In the Supreme Court of the United States

CHRIS CHRISTIE, GOVERNOR OF NEW JERSEY, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

v.

National Collegiate Athletic Association, et al., Respondents.

New Jersey Thoroughbred Horsemen's Association, inc., Petitioner,

v.

National Collegiate Athletic Association, et al., Respondents.

Stephen M. Sweeney, President of the New Jersey Senate, et al., Petitioners,

v

National Collegiate Athletic Association, et al., Respondents.

On Petitions for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

BRIEF FOR AMICI CURIAE RYAN M. RODENBERG, ANASTASIOS KABURAKIS, AND JOHN T. HOLDEN IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

RYAN M. RODENBERG

Counsel of Record

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
139 Chieftan Way
Tallahassee, FL 32306
(850) 645-9535

rrodenberg@fsu.edu

March 14, 2014

Counsel for Amici Curiae

Becker Gallagher · Cincinnati, OH · Washington, D.C. · 800.890.5001



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii
INTEREST OF THE AMICI
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT
ARGUMENT
I. PASPA's Conferral of Property Rights to the Respondent Sports Leagues Violates the Intellectual Property Clause of the Constitution
A. PASPA Violates the Express Limitations Embodied in the Intellectual Property Clause 4
B. Disputes Over Property Rights in Underlying Athletic Events Have Resulted in a Circuit Split and an Intra-Circuit Split 12
II. The Conferral of Perpetual Property Rights to Favored States via PASPA's Grandfathering Clause Violates the Constitution's Intellectual Property Clause 17
CONCLUSION 22



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Baltimore Orioles, Inc. v. Major League Baseball Players Assn., C.B.C. Distribution & Mktg., Inc. v. Major League Baseball Advanced Media, LP, 505 F.3d 818 (8th Cir. 2007) 16 Dukes v. City of New Orleans, Eldred v. Ashcroft, 537 U.S. 186 (2003) 18, 19 Golan v. Holder, Graham v. John Deere, Greater New Orleans Broadcasting Ass'n, Inc. et al. v. United States, 527 U.S. 173 (1999) 3, 19, 21, 22 Minnesota v. Clover Leaf Creamery,

364 F.3d 1288 (11th Cir. 2004) 15, 16

105 F.3d 841 (2d Cir. 1997) 10, 15, 16

435 F. Supp. 1372 (D. Del. 1977) 12, 13

Morris Comm. Corp. v. PGA Tour, Inc.,

Natl. Basketball Ass'n. v. Motorola, Inc.,

Natl. Football League v. Governor of Delaware,



Natl. Football League v. McBee & Bruno's, Inc., 792 F.2d 726 (8th Cir. 1986) 13, 14, 16
NCAA, et al. v. Governor of the State of New Jersey, et al., 730 F.3d 208 (3d Cir. 2013) 20, 21
Pennock v. Dialogue, 27 U.S. 1 (1829)
Sony v. Universal City Studios, 464 U.S. 417 (1984) 9
Constitutional Provisions
U.S. Const. Art. I, § 8, cl. 8 passim
U.S. Const. Art. II, § 1, cl. 5
U.S. Const. amend. XXII, § 1
<u>Statutes</u>
17 U.S.C. §101, et seq
28 U.S.C. § 3701, et seq passim
35 U.S.C. §101, et seq
Other Authorities
Black's Law Dictionary (7th ed. 2000) 17
Brief for Appellee U.S., <i>NCAA et al. v. Christie et al.</i> , (June 7, 2013) No. 13-1713, 13-1714, 13-1715
Complaint for Decl. and Inj. Relief, NCAA et al. v. Christie et al. No. 3:12-cv-4947 (MAS) (LHG) (Aug. 7, 2012)



Senator Dennis DeConcini, Opening Statement to the Senate, Subcommittee on Patents, Copyrights and Trademarks, Prohibiting State- Sanctioned Sports Gambling, Hearing, June 26, 1991
Jeanne C. Fromer, The Intellectual Property Clause's External Limitations, 61(7) DUKE L. J. 1329
Paul J. Heald & Suzanna Sherry, Implied Limits on the Legislative Power: The Intellectual Property Clause as an Absolute Constraint on Congress, 2000 U. ILL. L. REV. 1119 (2000) 10
H.R. REP. No. 94-1476 (1976) 14
Letter from W. Lee Rawls, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Justice, to the Honorable Joseph R. Biden, Jr., Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary (Sept. 24, 1991) 2, 3, 22
Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (10 th ed. 1993)
Thomas B. Nachbar, Intellectual Property and Constitutional Norms, 104 COLUMBIA L. R. 272 (2004)
Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, NCAA et al. v. Christie et al., (Oct. 1, 2012) No. 3:12-cv-4947 (MAS) (LHG)
Response Brief of Plaintiffs-Appellees, <i>NCAA et al. v. Christie et al.</i> , (June 7, 2013) No. 13-1713, 13- 1714, 13-1715



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

