

No. 15-1293

---

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHELLE K. LEE,

*Petitioner,*

v.

\_\_\_\_\_  
SIMON SHIAO TAM,

*Respondent.*

\_\_\_\_\_  
**On Writ Of Certiorari To The  
United States Court Of Appeals  
For The Federal Circuit**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE THE CHAMBER OF  
COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT**

\_\_\_\_\_  
LILY FU CLAFFEE  
KATE COMERFORD TODD  
WARREN POSTMAN  
U.S. CHAMBER LITIGATION  
CENTER  
1615 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20062  
(202) 463-5337

\_\_\_\_\_  
EUGENE SCALIA  
*Counsel of Record*  
AMIR C. TAYRANI  
MICHAEL R. HUSTON  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 955-8500  
escalia@gibsondunn.com

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Counsel for Amicus Curiae*

---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i> .....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	2
ARGUMENT .....	4
I. SECTION 2(a)'S ANTI-DISPARAGEMENT PROVISION IS A VIEWPOINT-BASED RESTRICTION ON EXPRESSION .....	4
II. SECTION 2(a)'S ANTI-DISPARAGEMENT PROVISION IS NOT A PERMISSIBLE REGULATION OF "COMMERCIAL SPEECH" .....	6
A. "Commercial Speech" Is A Narrow Category Limited To Speech Proposing A Commercial Transaction .....	7
B. The Government May Not Engage In Viewpoint Discrimination Simply Because The Speaker Has An Economic Interest In A Message.....	9
C. Even If Trademarks Are Commercial Speech, Section 2(a)'s Anti- Disparagement Provision Is Still Subject To Heightened Judicial Scrutiny .....	16
III. SECTION 2(a)'S ANTI-DISPARAGEMENT PROVISION IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL UNDER ANY STANDARD OF SCRUTINY .....	17
A. The Trademark Registration Program Is Not A Government Subsidy.....	18
B. Registration Is Critically Important To Trademark Holders.....	20
CONCLUSION .....	25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Agency for Int’l Dev. v. All. for Open Soc’y Int’l, Inc.</i> , 133 S. Ct. 2321 (2013).....	19
<i>Ashcroft v. Am. Civil Liberties Union</i> , 535 U.S. 564 (2002).....	5
<i>B &amp; B Hardware, Inc. v. Hargis Indus., Inc.</i> , 135 S. Ct. 1293 (2015).....	12, 14, 19
<i>Bd. of Trs. of State Univ. of N.Y. v. Fox</i> , 492 U.S. 469 (1989).....	15
<i>Bigelow v. Virginia</i> , 421 U.S. 809 (1975).....	14
<i>Brown v. Entm’t Merch. Ass’n</i> , 564 U.S. 786 (2011).....	4
<i>Cent. Hudson Gas &amp; Elec. Corp. v. Pub. Serv. Comm’n of N.Y.</i> , 447 U.S. 557 (1980).....	4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 16
<i>City of Cincinnati v. Discovery Network, Inc.</i> , 507 U.S. 410 (1993).....	13
<i>Cox v. Louisiana</i> , 379 U.S. 536 (1965).....	22
<i>Edwards v. South Carolina</i> , 372 U.S. 229 (1963).....	22
<i>Friedman v. Rogers</i> , 440 U.S. 1 (1979).....	14, 15
<i>Hurley v. Irish-Am. Gay, Lesbian &amp; Bisexual Grp. of Boston</i> , 515 U.S. 557 (1995).....	5

<i>N.Y. Times Co. v. Sullivan</i> , 376 U.S. 254 (1964).....	14
<i>Nat'l Endowment for the Arts v. Finley</i> , 524 U.S. 569 (1998).....	6, 18
<i>Near v. Minnesota</i> , 283 U.S. 697 (1931).....	8
<i>Ohralik v. Ohio State Bar Ass'n</i> , 436 U.S. 447 (1978).....	7
<i>Pac. Gas &amp; Elec. Co. v. Pub. Utils. Comm'n of Cal.</i> , 475 U.S. 1 (1986).....	5
<i>Regan v. Taxation Without Representation of Wash.</i> , 461 U.S. 540 (1983).....	18
<i>Rust v. Sullivan</i> , 500 U.S. 173 (1991).....	18
<i>San Francisco Arts &amp; Athletics, Inc. v. United States Olympic Comm.</i> , 483 U.S. 522 (1987).....	7, 14, 15
<i>Simon &amp; Schuster, Inc. v. Members of the N.Y. State Crime Victims Bd.</i> , 502 U.S. 105 (1991).....	21
<i>Snyder v. Phelps</i> , 562 U.S. 443 (2011).....	8
<i>Sorrell v. IMS Health Inc.</i> , 564 U.S. 552 (2011).....	2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 13, 15, 17, 18, 21
<i>United States v. Am. Library Ass'n</i> , 539 U.S. 194 (2003).....	19
<i>United States v. Playboy Entm't Grp., Inc.</i> , 529 U.S. 803 (2000).....	5, 21

<i>Va. State Bd. of Pharmacy v. Va. Citizens Consumer Council, Inc., 425 U.S. 748 (1976)</i> .....	3, 7
<i>Walker v. Texas Div., Sons of Confederate Veterans, Inc., 135 S. Ct. 2239 (2015)</i> .....	22
<i>Ward v. Rock Against Racism, 491 U.S. 781 (1989)</i> .....	5, 17
<b>Statutes</b>	
15 U.S.C. § 1052(a).....	2
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
<i>American flag banned by Calif. university students to create ‘inclusive’ atmosphere, The Washington Times (Mar. 7, 2015)</i> .....	24
Google Co., <i>Our history in depth</i> .....	11
PepsiCo, <i>The Pepsi-Cola Story</i> .....	10
<i>Someone wrote ‘Trump 2016’ on Emory’s campus in chalk. Some students said they no longer feel safe, The Washington Post (Mar. 24, 2016)</i> .....	24

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.