

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

KAREN HEPP,

Plaintiff,

v.

**FACEBOOK, INC., IMGUR, INC.,
REDDIT, INC., GIPHY, INC., WGCZ
S.R.O and DOES 1-10,**

Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cv-04034

Hon. John Milton Young

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANT GIPHY, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED COMPLAINT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND AND RELEVANT ALLEGATIONS..... 2

 A. Giphy..... 2

 B. Plaintiff’s Allegations 3

III. THE COURT SHOULD DISMISS PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT BECAUSE IT LACKS PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER GIPHY 4

 A. Legal Standard 4

 B. This Court Lacks General Jurisdiction Over Giphy 5

 C. This Court Lacks Specific Jurisdiction Over Giphy 6

 D. The Internet Does Not Create Personal Jurisdiction Over Giphy Where It Would Not Otherwise Exist..... 9

IV. THE COURT SHOULD DISMISS PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT BECAUSE THIS DISTRICT IS AN IMPROPER VENUE FOR HER CLAIMS 11

 A. Legal Standard 11

 B. This District Is An Improper Venue Because None Of The Acts Or Omissions Giving Rise To Plaintiff’s Claims Occurred Here..... 12

V. THE COURT SHOULD DISMISS PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT BECAUSE IT FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED 12

 A. Plaintiff’s Claims Are Barred By Section 230 Of The Federal Communications Decency Act 12

 1. Legal Standard 13

 2. Section 230 Bars State Law Claims Like Plaintiff’s 14

 B. Plaintiff’s Claims Are Time-Barred..... 14

 1. Legal Standard 14

 2. The GIFs At Issue Appeared On Giphy’s Platform More Than Two Years Before Plaintiff Filed Her Complaint..... 15

C.	Plaintiff Otherwise Fails To State A Claim	15
1.	Legal Standard	16
2.	Plaintiff’s Statutory Claim Fails	17
a.	Giphy Did Not Use Plaintiff’s Likeness For Any Commercial Or Advertising Purpose, As Required By Statute.....	17
b.	As A “Communications Medium,” Giphy Is Immune From § 8316 Claims Absent “Actual Knowledge” Of Unauthorized Use.....	20
3.	Plaintiff’s Common Law Right of Publicity Claim Fails	20
a.	Plaintiff’s Common Law Cause Of Action Has Been Subsumed By Her Statutory Cause Of Action.....	20
b.	Even If Plaintiff’s Common Law Claim Has Not Been Subsumed, It Fails To Plead Giphy’s Commercial Purpose.....	21
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	21

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases**

<i>Ackourey v. Andre Lani Custom Clothiers,</i>	
No. 12-CV-1686, 2012 WL 5944677 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 28, 2012)	10
<i>Advanced Tactical Ordnance Sys., LLC v. Real Action Paintball, Inc.,</i>	
751 F.3d 796 (7th Cir. 2014)	10-11
<i>Arnold v. Irish Bred Pub Concepts Co.,</i>	
No. 17-CV-3643, 2018 WL 1960815 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 25, 2018).....	6
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009)</i>	16
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007)</i>	16
<i>BNSF Railway Co v. Tyrrell, 137 S. Ct. 1549 (2017)</i>	5
<i>Braham v. Sony/ATV Music Publ'g,</i>	
No. 15-CV-8422, 2015 WL 7074571 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 10, 2015)	2
<i>Brown & Brown, Inc. v. Cola, 745 F. Supp. 2d 588 (E.D. Pa. 2010)</i>	2
<i>Buck v. Hampton Twp. Sch. Dist., 452 F.3d 256 (3d Cir. 2006)</i>	3
<i>Calder v. Jones, 465 U.S. 783 (1984)</i>	6, 8
<i>Chavez v. Dole Food Co., Inc., 836 F.3d 205 (3d Cir. 2016)</i>	5
<i>Christie v. Nat'l Inst. for Newman Studies, 258 F. Supp. 3d 494 (D.N.J. 2017)</i>	9
<i>City of Cambridge Ret. Sys. v. Altisource Asset Mgmt. Corp.,</i>	
908 F.3d 872 (3d Cir. 2018).....	16
<i>Connelly v. Lane Constr. Corp., 809 F.3d 780 (3d Cir. 2016)</i>	17
<i>Corley v. Vance, 365 F. Supp. 3d 407 (S.D.N.Y. 2019)</i>	9
<i>Cottman Transmission Sys., Inc. v. Martino, 36 F.3d 291 (3d Cir. 1994)</i>	11

<i>D’Jamoos ex rel. Estate of Weingeroff v. Pilatus Aircraft Ltd.</i> , 566 F.3d 94 (3d Cir. 2009).....	6
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i> , 571 U.S. 117 (2014).....	5
<i>Diodato v. Wells Fargo Ins. Servs., USA, Inc.</i> , 44 F. Supp. 3d 541 (M.D. Pa. 2014)	19
<i>Display Works, LLC v. Bartley</i> , 182 F. Supp. 3d 166 (D.N.J. 2016).....	9
<i>Dole Food Co. v. Watts</i> , 303 F.3d 1104 (9th Cir. 2002).....	9-10
<i>Eagle v. Morgan</i> , No. 11-CV-4303, 2013 WL 943350 (E.D. Pa. Mar. 12, 2013).....	19
<i>Evans v. Wurkin Stiffs, Inc.</i> , No. 15-61934-CIV, 2016 WL 8793339 (S.D. Fla. Mar. 21, 2016)	20
<i>Facenda v. N.F.L. Films, Inc.</i> , 542 F.3d 1007 (3d Cir. 2008)	20
<i>Facenda v. N.F.L. Films, Inc.</i> , 488 F. Supp. 2d 491 (E.D. Pa. 2007).....	20
<i>Fatouros v. Lambrakis</i> , 627 F. App’x 84 (3d Cir. 2015).....	10
<i>Gehling v. St. George’s Sch. of Med.</i> , 773 F.2d 539 (3d Cir. 1985).....	4
<i>Georgalis v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , 324 F. Supp. 3d 955 (N.D. Ohio 2018)	8-9
<i>Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown</i> , 564 U.S. 915 (2011).....	5
<i>Grant Street Group, Inc. v. D & T Ventures, LLC</i> , No. 10-CV-1095, 2012 WL 13689 (W.D. Pa. Jan. 4, 2012).....	11
<i>Green v. Am. Online (AOL)</i> , 318 F.3d 465 (3d Cir. 2003)	12, 13
<i>Gullen v. Facebook.com, Inc.</i> , No. 15-CV-7681, 2016 WL 245910 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 21, 2016).....	9
<i>IMO Indus., Inc. v. Kiekert AG</i> , 155 F.3d 254 (3d Cir. 1998)	6
<i>In re Zostavax (Zoster Vaccine Live) Prod. Liab. Litig.</i> , 358 F. Supp. 3d 418 (E.D. Pa. 2019).....	5

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.