

No. 15-1293

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

MICHELLE K. LEE, UNDER SECRETARY OF
COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
AND DIRECTOR, UNITED STATES PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE,

Petitioner,

v.

SIMON SHIAO TAM,

Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

**BRIEF OF THE RUTHERFORD INSTITUTE
AND CONSUMERS' RESEARCH AS *AMICI
CURIAE* IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT**

JOHN W. WHITEHEAD
DOUGLAS R. MCKUSICK
THE RUTHERFORD INSTITUTE
1440 Sachem Place
Charlottesville, VA 22901
(434) 987-3888

JOSEPH COLANGELO
CONSUMERS' RESEARCH
1801 F Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 898-0459

MEGAN L. BROWN
Counsel of Record
JOSHUA S. TURNER
CHRISTOPHER J. KELLY
DWAYNE D. SAM
JOHN T. LIN
WILEY REIN LLP
1776 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 719-7000
mbrown@wileyrein.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

December 16, 2016

269873



COUNSEL PRESS

(800) 274-3321 • (800) 359-6859

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT.....	3
ARGUMENT.....	6
I. THE FIRST AMENDMENT APPLIES TO THE FEDERAL TRADEMARK REGISTRATION REGIME.....	6
A. Trademarks, Like NAACP, Catholics For Choice, And Black Lives Matter, Express Identity And Ideas	6
B. Trademark Registration Is Not A Subsidy Program, A Funding Limit, Or “Government Speech” Exempt From The First Amendment.....	9
II. THE DISPARAGEMENT BAR IS A DISCRETIONARY AND FICKLE HECKLER’S VETO, ANATHEMA TO THE FIRST AMENDMENT.....	14

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
A. The Constitution Requires The Government To Reject The Heckler's Veto.....	14
B. Section 2(A) Provides Government Officials Unlimited Discretion To Burden Speech They Dislike, Yielding Incoherent Decisions.....	17
III. THE DISPARAGEMENT BAR FAILS ANY LEVEL OF REVIEW.	21
A. Strict Scrutiny Dooms Section 2(A)'s Content And Viewpoint Discrimination.....	21
B. The Disparagement Bar Fails Intermediate Scrutiny Because An Interest In Preventing Offense Is Not Substantial, And The Bar Does Nothing Other Than Chill Expression	24
CONCLUSION	27

TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES

	<i>Page</i>
FEDERAL CASES	
<i>44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island</i> , 517 U.S. 484 (1996)	6, 23
<i>Agency for Int'l Dev. v. Alliance for Open Soc'y Int'l, Inc.</i> , 133 S. Ct. 2321 (2013)	1, 5, 9
<i>Ashcroft v. ACLU</i> , 542 U.S. 656 (2004)	22
<i>Bartnicki v. Vopper</i> , 532 U.S. 514 (2001)	22
<i>Bd. of Educ. v. Mergens</i> , 496 U.S. 226 (1990)	13
<i>Bishop v. Tyson Foods, Inc.</i> , 660 F. Supp. 2d 1004 (W.D. Ark. 2009), <i>aff'd</i> , 373 F. App'x 649 (8th Cir. 2010)	7
<i>Boos v. Barry</i> , 485 U.S. 312 (1988)	16
<i>Brandenburg v. Ohio</i> , 395 U.S. 444 (1969)	16
<i>Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Service Commission</i> , 447 U.S. 557 (1980)	<i>passim</i>
<i>City of Cincinnati v. Discovery Network, Inc.</i> , 507 U.S. 410 (1993)	6

Cited Authorities

	<i>Page</i>
<i>City of Lakewood v. Plain Dealer Publ'g Co.</i> , 486 U.S. 750 (1988).....	18
<i>Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. v.</i> <i>Greenmoss Builders, Inc.</i> , 472 U.S. 749 (1985).....	16
<i>Edenfield v. Fane</i> , 507 U.S. 761 (1993).....	25
<i>Edwards v. District of Columbia</i> , 755 F.3d 996 (D.C. Cir. 2014).....	26
<i>Forsyth Cty., Ga. v. Nationalist Movement</i> , 505 U.S. 123 (1992).....	15
<i>In re Geller</i> , 751 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	14
<i>Grayned v. City of Rockford</i> , 408 U.S. 104 (1972).....	26
<i>Greater New Orleans Broad. Ass'n, Inc. v.</i> <i>United States</i> , 527 U.S. 173 (1999).....	6
<i>In re Gyulay</i> , 820 F.2d 1216 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	18
<i>In re Heeb Media, LLC</i> , 89 U.S.P.Q.2d 1071 (T.T.A.B. 2008)	17
<i>Heffron v.</i> <i>Int'l Soc'y for Krishna Consciousness, Inc.</i> , 452 U.S. 640 (1981).....	20

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.