

**SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

COMMONWEALTH, : Docket No. 2154 MDA 2012  
:   
Appellee, :   
:   
v. : Trial Court Docket No.   
: CP-22-CR-4272-2009  
ANNAMARIE PERRETTA-ROSEPINK : (Dauphin County)  
Appellant :

-----  
**BRIEF OF APPELLANT,  
ANNAMARIE PERRETTA-ROSEPINK**  
-----

Appeal from the May 23, 2012 Sentencing Order of the  
Dauphin County Court of Common Pleas  
Docket # CP-22-CR-4272-2009

Michael O. Palermo, Jr., Esquire  
Attorney for Appellant  
3300 Trindle Road  
Camp Hill, PA 17011  
(717) 635-9591  
Supreme Court I.D.93334

Pennsylvania Office of  
Attorney General  
16<sup>th</sup> Floor – Strawberry Sq.  
Harrisburg, PA 17120  
For Appellee, Comm. of PA

DATE FILED 7/16/13 SESSION: \_\_\_\_\_  
JOURNAL NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_ PANEL \_\_\_\_\_

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities.....	4-7
Statement of Jurisdiction.....	3
Statement of Scope and Standard of Review.....	8-10
<hr/>	
Order in Question.....	11-12
Questions Presented .....	13-14
Statement of the Case .....	15-19
Summary of Argument .....	20-21
Argument	
I. ....	22
II. ....	45
III. ....	56
IV. ....	65
V. ....	72
Conclusion and Statement of Relief Sought.....	75
Certificate of Service.....	76

**I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION**

This case is within the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of Pennsylvania in that it is an appeal from a final order of a lower court and not within the original jurisdictional confines of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania or Commonwealth Court. See Pa.R.C.P. 341.

---

## TABLE OF CITATIONS

### PAGE(S)

#### CASES

Commonwealth v. Omar, 981 A.2d 179 (Pa. 20.....	8
Commonwealth v. Karkaria .....	8
625 A.2d 1167 (Pa. 1993)	
Commonwealth v. Santana .....	8
333 A.2d 876 (Pa. 1975)	
Commonwealth v. Chambers .....	2
599 A.2d 630 (Pa. 1991)	
Commonwealth v. Clinton .....	2
137 A.2d 463	
Commonwealth v. Robinson	
817 A.2d 1153, 1158 (Pa. Super. 2003) .....	3
Commonwealth v. Scott	
597 A.2d 1220, 1221 (Pa. Super. 1991) .....	3
Commonwealth v. Johnson	
42 A.3d 1017, 1027 (Pa. 2012) .....	3
Commonwealth v. Picchianti	
600 A.2d 597, 599 (Pa. Super. 1991) .....	3
Commonwealth v. Atanasio	
997 A.2d 1181, 1182-1183 (Pa. Super. 2010) .....	3, 45, 46, 48
Commonwealth v. Stradley	
2012 Pa. Super 162, 2012 WL 3265097 ....	3
Skilling v. United States	
130 S. Ct. 2896 (2010) .....	12, 14, 17, 18, 19
Commonwealth v. DeWeese	
528 MDA 2012 .....	14
Commonwealth v. Orié	
31 WM 2011, 33 WDM 2011, 470 WAL 2011 .....	14
Commonwealth v. Cott	
1192 MDA 2010 .....	14
Commonwealth v. Perretta-Rosepink	
2154 MDA 2012, 1925 MDA 2012 .....	14
Commonwealth v. Feese	
338 MDA 2012 .....	15, 57
Aiello v. City of Wilmington	
623 F.2d 845 (3d Cir. 1980) .....	17
Connally v. General Construction Company	
269 U.S. 385 (1926) .....	17
Grayned v. City of Rockford	
408 U.S. 104 .....	17

Kolender v. Lawson 461 U.S. 352, 357 (1983) .....	17, 18
Commonwealth v. Haybay 934 A.2d 732 (Pa. Super. 2007) .....	19, 20
Commonwealth v. Davidson 938 A.2d 198 (Pa. 2007) .....	20, 23
Buckley v. Valeo 424 U.S. 1, 15 (1976) .....	20
<hr/>	
Randall v. Sorrell 548 U.S. 230, 240 (2006) .....	20, 21
Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission 130 S. Ct. 876, 898 (2010) .....	20, 21
Pap's A.M. v. City of Erie 812 A.2d 591, 605 .....	21
Bureau of Professional & Occupational Affairs v. State Board of Physical Therapy 728 A.2d 340 (Pa. 1999) .....	21
Ins. Adjust. Bureau v. Ins. Commr. 542 A.2d 1317, 1324 (Pa. 1988) .....	21
Commonwealth v. Tate 432 A.2d 1382, 1391 (Pa. 1981) .....	21
DePaul v. Commonwealth 969 A.2d 536 (Pa. 2009) .....	21
United States v. Stevens 130 S.Ct. 1577 .....	23
Keller v. State Ethics Commission 816 A.2d 659 (Cmwlth Court 2004) .....	23-25, 29
Commonwealth v. Sinclair 897 A.2d 1218 .....	31, 35-36, 43
Commonwealth v. Brown 727 A.2d 541 (Pa. 1999) .....	32, 48-50
Commonwealth v. DeSumma 559 A.2d 521, 523 (Pa. 1989) .....	37
Commonwealth v. Grekis 601 A.2d 1284, 1288 (Pa. Super 1982) .....	37
Commonwealth v. Hoke 928 A.2d 300 (Pa. Super. 2007) .....	37
Commonwealth v. Hobson 604 A.2d 717, 722 (Pa. Super. 1992) .....	44
Commonwealth v. Pleger 934 A.2d 715 (Pa. Super. 2007) .....	45-46
Commonwealth v. Ortiz 854 A.2d 1280 (Pa. Super. 2004) .....	46

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.