

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
GOOGLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Respondent.

—◆—
**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit**

—◆—
**BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE THE OPEN SOURCE
INITIATIVE, MOZILLA CORP., AND ENGINE
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

—◆—
JASON M. SCHULTZ
(*Counsel of Record*)
NYU TECHNOLOGY LAW AND POLICY CLINIC
NYU SCHOOL OF LAW
245 Sullivan Street, 609
New York, NY 10012
Telephone: (212) 992-7365
Jason.schultz@exchange.law.nyu.edu

Counsel for Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTERESTS OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT.....	6
I. The Federal Circuit’s incorrect reading of § 102(b) threatens to undermine the open source software industry, an important driver of creativity and innovation	6
A. APIs have the functional purpose of creating interoperability and compatibility between computer programs	7
B. Open source use and reimplementa- tion of APIs lowers the cost of software development and enables more diverse participation, greater competition, and expanded consumer choice in the software industry	12
C. Facilitating the existence of successful open source alternatives fulfills copyright’s constitutional purpose of promoting creativity and innovation, and the Federal Circuit’s ruling threatens a thriving open source industry’s ability to realize those objectives.....	14
II. The Federal Circuit’s ruling breaks with well-established and well-reasoned precedent that open source programmers have relied upon for decades	19

TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

	Page
III. The technological world today would look vastly different if the Federal Circuit's ruling had been in effect following <i>Lotus</i> , and the consequences would affect many products that millions use daily.....	23
CONCLUSION	27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

<i>Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	2
<i>Baker v. Selden</i> , 101 U.S. 99 (1879).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Feist Publ'ns, Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co.</i> , 499 U.S. 340 (1991).....	26
<i>Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.</i> , 535 U.S. 722 (2002).....	22
<i>Jacobsen v. Katzer</i> , 609 F. Supp. 2d 925 (N.D. Cal. 2009)	2
<i>Lotus Dev. Corp. v. Borland Int'l, Inc.</i> , 49 F.3d 807 (1st Cir. 1995).....	19, 21, 22, 23, 27
<i>SCO Group, Inc. v. Int'l Bus. Machines Corp.</i> , No. 2:03 CV 294 (DAK), 2005 WL 318784 (D. Utah 2005).....	2

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. art. I, § 8, cl. 8	14, 15, 26
--------------------------------------	------------

STATUTES

17 U.S.C. § 102(b)	<i>passim</i>
--------------------------	---------------

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Amanda McPherson et al., <i>Estimating the Total Development Cost of a Linux Distribution</i> , The Linux Foundation (Oct. 2008)	15
Amazon Web Services (AWS) and Eucalyptus Partner to Bring Additional Compatibility Between AWS and On-Premises IT Environments, Eucalyptus (Mar. 22, 2012)	25
Black Duck Software Estimates Development Cost of Open Source Software at \$387 Billion, Black Duck Software (Apr. 14, 2009)	15
Branford L. Smith & Susan O. Mann, <i>Innovation and Intellectual Property Protection in the Software Industry: An Emerging Role for Patents</i> , 71 U. Chi. L. Rev. 241 (2004)	14
Brian Proffitt, <i>What APIs Are and Why They're Important</i> , Readwrite (Sept. 19, 2013)	7
Cade Metz, <i>Facebook, Google, and the Rise of Open Source Security Software</i> , Wired (Oct. 29, 2014)	17
Clipboard, Windows Dev Center – Desktop (last visited Oct. 25, 2014)	8
Dave Rosenberg, <i>Study Finds “Free Open Source Software Is Costing Vendors \$60 Billion,”</i> CNET (Apr. 16, 2008, 11:50 AM)	18
Dirk A.D. Smith, <i>Exclusive: Inside the NSA's Private Cloud</i> , CIO (Sept. 29, 2014, 6:00 AM)	17

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.