

No. 16-217

---

In the Supreme Court of the United States

---

STEPHANIE LENZ, PETITIONER

v.

UNIVERSAL MUSIC CORP., ET AL.

---

*ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT*

---

BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES AS AMICUS CURIAE

---

JEFFREY B. WALL  
*Acting Solicitor General  
Counsel of Record*

CHAD A. READLER  
*Acting Assistant Attorney  
General*

MALCOLM L. STEWART  
*Deputy Solicitor General*

BRIAN H. FLETCHER  
*Assistant to the Solicitor  
General*

MARK R. FREEMAN  
SONIA K. MCNEIL  
THAIS-LYN TRAYER  
*Attorneys*  
*Department of Justice  
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001  
SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov  
(202) 514-2217*

SARANG VIJAY DAMLE  
*General Counsel and  
Associate Register of  
Copyrights*  
REGAN A. SMITH  
*Deputy General Counsel*  
CINDY P. ABRAMSON  
*Assistant General Counsel*  
ANDREW P. MOORE  
*Barbara A. Ringer Fellow  
United States Copyright  
Office  
Washington, D.C. 20540*

### QUESTION PRESENTED

Section 512(c) of Title 17 creates a safe harbor from copyright-infringement liability for website operators and other online service providers that store or host material at the direction of users. To be eligible for the safe harbor, a service provider that receives a “notification of claimed infringement” from a copyright owner must remove material that allegedly infringes a copyright. 17 U.S.C. 512(c)(1)(C). A separate provision allows a user to recover damages from a copyright owner that “knowingly materially misrepresents” in such a notification that material “is infringing.” 17 U.S.C. 512(f). The question presented is as follows:

Whether a copyright owner may be held liable under Section 512(f) for sending a notification of claimed infringement based on a sincere but unreasonable belief that the challenged material is infringing.

(I)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Statement.....	1
Discussion.....	10
A. A copyright owner is liable under Section 512(f) only if it actually knew that the challenged material was not infringing or was willfully blind to that fact .....	11
B. The court of appeals correctly interpreted Section 512(f) to require actual knowledge or willful blindness, but the court erroneously focused on the falsity of the copyright owner's statement of good-faith belief rather than on the falsity of its allegation of infringement.....	17
C. The court of appeals' decision does not warrant this Court's review .....	21
Conclusion.....	23

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases:

<i>Ashcroft v. ACLU</i> , 535 U.S. 564 (2002) .....	3
<i>Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen v. Bangor &amp; Aroostook R.R.</i> , 389 U.S. 327 (1967) .....	22
<i>Bryson v. United States</i> , 396 U.S. 64 (1969).....	12
<i>Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc., 510 U.S. 569 (1994)</i> .....	2
<i>Cooper v. Schlesinger</i> , 111 U.S. 148 (1884) .....	15
<i>Corsicana Nat'l Bank v. Johnson, 251 U.S. 68 (1919)</i> .....	12
<i>Cutter v. Wilkinson</i> , 544 U.S. 709 (2005) .....	22
<i>Elonis v. United States</i> , 135 S. Ct. 2001 (2015) .....	14
<i>Global-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A., 563 U.S. 754 (2011)</i> .....	12, 18

(III)

IV

Cases—Continued:	Page
<i>Hamilton-Brown Shoe Co. v. Wolf Bros.</i> , 240 U.S. 251 (1916).....	22
<i>Kimber v. Young</i> , 137 F. 744 (8th Cir. 1905) .....	15
<i>Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.</i> , 545 U.S. 913 (2005) .....	2, 3
<i>Rossi v. Motion Picture Ass'n of Am. Inc.</i> , 391 F.3d 1000 (9th Cir. 2004), cert. denied, 544 U.S. 1018 (2005) .....	7, 9, 17, 18
<i>Russello v. United States</i> , 464 U.S. 16 (1983) .....	14
<i>Safeco Ins. Co. of Am. v. Burr</i> , 551 U.S. 47 (2007) ...	12, 13
<i>Sony Corp. of Am. v. Universal City Studios, Inc.</i> , 464 U.S. 417 (1984) .....	2
<i>United States v. Gay</i> , 967 F.2d 322 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 506 U.S. 929 (1992) .....	15
<i>United States v. Kennedy</i> , 714 F.3d 951 (6th Cir. 2013) .....	15
<i>United States v. Yermian</i> , 468 U.S. 63 (1984) .....	12
<i>Universal Health Servs., Inc. v. United States</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1989 (2016) .....	16
<i>Yates v. Jones Nat'l Bank</i> , 206 U.S. 158 (1907) .....	11
Statutes:	
Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. 101 <i>et seq.</i> .....	2
17 U.S.C. 102(a) .....	2
17 U.S.C. 106.....	2
17 U.S.C. 106(4) .....	2
17 U.S.C. 107.....	2, 18
17 U.S.C. 108(g)(1) .....	14
17 U.S.C. 110(1) .....	14
17 U.S.C. 110(2) .....	14
17 U.S.C. 501(a) .....	2

Statutes—Continued:	Page
17 U.S.C. 502-505.....	2
17 U.S.C. 504(c)(2).....	14
17 U.S.C. 506(a)(1)(C).....	14
17 U.S.C. 512(c) .....	6, 8, 20
17 U.S.C. 512(c)(1).....	4
17 U.S.C. 512(c)(1)(C) .....	4
17 U.S.C. 512(c)(3).....	5
17 U.S.C. 512(c)(3)(A) .....	18
17 U.S.C. 512(c)(3)(A)(ii).....	18
17 U.S.C. 512(c)(3)(A)(iii).....	18
17 U.S.C. 512(c)(3)(A)(v).....	8, 9, 10, 18, 19, 22
17 U.S.C. 512(f).....	<i>passim</i>
17 U.S.C. 512(f)(1).....	18
17 U.S.C. 512(f)(2).....	16
17 U.S.C. 512(g).....	5
17 U.S.C. 512(g)(1) .....	6
17 U.S.C. 512(g)(2)(A) .....	5
17 U.S.C. 512(g)(2)(B) .....	5
17 U.S.C. 512(g)(2)(C) .....	5
17 U.S.C. 512(g)(3)(C) .....	5
17 U.S.C. 512(k)(1) .....	4
17 U.S.C. 1009(d)(3) .....	14
17 U.S.C. 1202(b)(3) .....	13
17 U.S.C. 1203(c)(5)(A) .....	13
Digital Millennium Copyright Act,	
Pub. L. No. 105-304, 112 Stat. 2860 .....	1
§ 103(a):	
112 Stat. 2872 (17 U.S.C. 1202(b)(3)) .....	13
112 Stat. 2876 (17 U.S.C. 1203(c)(5)(A)) .....	13
§ 406(a):	
112 Stat. 2903 (28 U.S.C. 4001(a)(1)(A)) .....	13

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.