

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND**

---

VONQUET BOWMAN  
*Individually and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated*

Plaintiff,

v.

CVS HEALTH CORPORATION and  
NEW JERSEY CVS PHARMACY,  
L.L.C

Defendant.

---

Case No:

**COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT**

By and through her undersigned counsel, Plaintiff Vonquet Bowman, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, hereby brings forward this Collective Action against CVS Health Corporation and New Jersey CVS Pharmacy, L.L.C. to recover unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorney's fees, costs, and other relief as appropriate under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* Plaintiff's allegations herein are based upon knowledge as to matters relating to herself and upon information and belief as to all other matters:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. The subject matter jurisdiction of the Court is invoked pursuant 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue lies in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the Defendants are incorporated in this District and subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.

### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Vonquet Bowman is an adult resident of Ohio who was jointly employed by CVS Health Corporation vis-à-vis New Jersey CVS Pharmacy, L.L.C. in New Jersey from 2013 to 2021.

4. Defendant CVS Health Corporation (“CVS”) is a Rhode Island corporation with headquarters at 1 CVS Dr., Woonsocket, RI 02895. CVS operates one of the largest retail pharmacy chains in the United States, with approximately 10,000 retail pharmacy locations across the country.

5. Defendant New Jersey CVS Pharmacy, L.L.C. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of parent company CVS.

### **COVERAGE UNDER THE FLSA**

6. At all relevant times, Defendants are and have been an employer within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §203(d).

7. At all relevant times, Defendants are and have been an enterprise within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §203(r).

8. At all relevant times, Defendants have been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods or services for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §203(s)(1).

9. Defendants have had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level, which is separately stated).

10. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and the Collective Members are and were employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as required by 29 U.S.C. §207.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

11. In December 2019, Chinese health officials first identified the novel coronavirus commonly referred to as COVID-19. By early 2020, the World Health Organization had declared a global health emergency, with the United States quickly following suit and declaring a public health emergency for the nation. Many local and state governments implemented lockdowns and strict social distancing requirements to slow the spread of the deadly virus, while businesses largely transitioned to virtual work, wherever possible. The societal impact of the COVID-19 pandemic is staggering and ongoing.

12. Throughout 2020, various pharmaceutical companies, such as Pfizer, Inc. and Moderna, Inc., worked to develop effective vaccines against COVID-19. Each company's vaccine candidate received emergency authorization from U.S. regulators by the end of 2020. Along with Walgreens Boots Alliance, Inc., CVS announced a coordinated effort to administer vaccine doses to residents of long-term U.S. nursing care facilities beginning in December 2020.

13. Plaintiff Bowman has been jointly employed by CVS vis-à-vis New Jersey CVS Pharmacy, L.L.C. as a pharmacy technician since 2013. In late December 2020, Plaintiff was assigned to work on the long-term nursing care facility vaccine administration project, as announced and mandated by parent company CVS. At this time, her rate of pay for normal working hours was \$16.67 per hour. The vaccine project required Plaintiff to travel to long-term nursing care facilities and directly administer the vaccine to residents. Long-term nursing care facilities are high-risk environments for the spread of viral and bacterial disease, including

COVID-19.<sup>1</sup> As such, Plaintiff and other CVS employees assigned to the vaccine project were paid a premium rate of \$20.00 per hour for all time worked on the project.

14. This premium pay rate for the vaccine project was part of the regular rate of pay as defined by FLSA and its implementing regulations. Under FLSA, the regular rate of pay must consist of all forms of remuneration including “such extra premiums as night shift differentials... and premiums paid for hazardous, arduous, or dirty work.” 29 C.F.R. §778.207(b).

15. Consistent with Section 7(a) of the Fair Labor Standards Act, Plaintiff and those similarly situated are entitled to overtime pay equal to one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

16. Plaintiff and those similarly situated often worked in excess of 40 hours per week while working on the vaccine project but were paid overtime for those hours at a rate that failed to include Defendant’s extra premium, as required by FLSA, for time spent on the project.

17. For example, Plaintiff’s pay stub covering the period 01/03/2021 – 01/09/2021 shows a regular rate of \$16.67 per hour and an overtime rate of \$25.01 per hour. This overtime rate is one and one-half times the regular rate as stated. However, the 01/03/2021 – 01/09/2021 pay period also includes 25.33 hours worked on the vaccine project, paid at a rate of \$20.00 per hour. Therefore, her overtime rate for this period does not account for this non-discretionary premium, which amounts to an additional \$3.33 paid per hour, and therefore violates the Fair Labor Standards Act.

18. As a result of these violations, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and those similarly situated for unpaid wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorney’s fees, expenses, interest, court costs, and any other relief deemed appropriate by the Court.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.aarp.org/caregiving/health/info-2020/nursing-homes-coronavirus-faqs.html>

**COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS UNDER THE FAIR LABOR  
STANDARDS ACT**

19. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216(b), Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of all CVS Health Corporation and New Jersey CVS Pharmacy L.L.C. employees (a) who worked on the long-term nursing care facility vaccination project, as announced and mandated by parent company CVS, and were therefore eligible for and received the non-discretionary extra premium pay, and (b) whose overtime rates during periods of work on the vaccine project did not account for the extra premium pay as required by FLSA and its implementing regulations.

20. Plaintiff and Collective Action Members are “similarly situated” as that term is used in 29 U.S.C § 216(b) because, among other things, all such individuals were eligible for and received the non-discretionary premium pay and such pay was inappropriately excluded from their regular rate for determining overtime payment, as statutorily required.

21. Resolution of this action requires inquiry into common facts.

22. These similarly situated individuals are known to the Defendants, are readily identifiable, and can be located through Defendants’ payroll records, which Defendants are required to maintain pursuant to the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 211(c); 29 C.F.R. § 516 *et seq.*

23. Conditional certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216(b) is proper and necessary so that these employees may be readily notified of this action through direct U.S. mail or other means, including email, and allowed to opt-in for the purpose of collectively adjudicating their claims for overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, attorneys’ fees, and costs under the Fair Labor Standards Act.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.