
In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
LAWRENCE GOLAN et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR. et al.,
Respondents.

—◆—
**On Writ Of Certiorari To The
United States Court Of Appeals
For The Tenth Circuit**

—◆—
BRIEF FOR THE PETITIONERS
—◆—

THOMAS C. GOLDSTEIN
AMY HOWE
KEVIN K. RUSSELL
GOLDSTEIN, HOWE &
RUSSELL, P.C.
7272 Wisconsin Ave.
Suite 300
Bethesda, MD 20814

PAMELA S. KARLAN
STANFORD LAW SCHOOL
SUPREME COURT
LITIGATION CLINIC
559 Nathan Abbott Way
Stanford, CA 94305

ANTHONY T. FALZONE
Counsel of Record
JULIE A. AHRENS
DANIEL K. NAZER
STANFORD LAW SCHOOL
CENTER FOR INTERNET
AND SOCIETY
559 Nathan Abbott Way
Stanford, CA 94305
(650) 736-9050
falzone@stanford.edu

HUGH Q. GOTTSCHALK
CAROLYN J. FAIRLESS
WHEELER TRIGG
O'DONNELL LLP
1801 California St., Suite 3600
Denver, CO 80202

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Section 514 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994 granted copyright protection to millions of works that the Copyright Act had placed in the public domain of the United States, where they had remained for years as the common property of all Americans and free to use without restriction. The questions presented here are:

1. Does the Copyright Clause of the United States Constitution prohibit Congress from taking works out of the public domain?
2. Does Section 514 violate the First Amendment of the United States Constitution?

**PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS AND
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Petitioners are Lawrence Golan, Estate of Richard Kapp, S.A. Publishing Co., Inc., d/b/a Ess.A.Y. Recordings, Symphony of the Canyons, Ron Hall, d/b/a Festival Films, and John McDonough, d/b/a Timeless Video Alternatives International. Petitioners certify that they have no parent corporation, nor do any publicly held corporations own 10% or more of their stock. Respondents are Eric H. Holder, Jr., in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States, and Maria Pallante, in her official capacity as Register of Copyrights.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS PRESENTED	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	vi
BRIEF FOR THE PETITIONERS.....	1
OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	1
RELEVANT CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	2
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	14
ARGUMENT.....	18
I. Section 514 Violates The Specific Limitations Of The Copyright Clause.....	19
A. The Text Of The Copyright Clause Shows Congress Cannot Remove Works From The Public Domain.....	20
B. The Framers Intended To Create A Permanent And Stable Public Domain From Which Works Could Not Be Removed.....	25
C. Two Centuries Of Unbroken Practice Confirm That Congress Cannot Remove Works From The Public Domain.....	31

TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

	Page
II. Section 514 Violates The First Amendment...	41
A. Section 514 Is Subject To First Amendment Scrutiny Because It Alters The Traditional Contours Of Copyright Protection.....	42
B. Section 514 Fails Intermediate Scrutiny And Is Substantially Overbroad....	47
1. The Interest In Promoting The Rights Of U.S. Authors Abroad Cannot Justify Section 514	48
2. The Government’s Interest In Complying With The Berne Convention Cannot Justify Section 514	51
a. There Was No Substantial Evidence Of Any Harm	52
b. Section 514 Is Not Narrowly Tailored Because The United States Could Have Complied With Berne While Burdening Substantially Less Speech	54
i. Berne Permits Negotiated Exceptions To Restoration Requirements	54
ii. Berne Permits Complete And Permanent Protection For Reliance Parties Like Petitioners	56

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.