

No. 16-712

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

OIL STATES ENERGY SERVICES, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

GREENE'S ENERGY GROUP, LLC, ET AL.,

Respondents.

**On Writ Of Certiorari To
The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit**

**BRIEF OF DELL INC., FACEBOOK, INC., AGILENT
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,
CLOUDFLARE, INC., EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES
CORP., HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE CO. ,
HTC CORPORATION, JCPENNEY CORPORATION,
INC., LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC., RED HAT,
INC., SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., TWITTER, INC.,
AND VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AS
AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS**

KRISHNENDU GUPTA
MICHELE K. CONNORS
THOMAS A. BROWN
DELL INC.
One Dell Way
Round Rock, Texas 78682
(512) 728-3186

COLIN STRETCH
FACEBOOK, INC.
1601 Willow Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 543-4800

THEODORE B. OLSON
Counsel of Record
AMIR C. TAYRANI
BLAIR A. SILVER
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 955-8500
tolson@gibsondunn.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	ii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	2
ARGUMENT	5
I. PATENT REVOCATION BY THE PRIVY COUNCIL IS THE CLOSEST HISTORICAL ANALOGUE TO <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW.....	5
A. Patent Revocation Was Historically A Royal Prerogative Exercised By The Privy Council	6
B. The Writ Of <i>Scire Facias</i> Is Not An Historical Analogue To Patent- Claim Cancellation And Was Itself A Matter Of Public Right	10
C. The Defense Of Invalidity Is Not An Historical Analogue To Patent- Claim Cancellation	14
II. <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW PROMOTES INNOVATION BY REMOVING ARTIFICIAL PATENT BARRIERS AND REDUCING WASTEFUL LITIGATION COSTS	17
CONCLUSION	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ACQIS, LLC v. EMC Corp.</i> , 109 F. Supp. 3d 352 (D. Mass. 2015).....	23
<i>Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. v. LG Elects., Inc.</i> , No. 14-cv-01012, 2015 WL 545534 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2015)	23
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Polaris Indus. Inc.</i> , No. 13-3579, 2015 WL 6757533 (D. Minn. Nov. 5, 2015).....	20, 21
<i>Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Safety & Health Review Comm’n</i> , 430 U.S. 442 (1977).....	12
<i>B.E. Tech, L.L.C. v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , No. 12-cv-02769 (W.D. Tenn.).....	19
<i>Blonder-Tongue Labs., Inc. v. Univ. of Ill. Found.</i> , 402 U.S. 313 (1971).....	15
<i>Crowell v. Benson</i> , 285 U.S. 22 (1932).....	14
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	14, 17
<i>EveryMD LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-06208 (C.D. Cal.)	19
<i>Granfinanciera, S.A. v. Nordberg</i> , 492 U.S. 33 (1989).....	8, 9, 10

<i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC v. Lenovo Group Ltd.</i> , No. 16-10860-PBS (D. Mass. July 13, 2017)	22
<i>Mowry v. Whitney</i> , 81 U.S. (14 Wall.) 434 (1871).....	11, 12
<i>Murray's Lessee v. Hoboken Land & Improvement Co.</i> , 59 U.S. (18 How.) 272 (1856)	2, 5
<i>N. Pipeline Constr. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co.</i> , 458 U.S. 50 (1982).....	5
<i>Neste Oil OYJ v. Dynamic Fuels, LLC</i> , No. 12-1744-GMS, 2013 WL 3353984 (D. Del. July 2, 2013)	23
<i>PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. EMC Corp.</i> , 5:13-cv-01358-EJD (N.D. Cal. Jan. 13, 2014)	24
<i>PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , 5:13-cv-01356-EJD (N.D. Cal. Jan. 13, 2014)	24
<i>Realtime Data, LLC v. Dell, Inc.</i> , 6:16-cv-89-RWS-JDL (E.D. Tex. Feb. 3, 2017)	23
<i>Stern v. Marshall</i> , 564 U.S. 462 (2011).....	2, 5, 6, 8, 9
<i>In re Tech. Licensing Corp.</i> , 423 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	12
<i>United States v. Am. Bell Tel. Co.</i> , 128 U.S. 315 (1888).....	13

Constitutional Provisions

U.S. Const., art. I, § 8, cl. 8 4, 17

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 311(a)..... 16, 24

35 U.S.C. § 311(b)..... 14

35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2)..... 20

35 U.S.C. § 316(d)(1) 15, 21

35 U.S.C. § 318(b)..... 15

Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852, 15
 & 16 Vict., c. 83 (Eng.), *at*
<https://cdn.patentlyo.com/media/2017/08/PL-Amendment-Act-15-16-Victoria-c.83-1852.pdf>..... 8

Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011)..... 17

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) 21

Other Authorities

157 Cong. Rec. S131 (daily ed. Jan. 25, 2011) 17

157 Cong. Rec. S5409 (Sept. 8, 2011) 17

Am. Intell. Prop. L. Ass'n, 2015 Report
 of the Economic Survey (2015), *at*
<http://files.ctctcdn.com/e79ee274201/b6ced6c3-d1ee-4ee7-9873-352dbe08d8fd.pdf>..... 20

William Blackstone, *Commentaries*..... 11, 12, 13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.