

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

CUOZZO SPEED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHELLE K. LEE,

Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

**BRIEF OF THE ASSOCIATION OF THE BAR
OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK AS *AMICUS
CURIAE* IN SUPPORT OF NEITHER PARTY
AS REGARDS THE FIRST QUESTION
PRESENTED, WITH NO POSITION AS TO
THE SECOND QUESTION PRESENTED**

NOAH J. MOSS
45 Broadway, Suite 520
New York, New York 10006
(858) 344-1207

Of Counsel

JOHN GLADSTONE MILLS III
Counsel of Record
Member, Committee on Patents
The Association of the Bar of
the City of New York

PATENT COUNSEL GROUP LLP
The Commonwealth Building
2045 North 15th Street, Suite 205
Arlington, Virginia 22201
(703) 338-7090
john.g.mills3@patentcounselgroup.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae
The Association of the Bar
of the City of New York

(For Additional Counsel See Inside Cover)

AARON L.J. PEREIRA
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104
(212) 440-4400

TIMOTHY P. HEATON
TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP
875 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 704-6000

RYAN S. OSTERWEIL
DAY PITNEY LLP
Seven Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 297-5800

*Counsel for Amicus Curiae
The Association of the Bar
of the City of New York*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES	iii
I. STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS</i> <i>CURIAE</i>	1
II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
III. ARGUMENT.....	3
A. The Broadest Reasonable Interpretation Standard Causes Inconsistent Interpretation Of Patent Claims Depending On Whether The Claim Is Adjudicated By The PTO Or By The Federal District Courts	3
1. History And Purpose Of Broadest Reasonable Interpretation (“BRI”) Standard at the PTO	3
2. The Alternative Standard Applied In U.S. District Court Litigation.....	6
3. Instances Where The Two Different Standards Of Review Had Dramatically Different Results As To The Same Patent	7

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
B. Congress Did Not Implicitly Approve The Broadest Reasonable Interpretation Standard In Enacting The America Invents Act (AIA)	14
1. AIA Provisions	14
2. The PTO's Cited Authority Does Not Confer Authority For BRI	14
3. The PTO's Limited Amendment Practice In IPR Post-Grant Proceedings Is Not Consistent With BRI's Use In General PTO Examination Proceedings	16
C. The PTO Does Not Have The Authority To Enact Regulations Setting Forth The Standard By Which Claims Will Be Construed In Post-Grant Proceedings.	18
1. No Deference Should Be Given To The PTO For The BRI Rule Under The <i>Chevron</i> Doctrine	18
2. The BRI Standard Is A Substantive Rule With Substantive Effect And The PTO Should Not Be Granted <i>Chevron</i> Deference To Implement This Rule In Inter Partes Review	22
CONCLUSION	23

TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES

	<i>Page</i>
CASES	
<i>Adams Fruit Co. v. Barrett</i> , 494 U.S. 638 (1990).....	22
<i>Animal Legal Defense Fund v. Quigg</i> , 932 F.2d 920 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	19
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Isis Innovation</i> , 2014 WL 4381564 (P.T.A.B. 2014).....	16
<i>Athletic Alternatives, Inc. v. Prince Mfg., Inc.</i> , 73 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	21
<i>Blonder-Tongue Labs., Inc. v.</i> <i>Univ. of Illinois Foundation</i> , 402 U.S. 313 (1971)	11
<i>Cardinal Chem. Co. v. Morton Int'l</i> , 508 U.S. 83 (1993).....	11, 12
<i>Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v.</i> <i>Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.</i> , 467 U.S. 837 (1984).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Chimie v. PPG Indus., Inc.</i> , 402 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	6-7
<i>Chrysler Corp. v. Brown</i> , 441 U.S. 281 (1979).....	19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.