

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
TC HEARTLAND LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

KRAFT FOOD GROUP BRANDS LLC,

Respondent.

—◆—
**On Writ Of Certiorari To The
United States Court Of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit**

—◆—
**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* 48 INTERNET
COMPANIES, RETAILERS, AND ASSOCIATIONS
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

—◆—
PETER J. BRANN
Counsel of Record
DAVID SWETNAM-BURLAND
STACY O. STITHAM
BRANN & ISAACSON
184 Main St., P.O. Box 3070
Lewiston, Maine 04243-3070
(207) 786-3566
pbrann@brannlaw.com

Attorneys for Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT	7
THE COURT SHOULD REITERATE ITS LONG-STANDING, NARROW INTERPRE- TATION OF THE PATENT VENUE STAT- UTE TO STOP FORUM SHOPPING	7
CONCLUSION.....	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

<i>Agostini v. Felton</i> , 521 U.S. 203 (1997)	11
<i>Beverly Hills Fan Co. v. Royal Sovereign Corp.</i> , 21 F.3d 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	10
<i>Brulotte v. Thys Co.</i> , 379 U.S. 29 (1964)	13
<i>Brunette Mach. Works, Ltd. v. Kockum Indus., Inc.</i> , 406 U.S. 706 (1972)	8
<i>City of Springfield v. Kibbe</i> , 480 U.S. 257 (1987)	12
<i>Fourco Glass Co. v. Transmirra Prods. Corp.</i> , 353 U.S. 222 (1957)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Gross v. FBL Fin. Serv., Inc.</i> , 557 U.S. 167 (2009).....	12
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 581 Fed. Appx. 886 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 11, 2014)	20
<i>In re Heartland LLC</i> , 821 F.3d 1138 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	10, 15
<i>In re Nintendo of Am.</i> , 756 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	20
<i>In re TOA Techs., Inc.</i> , 543 Fed. Appx. 1006 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 3, 2013)	20
<i>In re Toyota Motor Corp.</i> , 747 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	20
<i>In re TS Tech USA Corp.</i> , 551 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	20
<i>In re WMS Gaming Inc.</i> , 564 Fed. Appx. 579 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 23, 2014)	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
<i>J. McIntyre Mach., Ltd. v. Nicastro</i> , 131 S. Ct. 2780 (2011).....	10
<i>Kimble v. Marvel Entertainment, LLC</i> , 135 S. Ct. 2401 (2015).....	11, 13
<i>Scheiber v. Dolby Labs., Inc.</i> , 293 F.3d 1014 (7th Cir. 2002)	11
<i>Schnell v. Peter Eckrich & Sons, Inc.</i> , 365 U.S. 260 (1961).....	7, 8
<i>Stewart Org., Inc. v. Ricoh Corp.</i> , 487 U.S. 22 (1988).....	18
<i>Stonite Prods. Co. v. Melvin Lloyd Co.</i> , 315 U.S. 561 (1942).....	7, 8
<i>VE Holding Corp. v. Johnson Gas Appliance Co.</i> , 917 F.2d 1574 (Fed. Cir. 1990)	9
<i>Walden v. Fiore</i> , 134 S. Ct. 115 (2014).....	10

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. art. I, § 8, cl. 8.....	25
-------------------------------------	----

STATUTES

28 U.S.C. § 1391	4
28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)	<i>passim</i>
28 U.S.C. § 1391(d).....	8
28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).....	<i>passim</i>
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).....	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Brian J. Love & James Yoon, <i>Predictably Expensive: A Critical Look at Patent Litigation in the Eastern District of Texas</i> , 20 Stan. Tech. L. Rev. 1 (2017)	17, 19, 24, 25
Council of Economic Advisors, <i>The Patent Litigation Landscape: Recent Research and Developments</i> (March 2016).....	16, 22, 25
Daniel Klerman & Greg Reilly, <i>Forum Selling</i> , 89 S. Cal. L. Rev. 241 (2016).....	<i>passim</i>
Docket Navigator, <i>2015 Year in Review</i> (2016).....	16
Douglas B. Wentzel, <i>Stays Pending Inter Partes Review: Not In The Eastern District Of Texas</i> , 98 J. Pat. & Trademark Off. Soc’y 120 (2016)....	15, 22
Frederick L. Cottrell III, <i>et al.</i> , <i>Nonpracticing Entities Come to Delaware</i> , Federal Lawyer, 63 (Oct. 2013)	17, 18, 23
FTC, <i>Patent Assertion Entity Activity</i> (2016)	24
GAO Report, <i>Patent Office Should Define Quality, Reassess Incentives and Improve Clarity</i> (June 2016).....	16
Greg Reilly, <i>Aggregating Defendants</i> , 41 Fla. St. U. L. Rev. 1011 (2014).....	16
J. Jonas Anderson, <i>Court Competition for Patent Cases</i> , 163 U. Pa. L. Rev. 631 (2015)	<i>passim</i>
Jeanne C. Fromer, <i>Patentography</i> , 85 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1444 (2010)	10, 25

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.