JS 44 (Rev. 08/18)

DOCKET

Α

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
DEFENDANTS

L (a) FLAIMITFS				DEFENDANTS	5					
PATRICE KANTZ				AT&T, INC. AT&T SERVICES	5, INC) .				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Georgetown				County of Residence	•		ted Defendant	Dallas		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)							PLAINTIFF CASES	DNLY)		Rector
				NOTE: IN LAND C THE TRAC	ONDE T OF L	MNAT	ION CASES, USE T NVOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Known))					
Susan Saint-Anotine, Es 9th FI., Philadelphia, PA	q., Console Mattiacci 19102	Law, 1525 Locust Si	treet,							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	PRIN	CIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box	for Plaintif
U I U.S. Government	3 Federal Question			P	TF	DEF		and One Box f	or Dejena PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	וכ	σι	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		04	04
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Chizensi	tip of Parties in Item III)	Citizo	en of Another State	32	C 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		05	05
				en or Subject of a Creign Country	33	CI 3	Foreign Nation		06	CI 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	f (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly) PRTS state to the state state state of the state of		······································	2. 259.03	Click	here for: Nature of	of Suit Code De		
I 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	1	5 Drug Related Seizure			nal 28 USC 158	D 375 False Cl		
 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 	C 310 Airplane	C) 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	04	23 With	drawal	🗇 376 Qui Tan	n (31 USC	
140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	0.03	0 Other		28 U	JSC 157	3729(a)		ment
I 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Bersonal Inium			300	ROPE	RI-Y-RIGHTS	0 410 Antitrus	st	
ISI Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			08	20 Copy 30 Pater	rights it	430 Banks a 450 Comme		ıg
IS2 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	CI 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			08		nt - Abbreviated	D 460 Deporta	tion	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability			0 8	New 40 Trad	Drug Application emark	470 Rackete Corrupt	er influen Organizat	
IS3 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER	TY THE	Barry LABOR Standards			SECURITY	🗖 480 Consum	er Credit	
160 Stockholders' Suits	CI 355 Motor Vehicle	Ø 371 Truth in Lending		Act	08	62 Black	(1395ff) k Lung (923)	485 Telepho Protecti		mer
 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	0 72	0 Labor/Management Relations			C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	C 490 Cable/S		- 11-11
I 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	0 74	0 Railway Labor Act			(405(g))	850 Securitie Exchange)diffes/
	CJ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	0 75	I Family and Medical Leave Act				 890 Other Sti 891 Agricult 		
REALPROPERTY	CIVIERIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigation	3(4(A)) F	EDER	AL TAX SUITS	C 893 Environ		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 	Habeas Corpus: 0 463 Alien Detainee	[] 79	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	CD 8		s (U.S. Plaintiff	C 895 Freedom) of Inform	nation
C 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	2 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		meane security Act	0 8		efendant) –Third Party	Act 896 Arbitrati	ion	
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence					ISC 7609	🗇 899 Adminis	strative Pro	
C 290 All Other Real Property	CJ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	3				iew or Ap Decision	pear or
	Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration	n			D 950 Constitu		əf
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights		Actions				State Sta	unies	
	448 Education	 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detaince - 				·				
		Conditions of								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	1 One Box Only)	Confinement				×				,
🕱 1 Original 🗂 2 Re	moved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	stated or D 5 Transfe ened Anothe (specify)	er Dist	from trict	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatic	on -
	1 29 U.S.C. 8 621	ntute under which you are	filing (D			nless di			Direct Fi	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca		cause o	of her age.						
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		C	HECK YES only	if demanded in	complai	nt:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.	in	excess of \$75,00	00	J	URY DEMAND:	Sk Yes	DNo	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			n	ÓÓVE	T NI IMPEN			<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
DATE	1 a	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEYO	FRECORD	U	UCKE	T NUMBER			
01/30/2020	jar	JONATONE OF ATTO								
	40UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	·····		MAG, JUD	GE		

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 2:20-cv-00531-JCJ Document 1 Filed 01/30/20 Page 2 of 47

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Murrells Inlet, SC 29576 _____

Address of Plaintiff:

OCKE

Δ

Address of Defendant: _____ 208 S. Akard, St., Dallas, TX 75202

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:			
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered	to any of the following questions:		
. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No Ver pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier Yes No Ver No			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Ves			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case \Box is / \odot is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. DATE: 01/30/2020 55799			
DATE: 01/30/2020	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	
·····	·····		
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)			
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts FELA Jones Act-Personal Injury Jones Act-Personal Injury Antitrust Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (Please specify): B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury Securities Act(s) Cases Other Personal Injury (Please specify): Products Liability Products Liability All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): (Please specify): 			
/The - 66-	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION		
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) I,, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:			
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:			
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.			
DATE: 01/30/2020	sp-	55799	
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable) NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.			
NOTE. A that de novo will be a that by jury only if there h	ias ocon compnance with F.K.C.F. 38.		

ARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

PATRICE KANTZ		:	CIVIL ACTION
AT&T, INC.	V.	:	
and AT&T SERVICES, INC.		:	NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	()
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(X)

SAC	Plair

S/104Plaintiff, Patrice KantzAttorney-at-lawAttorney for215-565-2855santanto@consolelaw.com

Telephone

Date

01/30/2020

215-545-7676

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

DOCKE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PATRICE KANTZ Murrells Inlet, SC 29576, on behalf of herself individually and on behalf of those similarly situated,	
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO
	ADEA COLLECTIVE ACTION
V.	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
AT&T, INC. 208 S. Akard St. Dallas, TX 75202,	
and	
AT&T SERVICES, INC. 208 S. Akard St. Dallas, TX 75202,	
Defendant	ts.:

COMPLAINT

I. <u>PRELIMINARY STATEMENT</u>

AT&T, at the highest levels of the company, has expressed its displeasure at having an aging workforce, its intention to transform the company for the future, and its desire and expectation that older workers will leave its workforce. Toward that end, AT&T undertook a course of action designed to terminate the employment of older workers through a centrally planned workforce reduction in its Technology & Operations ("ATO") business unit that was announced by ATO's President, Jeff McElfresh, on January 4, 2019.

R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 2:20-cv-00531-JCJ Document 1 Filed 01/30/20 Page 5 of 47

In an e-mail message to all ATO management employees, President McElfresh described the upcoming workforce reduction in thinly veiled age biased terms. President McElfresh told the ATO managers: "To win in this new world, we must continue to lower costs and keep getting *faster, leaner and more agile*. This includes reductions in our organization, and others across the company, which will begin later this month and take place over several months." (Emphasis added.) President McElfresh's message suggested that the workforce reduction in ATO would in some unspecified way involve geographic location. Of course, to the extent that location was in fact a consideration, a workforce may intentionally be made *younger* through a reduction in force that considered location if, for example and without limitation, the areas in which a greater number of young employees were "located" (physically or simply by "assignment") were designated as the favored locations and/or exempt from reduction, the employees were assigned a location with the intent to discriminate based on age, a location was determined for purposes of the reduction because of age, or location was a factor in the selection process used as a pretext for age discrimination.

AT&T's January 2019 workforce reduction in ATO was part of its long-term scheme and pattern or practice to replace older employees with younger ones. It was designed to, and did, discriminatorily remove older employees from AT&T's workforce, and then intentionally deceive them into falsely believing that, in exchange for a severance benefit, they had released their right to sue the company for age discrimination. AT&T knowingly presented to the older workers terminated as part of its January, 2019 ATO reduction a "General Release and Waiver" that was materially identical to AT&T's General Release and Waiver that the Honorable Timothy J. Rice of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania <u>had already determined to be in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA")</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.