



APPENDIX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A	Opinion in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (August 29, 2017) App. 1
Appendix B	Order Re: Pending Motions in the United States District Court Central District of California (September 8, 2015) App. 16
Appendix C	Final Judgment Regarding Defendant Deputy Freddy Padilla in the United States District Court Central District of California (December 22, 2014) App. 48
Appendix D	Order Denying Petition for Panel Rehearing in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (December 4, 2017)



App. 1

APPENDIX A

FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-56548

D.C. No. 2:11-cv-08110-FMO-FFM

[Filed August 29, 2017]

JAY RUSSELL SHAFER,
$Plaintiff ext{-}Appellee,$
V.
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA;
BILL BROWN, individually and
as Sheriff of Santa Barbara
County; SANTA BARBARA
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,
$\stackrel{'}{D}\!e\!f\!endants,$
and
FREDDY PADILLA, No. 2465,
individually and as a peace officer,
Defendant-Appellant.
, 11

OPINION



App. 2

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Fernando M. Olguin, District Judge, Presiding

> Argued and Submitted April 6, 2017 Pasadena, California

> > Filed August 29, 2017

Before: Milan D. Smith, Jr. and N. Randy Smith, Circuit Judges, and Gary Feinerman.*

Opinion by Judge N.R. Smith

SUMMARY**

Civil Rights

The panel reversed a jury verdict and vacated damage awards in favor of the plaintiff in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that a police officer used excessive force when he used a leg sweep maneuver to take down the plaintiff after he refused to comply with the officer's orders to drop the water balloons he was carrying.

The panel held that viewing all of the facts in the light most favorable to the jury's verdict, there was sufficient evidence to support the jury's finding that the force used by the officer was excessive. The panel



^{*} The Honorable Gary Feinerman for the Northern District of Illinois, sitting by designation.

^{**} This summary constitutes no part of the opinion of the court. It has been prepared by court staff for the convenience of the reader.

App. 3

nevertheless held that the officer was entitled to qualified immunity because, at the time the incident occurred, the law was not clearly established that an officer cannot progressively increase his use of force from verbal commands, to an arm grab, and then a leg sweep maneuver when a misdemeanant refuses to comply with the officer's orders and resists, obstructs, or delays the officer in his lawful performance of duties such that the officer has probable cause to arrest him in a challenging environment.

COUNSEL

Mary Pat Barry (argued), Senior Deputy; Michael C. Ghizzoni, County Counsel; Office of County Counsel, Santa Barbara, California; for Defendant-Appellant.

Steven B. Stevens (argued), Los Angeles, California; Thomas E. Beck, The Beck Law Firm, Los Alamitos, California; for Plaintiff-Appellee.

OPINION

N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judge:

College student Jay Russell Shafer refused to drop water balloons at the request of Santa Barbara Sheriff's Deputy Freddy Padilla. Deputy Padilla then used a leg sweep maneuver to take down and arrest Shafer. Shafer sued Deputy Padilla, asserting violations of his constitutional rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Deputy Padilla asserted the defense of qualified immunity.

The jury found that Deputy Padilla violated Shafer's Fourth Amendment constitutional right to be free from



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

