

No. 15-866

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

STAR ATHLETICA, L.L.C.,

Petitioner,

v.

VARSITY BRANDS, INC., *et al.*,

Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

**BRIEF OF FASHION LAW INSTITUTE
ET AL. AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT
OF RESPONDENTS**

SUSAN SCAFIDI
JEFF TREXLER
MARY K. BRENNAN
FASHION LAW INSTITUTE
AT FORDHAM
150 West 62nd Street
New York, New York

MICHELLE MANCINO MARSH
Counsel of Record
ARENT FOX LLP
1675 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 484-3900
michelle.marsh@arentfox.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

268177

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	4
ARGUMENT.....	6
I. COPYRIGHT CURRENTLY OFFERS INCOMPLETE BUT CRUCIAL PROTECTION FOR BOTH EMERGING AND ESTABLISHED FASHION DESIGNERS	6
A. Fashion is an Industry Essential to the U.S. Economy and American Culture	7
B. The Rise of the American Fashion Industry to Global Prominence Parallels the Application of Intellectual Property Protection to Some Elements of Creative Design.....	11
C. Protection for Creative Fashion Designs Under U.S. Intellectual Property Law Still Lags Behind Other Prominent International Fashion Capitals, Harming Emerging and Established Designers	16
D. Conceptual Separability in Copyright, and the Partial Protection It Provides Designers, is Critical to the Fashion Industry.....	19
II. FASHION IS AN INFORMATION-BEARING GOOD INCORPORATING EXPRESSION PROTECTABLE VIA THE CONCEPTUAL SEPARABILITY STANDARD	21

A. The Existing Tests of Conceptual Separability Protect Expressive Elements of Fashion Design but Should Be Rationalized as a Standard Rather than an Additional Rule. 22

B. The Definition of a “Useful Article” under the Copyright Act Includes Exceptions Related to Appearance and Information that Together Establish the Copyrightability of Expressive Elements of Fashion Designs 25

C. This Court Should Adopt a Conceptual Separability Standard Not Only Consistent with the 6th Circuit’s Result But Also With Long-Established Protection for Certain Elements of Fashion Designs 33

CONCLUSION 36

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Chosun Int'l, Inc. v. Chrisha Creations, Ltd.</i> , 413 F.3d 324 (2d Cir. 2005).....	14
<i>Eve of Milady v. Impression Bridal, Inc.</i> , 957 F. Supp. 484 (S.D.N.Y. 1997).....	14
<i>Folio Impressions, Inc. v. Byer California</i> , 937 F.2d 759 (2d Cir. 1991).....	14
<i>Galiano v. Harrah's Operating Co.</i> , 416 F.3d 411 (5th Cir. 2005).....	25
<i>Kieselstein-Cord v. Accessories by Pearl, Inc.</i> , 632 F.2d 989 (2d Cir. 1980).....	14, 19
<i>Mazer v. Stein</i> , 347 U.S. 201 (1954).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>On Davis v. The Gap, Inc.</i> , 246 F.3d 152 (2d Cir. 2001).....	19, 20
<i>Peter Pan Fabrics, Inc. v. Brenda Fabrics, Inc.</i> , 169 F. Supp. 142 (S.D.N.Y. 1959).....	14
<i>Trifari, Krussman & Fishel, Inc. v. Charel Co.</i> , 134 F. Supp. 551 (S.D.N.Y. 1955).....	19

Statutes and Other Authorities

U.S. Const. art. I, § 8, cl. 8	4
17 U.S.C. § 101	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 101	26

A Bill to Provide Protection for Fashion Design: Hearing on H.R. 5055 Before the Subcomm. on Courts, the Internet, and Intellectual Prop. of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 109th Cong. 11-12 (2006) 3, 17

A Bill to Provide Protection for Fashion Design: Hearing on H.R. 5055 Before the Subcomm. on Courts, the Internet, and Intellectual Prop. of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 109th Cong. 79 (2006) 3

Britt Aboutaleb, *Chanel Will Not Make its Pamela Love-Like Crystal Cuffs*, ELLE (Mar. 13, 2012) 20

Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Dep’t Of Labor, Occupational Outlook Handbook: Fashion Designers (2014-2015 ed.) 16

Charles James, *Clover Leaf* (1953) 30

Claude E. Shannon & Warren Weaver, THE MATHEMATICAL THEORY OF COMMUNICATION (Univ. of Illinois Press 1949) 24

Danica Lo, *Hannah Bernhard Says Iris Apfel Ripped Off Her Toucan Pin Design*, RACKED (May 18, 2011)..... 20

Diane von Furstenberg, *Fashion Deserves Copyright Protection*, L.A. TIMES, Aug. 24, 2007 16

Gucci, Look 14, Fall/Winter 2016 31

H.R. Rep. No. 94–1476 (1976) 6, 13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.