

No. _____

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
STATE OF IOWA,

Petitioner,

v.

MARVIS LATRELL JACKSON,

Respondent.

—◆—
**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The Iowa Supreme Court**

—◆—
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

—◆—
THOMAS J. MILLER
Attorney General of Iowa

JEFFREY THOMPSON
Solicitor General

KEVIN CMELIK
Division Director, Criminal Appeals
Counsel of Record
Hoover Bldg., 2nd Floor
1305 E. Walnut
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
(515) 281-5976
kevin.cmelik@iowa.gov

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

QUESTION PRESENTED

When an individual consents to the search of a room he occupies, when may a law enforcement officer, consistent with the Fourth Amendment, search a closed container found within that room?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Question Presented	i
Table of Contents	ii
Table of Authorities	iv
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Constitutional and Statutory Provisions	1
Statement of the Case	1
A. Factual Background	3
1. The Crimes	3
B. The Search.....	5
C. Procedural Background	6
Reasons for Granting the Writ	10
I. The Courts are Deeply Divided on Whether Officers, Consistent with the Fourth Amend- ment, May Search Closed Containers Lo- cated in Areas to Which Consent to Search has been Given.....	12
II. The Question Presented is Recurring and Important	20
III. This Case is an Ideal Vehicle to Resolve the Question Presented.....	24
IV. The Iowa Supreme Court’s Decision was Incorrect	25
Conclusion.....	29

TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

Page

APPENDIX

Supreme Court Opinion, filed April 29, 2016 App. 1
Court of Appeals Opinion, filed May 6, 2015 App. 87
District Court Ruling on Motion to Suppress,
filed July 5, 2013 App. 98
District Court Findings of Fact, Conclusions
of Law and Verdicts, filed November 20,
2013 App. 122

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

<i>Commonwealth v. Brooks</i> , 388 S.W.3d 131 (Ky. Ct. App. 2012)	19
<i>Fernandez v. California</i> , 134 S.Ct. 1126 (2014).....	12, 20
<i>Florida v. Jimeno</i> , 500 U.S. 248 (1991)	13, 14
<i>Georgia v. Randolph</i> , 547 U.S. 103 (2006).....	24
<i>Glenn v. Commonwealth</i> , 654 S.E.2d 910 (Va. 2008)	17
<i>Illinois v. Rodriguez</i> , 497 U.S. 177 (1990)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Katz v. United States</i> , 389 U.S. 347 (1967).....	12
<i>Norris v. State</i> , 732 N.E.2d 185 (Ind. Ct. App. 2000)	19
<i>Pennington v. State</i> , 913 P.2d 1356 (Okla. Crim. App. 1995).....	17
<i>People v. Adams</i> , 422 N.E.2d 537 (N.Y. Ct. App. 1981)	20
<i>People v. Gonzalez</i> , 667 N.E.2d 323 (1996).....	19
<i>People v. James</i> , 645 N.E.2d 195 (Ill. 1994).....	20
<i>People v. McKinstrey</i> , 852 P.2d 467 (Colo. 1993)	20
<i>People v. Trevino</i> , 2011 WL 9692696 (Ill. Ct. App. May 27, 2011)	15, 16, 25
<i>Schneckloth v. Bustamonte</i> , 412 U.S. 218, 93 S.Ct. 2041, 36 L.Ed.2d 854 (1973)	20
<i>State v. Edwards</i> , 570 A.2d 193 (1990)	19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.