

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
GOOGLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Respondent.

—◆—
**On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit**

—◆—
**BRIEF *AMICUS CURIAE* OF THE
COMPUTER & COMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY
ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

—◆—
MATT SCHRUERS
ALI STERNBURG
COMPUTER & COMMUNICATIONS
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION
900 17th Street NW, Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 783-0070
mschruers@ccianet.org

JONATHAN BAND
Counsel of Record
JONATHAN BAND PLLC
21 Dupont Circle NW,
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 296-5675
jband@policybandwidth.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

November 7, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT	8
I. THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT'S DECISION UPSETS AN INTERNATIONAL CON- SENSUS THAT COPYRIGHT MUST NOT INTERFERE WITH COMPATIBIL- ITY	8
A. Modern Copyright Law Encourages Software Compatibility	8
B. The Consensus About Software Com- patibility Has Developed into an In- ternational Norm Over Time.....	13
1. Pro-Compatibility Advocacy in the United States.....	14
2. Free Trade Agreements Mandate Protections for Compatibility	16
C. Copyright Laws Around the World Protect Compatibility	17
1. European Union Law Mirrors the U.S. Pro-Compatibility Approach...	18
2. Copyright Policies Around the Pa- cific and Across the World Align with U.S. and European Pro- Compatibility Law	20

TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

	Page
II. THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT OVERPROTECTS COMPUTER PROGRAMS, TO THE DETRIMENT OF CONSUMERS, PROGRAMMERS, AND THE COMPUTER INDUSTRY	24
CONCLUSION.....	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

<i>Alcatel U.S.A. v. DGI Techs.</i> , 166 F.3d 772 (5th Cir. 1999)	11
<i>Apple Computer v. Franklin Computer</i> , 714 F.2d 1240 (3d Cir. 1983).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Atari Games Corp. v. Nintendo of America</i> , 975 F.2d 832 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	9, 11
<i>Bateman v. Mnemonics, Inc.</i> , 79 F.3d 1532 (11th Cir. 1996).....	11
<i>Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft Boats, Inc.</i> , 489 U.S. 141 (1989).....	10
<i>Computer Assocs. Int'l v. Altai, Inc.</i> , 982 F.2d 693 (2d Cir. 1992).....	9, 15
<i>DSC Comms. Corp. v. DGI Techs.</i> , 898 F. Supp. 1183 (N.D. Tex. 1995), <i>aff'd</i> , 81 F.3d 597 (5th Cir. 1996)	11
<i>DSC Comms. Corp. v. Pulse Comms. Inc.</i> , 976 F. Supp. 359 (E.D. Va. 1997), <i>aff'd in part, rev'd in part, and vacated in part</i> , 170 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	11
<i>Dun & Bradstreet Software Servs. v. Grace Consulting, Inc.</i> , 307 F.3d 197 (3d Cir. 2002).....	10
<i>eBay v. MercExchange</i> , 547 U.S. 388 (2006).....	6
<i>Feist Publ'ns, Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co.</i> , 499 U.S. 340 (1991).....	2, 9, 24
<i>Kewanee Oil Co. v. Bicron Corp.</i> , 416 U.S. 470 (1974).....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
<i>Lexmark Int’l v. Static Control Components, Inc.</i> , 387 F.3d 522 (6th Cir. 2004)	10
<i>Lotus Dev. Corp. v. Borland Int’l</i> , 49 F.3d 807 (1st Cir. 1995)	4, 27
<i>Lotus Dev. Corp. v. Borland Int’l</i> , 516 U.S. 233 (1996)	1, 4, 13, 14
<i>Mitel, Inc. v. Iqtel, Inc.</i> , 124 F.3d 1366 (10th Cir. 1997)	9, 15
<i>Oracle America, Inc. v. Google Inc.</i> , 750 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	24, 26
<i>Sega Enters. v. Accolade, Inc.</i> , 977 F.2d 1510 (9th Cir. 1992)	9, 11, 12, 15
<i>Sony Computer Entm’t v. Connectix Corp.</i> , 203 F.3d 596 (9th Cir. 2000)	11, 12
<i>Twentieth Century Music Corp. v. Aiken</i> , 422 U.S. 151 (1975)	26
<i>Whelan Assocs., Inc. v. Jaslow Dental Lab., Inc.</i> , 797 F.2d 1222 (3d Cir. 1986)	6, 7, 9, 10, 13
 STATUTES	
17 U.S.C. § 102(b)	5, 9, 12
17 U.S.C. § 107	11
17 U.S.C. § 1201(f)	16

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.