

No. 13-461

---

---

**In The Supreme Court of the United States**

---

AMERICAN BROADCASTING COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,  
PETITIONERS,

*v.*

AEREO, INC. F/K/A BAMBOOM LABS, INC.,  
RESPONDENT.

---

*ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE  
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT*

---

**BRIEF OF COMPETITION LAW PROFESSORS,  
SOUTHWESTERN LAW STUDENT ANDREW  
PLETCHER, AND PROFESSOR MICHAEL M.  
EPSTEIN, IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE  
AMICUS PROJECT AT SOUTHWESTERN LAW  
SCHOOL, AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF  
THE RESPONDENT**

---

WARREN GRIMES

MICHAEL M. EPSTEIN

*Counsel of Record*

AMICUS PROJECT AT SOUTHWESTERN LAW SCHOOL

3050 WILSHIRE BLVD.

LOS ANGELES, CA 90010

(213) 738-6774

amicusproject@swlaw.edu

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....iii

INTEREST OF THE *AMICI CURIAE* ..... 1

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT ..... 3

ARGUMENT..... 4

    I.    TECHNOLOGY SUCH AS AEREO’S EXPANDS THE  
        WIDE DISSEMINATION OF CREATIVE AND  
        ESSENTIAL LOCAL BROADCASTING IN  
        FURTHERANCE OF THE GOALS OF THE FIRST  
        AMENDMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS LAW ..... 4

        A.    The substantial public interest in  
            broadcasting..... 4

        B.    Aereo promotes First Amendment  
            values by simplifying public access to free  
            broadcast television ..... 8

            1. The technical limitations of digital  
                over-the-air spectrum ..... 9

            2. Aereo provides access to broadcast  
                television during blackout disputes  
                between broadcasters and  
                distributors ..... 11

II. TECHNOLOGY SUCH AS AEREO’S PROVIDES CONSUMERS A MEANINGFUL ALTERNATIVE TO A BLOATED ANTICOMPETITIVE TELEVISION DISTRIBUTION MODEL WITHOUT DENYING COPYRIGHT OWNERS A FAIR RETURN..... 13

A. The Aereo system furthers Petitioners’ economic incentive to create by increasing overall advertising revenue..... 13

B. The Aereo system is a healthy, free-market response to the expensive, unwieldy and anticompetitive bundles that are forced on MVPD subscribers ..... 17

CONCLUSION ..... 21

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>American Broadcasting Companies, Inc. v. Aereo, Inc.</i> , 874 F. Supp. 2d 373 (S.D.N.Y. 2012) .....	16
<i>Associated Press v. United States</i> , 326 U.S. 1 (1945).....	8
<i>Capital Cities Cable, Inc. v. Crisp</i> , 467 U.S. 691 (1984).....	7
<i>Carter Mountain Transmission Corp. v. FCC</i> , 321 F.2d 359 (D.C. Cir. 1963), <i>cert. denied</i> , 375 U.S. 951 (1963).....	6
<i>Clarksburg Pub. Co. v. FCC</i> , 225 F.2d 511 (D.C. Cir. 1955).....	6
<i>Eldred v. Ashcroft</i> , 537 U.S. 186 (2003).....	14
<i>Golan v. Holder</i> , 132 S.Ct. 873 (2012).....	14
<i>Harper &amp; Row Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enterprises</i> , 471 U.S. 539 (1985).....	14
<i>National Broadcasting Co. v. United States</i> , 319 U.S. 190 (1943).....	5
<i>New York Times Co. v. Sullivan</i> , 376 U.S. 254 (1964).....	8

<i>Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC</i> , 395 U.S. 367 (1969).....	4
<i>Sony Corp. of Am. v. Universal City Studios, Inc.</i> , 464 U.S. 417 (1984).....	13, 14
<i>Stewart v. Abend</i> , 495 U.S. 207 (1990).....	14
<i>Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. v. FCC</i> , 512 U.S. 622 (1994).....	<i>passim</i>
520 U.S. 180 (1997).....	8
<i>Twentieth Century Music Corp. v. Aiken</i> , 422 U.S. 151 (1975).....	13, 14
<i>United States v. Midwest Video Corp.</i> , 406 U.S. 649 (1972).....	8, 12
<i>United States v. Southwestern Cable Co.</i> , 392 U.S. 157 (1968).....	5

## STATUTES

17 U.S.C. § 122 .....	12
47 U.S.C. § 307(a).....	5
47 U.S.C. § 325(b).....	12
47 U.S.C. § 325(b)(1)(A).....	12
47 U.S.C. § 534(a).....	12

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.