to in this declaration.

2. I understand that Syngenta is petitioning the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) to institute a post-grant review (PGR) of the ‘727 Patent, and is requesting that the PTAB cancel each of claims 1-10 (the “Challenged claims”) as unpatentable due to lack of enablement, anticipation, and/or obviousness. I understand that this declaration is being filed as an Exhibit in the PGR.

3. I make this declaration based upon personal knowledge. I am over the age of 21 and otherwise competent to make this declaration.

4. I am being compensated at my normal and customary rate for work performed on this matter. My compensation does not depend on the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this proceeding. I have no financial interest in Petitioner or Patent Owner.

B. Qualifications

5. I am a Professor of Plant Pathology at the College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, University of Georgia.

6. In 1983, I received a Bachelor of Science degree in plant protection and pest management from the University of Georgia. In 1986, I earned my Master of Science degree in plant pathology, also from the University of Georgia. In 1996, I earned my Ph.D. in plant pathology from Auburn University.

7. I joined the faculty of the University of Georgia in 2000, first as an assistant professor and then as an associate professor. I was promoted to professor in 2012.

8. Before becoming a faculty member at the University of Georgia, I worked in industry and in other related jobs. From 1994 to 2000, I was a plant pathologist in the Biologicals Department of Gustafson LLC in McKinney, Texas. I also worked as a county agent for the Alabama Cooperative Extension Service in the College of Agriculture of Auburn University in Alabama.

9. I currently supervise three graduate students in the Plant Pathology department at the University of Georgia.

10. Since 2000, I have taught numerous undergraduate and graduate level courses in plant pathology, including integrated pest management, field plant pathology, and the history of plant diseases.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.