## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

CAREFIRST OF MARYLAND, INC., GROUP HOSPITALIZATION AND MEDICAL SERVICES, INC., CAREFIRST BLUECHOICE, INC., BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF SOUTH CAROLINA, BLUECHOICE HEALTHPLAN OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC., LOUISIANA HEALTH SERVICE & INDEMNITY COMPANY, D/B/A BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF LOUISIANA, and HMO LOUISIANA, INC.

Civil Action No.

Plaintiffs,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

CVS HEALTH CORPORATION and CVS PHARMACY, INC.,

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT** 



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

NAT	URE O	F THE ACTION	1
PAR	TIES		5
	A.	PLAINTIFFS	5
	В.	DEFENDANTS	6
JURI	SDICT	ION AND VENUE	7
FAC	TUAL A	ALLEGATIONS	7
I.	CVS:	'S BUSINESS	7
II.		IS REQUIRED TO SUBMIT TRUE AND ACCURATE AL & CUSTOMARY PRICES TO PLAINTIFFS	9
	A.	CVS MUST SUBMIT THE TRUE AND ACCURATE U&C PRICE DURING THE ADJUDICATION PROCESS.	9
	В.	PLAINTIFFS PAY CVS, THROUGH PBMS, THE "LESSER OF" A NEGOTIATED PRICE OR THE U&C PRICE	10
	C.	U&C Is A Critical Pricing Measure That CVS Regularl Monitors And Also Manipulates	11
III.	CVS DID NOT SUBMIT THE CASH DISCOUNT PROGRAM PRICES AS THE U&C PRICE.		12
	A.	CVS'S COMPETITORS ADOPTED DISCOUNT PROGRAMS, WHICH THREATENED CVS'S MARGINS.	12
	В.	CVS IMPLEMENTED THE HSP PROGRAM NOT ONLY TO COMPETE WITH OTHER DISCOUNT PROGRAMS, BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, AS A PRETEXT TO AVOID REPORTING HSP PRICES AS U&C PRICES	14
	C.	CVS CONTINUED ITS UNLAWFUL SCHEME USING SCRIPTSAVE	18
	D.	CVS REPLACED THE HSP PROGRAM WITH THE VPSC PROGRAM	20
	E.	CVS Unlawfully Reported False U&C Charges, Thereby Overcharging Plaintiffs By Massive Amounts.	21
IV.		'S FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT TOLLED THE STATUTE OF TATIONS	22
COU	NT I FF	RAUD	24



COUNT II FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT	26
COUNT III NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION	27
COUNT IV UNJUST ENRICHMENT	29
COUNT V CIVIL LIABILITY FOR LARCENY BY FALSE PRETENSES  PURSUANT TO R.I. GEN. LAWS § 9-1-2; § 11-41-4	30
COUNT VI VIOLATION OF MARYLAND CONSUMER PRTOECTION ACT, MD. COM. LAW CODE § 13-101 <i>et seq.</i> (BROUGHT BY CFMI, GHMSI AND CAREFIRST BLUECHOICE	31
COUNT VII VIOLATION OF VIRGINIA CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, VA. CODE §§ 59.1-200 (BROUGHT BY GHMSI AND CAREFIRST BLUECHOICE)	33
COUNT VIII VIOLATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT, S.C. CODE ANN. §§ 39-5 et seq.  (BROUGHT BY BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND BLUECHOICE HEALTHPLAN OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC	34
PRAYER FOR RELIEF	36
HIDV DEMAND	06



Plaintiffs CareFirst of Maryland, Inc. ("CFMI"), Group Hospitalization and Medical Services, Inc. ("GHMSI"), CareFirst BlueChoice, Inc. ("CareFirst BlueChoice"), Blue Cross and Blue Shield of South Carolina ("BCBSSC"), BlueChoice HealthPlan of South Carolina, Inc. ("BCHPSC"), Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company, d/b/a/ Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana ("BCBSLA"), and HMO Louisiana, Inc. ("HMOLA") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") bring this Complaint against Defendants CVS Health Corporation and CVS Pharmacy, Inc. (together, "CVS"), and allege as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. For more than a decade, CVS—the largest retail drugstore chain in the United States—has intentionally engaged in a fraudulent scheme to overcharge Plaintiffs for prescription drugs by submitting claims for payment at artificially inflated prices.
- 2. Plaintiffs offer health care plans for comprehensive health care services and coverage, including prescription drug coverage, to their members in Maryland, South Carolina, Virginia and other states.
- 3. The scheme was, at its core, quite simple. CVS offered hundreds of generic drugs at low, discounted prices through cash discount programs: originally, its Health Savings Pass ("HSP") Program, and then a later successor to the HSP Program, the Value Prescription Savings Card ("VPSC") Program (together, the "Cash Discount Programs").
- 4. CVS created and maintained the Cash Discount Programs for two reasons: *first*, to compete for cash customers who might otherwise be attracted to discounts offered by CVS's competitors, and *second*—and more importantly—to obfuscate its true prices from third party payors, including Plaintiffs. CVS intentionally told third party payors, including Plaintiffs, that the prices charged to cash customers for these generic drugs were higher—often much higher. Third-party payors then reimbursed CVS based on those higher, inflated prices—instead of the



actual, lower, prices CVS offered to the general public, including through its Cash Discount Programs.

- 5. CVS was required by governing contracts, and industry standards, to submit the same low price it offered to the general public who paid "cash"—i.e., who paid out-of-pocket not using insurance—called the Usual & Customary ("U&C") Price. By intentionally submitting falsely inflated U&C prices, CVS knew that it was being overpaid for these generic drug transactions. In fact, as internal documents show, that was CVS's plan all along. CVS has now pocketed billions of dollars in ill-gotten gains through this unlawful scheme—including millions from Plaintiffs.
  - 6. This is fraud. And CVS was able to perpetrate and conceal this fraud for years.
- 7. When a customer purchases drugs at CVS (or at other pharmacies) using insurance, the pharmacist or pharmacy technician enters the prescription information and information from the customer's insurance card into CVS's computerized claims processing system. Once this information is entered, CVS submits the claim for dispensing and adjudication.
- 8. Adjudication is the automated process by which CVS submits prescription claims electronically in real time to third party payors or, as with Plaintiffs, to middlemen known as Pharmacy Benefit Managers ("PBMs"), who contract separately with both CVS and Plaintiffs to provide administrative and claims processing services. When submitting electronic claims for payment, CVS is required by contract and industry standards to truthfully and accurately submit its U&C price, which is the price offered to a member of the general public paying for a prescription drug without insurance.



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

