

No. 17-571

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

FOURTH ESTATE PUBLIC BENEFIT CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

WALL-STREET.COM, LLC AND JERROLD D. BURDEN,
Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh
Circuit

**BRIEF OF AUTHORS GUILD AND OTHER ARTISTS'
RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS AS *AMICI CURIAE*
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

MARY E. RASENBERGER
CHERYL L. DAVIS
AUTHORS GUILD
31 East 32nd Street
New York, N.Y. 10016
(212) 563-5904

ELEANOR M. LACKMAN
Counsel of Record
LINDSAY W. BOWEN
LINDSAY R. EDELSTEIN
COWAN DEBAETS ABRAHAMS
& SHEPPARD LLP
41 Madison Avenue,
38th Floor
New York, N.Y. 10010
(212) 974-7474

September 4, 2018

elackman@cdas.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	3
ARGUMENT	5
I. THE COURT SHOULD CONSTRUE THE STATUTE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PURPOSES OF THE COPYRIGHT ACT AND THE ACT'S PLAIN TEXT	5
A. Authors Depend on Copyright for Their Livelihood and to Protect Their Constitutional Rights.....	5
B. Plain-Text Reading of the Copyright Act Supports the Position that Authors, not the Copyright Office, Must Act to Register Works Before Suit.....	11
II. ADOPTING THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT RULE WILL CAUSE SIGNIFICANT ECONOMIC HARDSHIP ON AND LOSS OF RIGHTS FOR AUTHORS	15
A. The Copyright Office Admits There Are Impediments to an Author's Ability to Timely Obtain a Registration Certificate.....	17

B. Authors Risk Losing the Indispensable Deterrents to Infringements: Statutory Damages and Attorneys' Fees.....21

C. The Statute of Limitations and Copyright Office Processing Times Could Create a Risk of Authors Losing the Right to Enforce Copyright Rights Completely.....24

D. Injunctive Relief Could Be Unavailable During the Most Crucial Period of Publication24

III. WAITING ON ACTION FROM THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE IS NOT JUDICIALLY EFFICIENT27

CONCLUSION29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>A & M Records Inc. v. Napster, Inc.</i> , 239 F. 3d 1004 (9th Cir. 2001).....	9
<i>Agence France Presse v. Morel</i> , No. 10 Civ. 2730 (AJN), 2014 WL 3963124 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 13, 2014).....	14, 15
<i>Am. Broad. Cos., Inc. v. AEREO, Inc.</i> , 874 F. Supp. 2d 373 (S.D.N.Y. 2012)	25
<i>Apple Barrel Prods., Inc. v. Beard</i> , 730 F.2d 384 (5th Cir. 1984).....	28
<i>Arista Records, LLC v. Tkach</i> , 122 F. Supp. 3d 32 (S.D.N.Y. 2015)	26
<i>Broad. Music, Inc. v. Prana Hosp., Inc.</i> , 158 F. Supp. 3d 184 (S.D.N.Y. 2016)	23
<i>Broad. Music, Inc. v. R Bar of Manhattan, Inc.</i> , 919 F. Supp. 656 (S.D.N.Y. 1996)	23
<i>Bryant v. Media Right Prods.</i> , 603 F.3d 135 (2d Cir. 2010)	21
<i>Cosmetic Ideas, Inc. v. IAC/Interactivecorp.</i> , 606 F.3d 612 (9th Cir. 2010).....	24, 27, 28
<i>Eldred v. Ashcroft</i> , 537 U.S. 186 (2003).....	6, 13

<i>F.W. Woolworth Co. v. Contemporary Arts</i> , 344 U.S. 228 (1952).....	21, 22, 23
<i>Feltner v. Columbia Pictures Television, Inc.</i> , 523 U.S. 340 (1998).....	21
<i>Fourth Estate Pub. Benefit Corp. v. Wall-Street.com, LLC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2707 (2018).....	19, 20
<i>Getty Images (US), Inc. v. Virtual Clinics</i> , No. C13-0626 (JLR), 2014 WL 1116775 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 20, 2014)	26
<i>Golan v. Holder</i> , 565 U.S. 302 (2012).....	13
<i>Gonzales v. Transfer Techs., Inc.</i> , 301 F.3d 608 (7th Cir. 2002).....	23
<i>Harper & Row Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enters.</i> , 471 U.S. 539 (1985).....	6
<i>Hounddog Prods., L.L.C. v. Empire Film Grp., Inc.</i> , 826 F. Supp. 2d 619 (S.D.N.Y. 2011)	26
<i>Iconbazaar, L.L.C. v. Am. Online, Inc.</i> , 308 F. Supp. 2d 630 (M.D.N.C. 2004)	28
<i>International Kitchen Exhaust Cleaning Ass'n. v. Power Washers of N. Am.</i> , 81 F. Supp. 2d 70 (D.D.C. 2000).....	16

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.