## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: ZOSTAVAX (ZOSTER VACCINE LIVE) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2848 Civil Action No. 2:18-md-2848

**This Document Relates to:** 

CHERYL CINALLI and JAMES L. CINALLI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

MERCK & CO., INC.; MERCK SHARP AND DOHME CORP.; and McKESSON CORP.,

**Defendants** 

Case No.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs, by and through the undersigned attorneys, hereby file this Complaint in accordance with Case Management Order No. 2 and PTO No. 22, and are bound by the rights, protections, privileges, and obligations of that PTO. Plaintiffs state that but for the Order permitting direct filing in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to PTO no. 22, Plaintiffs would have filed this Complaint in the United States District Court for the **Northern** District Court of **West Virginia** as the place of remand as this case may have originally been filed there.

Plaintiffs further hereby allege as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

1. At all times relevant to this action Plaintiff Cheryl Cinalli ("Plaintiff") was and is a citizen of the State of **West Virginia**, and resides in Fairmont, **West Virginia**.



- 2. Plaintiff James L. Cinalli is the spouse of Cheryl Cinalli, and was and is at all relevant times a resident and citizen of **West Virginia**.
- 3. At all relevant times to this action, as further detailed herein, Defendants MERCK & CO., INC., MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP., McKESSON CORP. (collectively, "Defendants"), and each of them, introduced into interstate commerce the ZOSTAVAX vaccine, which was to be administered to individuals and consumers throughout the United States.
- 4. Defendant MERCK & CO., INC. ("Merck") is a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business located at 2000 Galloping Hill Road, Kenilworth, New Jersey 07033.
- 5. At all relevant times, Merck designed, researched, developed, manufactured, tested, labeled, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold, supplied, distributed, and/or introduced into the stream of commerce the ZOSTAVAX vaccine, to be administered to consumers throughout the United States. Merck has conducted and continues to conduct business in **West Virginia** and derived and continues to derive substantial revenue from within **West Virginia**, from, including, but not limited to, its business activities related to ZOSTAVAX. Plaintiff's claims arise out of Merck's contacts with **West Virginia**.
- 6. Defendant MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. ("MSD"), is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Merck and part of the Merck family of companies.
- 7. MSD is a New Jersey corporation organized with its principal place of business located at 2000 Galloping Hill Road, Kenilworth, New Jersey 07033.
- 8. At all relevant times, MSD, individually through its predecessors and through the actions of Merck, designed, researched, developed, manufactured, tested, labeled, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold, supplied, distributed, and/or introduced into the stream of commerce the ZOSTAVAX vaccine, to be administered to consumers throughout the United States. MSD



has conducted and continues to conduct business in **West Virginia** and derived and continues to derive substantial revenue from within **West Virginia**, from including, but not limited to, its business activities related to ZOSTAVAX. Plaintiff's claims arise out of MSD's contacts with **West Virginia**.

- 9. Defendant McKesson Corp. ("McKesson") is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business at 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Sacramento, California 95833.
- 10. At all relevant times, McKesson, individually as an agent of Merck and/or MSD, packaged, labeled, re-packaged, marketed, promoted, supplied, distributed, sold, and/or introduced into the stream of commerce the ZOSTAVAX vaccine to consumers nationwide including West Virginia, including to the Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's healthcare providers. McKesson conducts business throughout the United States and regularly, continuously, and presently does business in West Virginia, including marketing, distributing, and selling ZOSTAVAX in West Virginia. McKesson derived and continues to derive substantial revenue from within West Virginia, from including, but not limited to, its business activities related to ZOSTAVAX. Plaintiff's claims arise out of McKesson's contacts with West Virginia.
- 11. Defendants" where used hereinafter, shall refer to all subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, franchises, partners, joint venturers, organizational units of any kind, predecessors, successors, assigns, officers, directors, employees, agents and representatives of Merck, MSD, McKesson, and each of them.
- 12. "Healthcare providers" where used hereinafter, shall refer to all pharmacists, prescribing physicians, treating physicians, nurse practitioners, person who administered ZOSTAVAX to Plaintiff, and any other medical professional who saw, diagnosed, treated, and or



prescribed medications or vaccinations to Plaintiff in connection with ZOSTAVAX, shingles, zoster-related conditions, and/or the injuries alleged herein.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there is complete diversity of citizenship between the parties and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00 exclusive of interests and costs.
- 14. The District Court wth proper venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 is the **Northern** District of **West Virginia**.
  - 15. Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of **West Virginia**.
- 16. Merck and MSD are New Jersey corporations, each with its principal place of business in Kenilworth, New Jersey.
- 17. Based upon information and belief, at all relevant times, each Defendant was and is duly authorized to conduct business in **West Virginia** as a registered foreign corporation.
- 18. Defendants regularly conducted and solicited business within New Jersey and continue to do so.
- 19. Defendants at all relevant times sold and distributed ZOSTAVAX in **West Virginia** and continue to do so.
- 20. Defendants derive substantial revenue from goods used or consumed in WestVirginia.
  - 21. Each Defendant engages in continuous and systematic activity in **West Virginia**.
- 22. Each Defendant's continuous and system activity in **West Virginia** and its minimum contacts within **West Virginia** gave rise to Plaintiff's claims.
  - 23. Each Defendant purposefully avails itself of the privilege of conducting activities



within New Jersey, thus invoking the benefits and protections of its laws.

- 24. Each Defendant has purposefully connected itself to **West Virginia** and has sufficient minimum contacts with **West Virginia** such that **West Virginia** courts' assertion of jurisdiction here is reasonable and does not offend the traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 25. The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 ("Vaccine Act"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 et seq. does not preempt Plaintiff from filing this Complaint:
  - a. Pursuant to §11(c)(1)(A) of the Vaccine Act, the Vaccine Court has jurisdiction to only hear cases listed on the Vaccine Injury Table.
  - b. The ZOSTAVAX vaccine is not a vaccine listed in the Vaccine Injury Table.

# AGENCY, ALTER-EGO, VICARIOUS, SUCCESSOR, AND CO-CONSPIRATOR LIABILITY OF EACH DEFENDANT DUE TO THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN MERCK, MSD, AND McKESSON

- 26. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior allegations.
- 27. Each Defendant is individually, as well as jointly and severally, liable to Plaintiffs for Plaintiffs' damages.
- 28. Plaintiffs would not have an adequate remedy if Merck, MSD, and McKesson were not named parties in this action.
- 29. There exists and, at all times herein mentioned, a unity of interest in ownership between Merck and MSD.
- 30. Merck and MSD are not distinct corporate entities: the assets of Merck and MSD are common to both entities; Merck and MSD share and use facilities to conduct and engage in business activities; the business operations of Merck and MSD are the same; the employees and officers of Merck and MSD are largely the same people; the principal place of business of Merck



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

