

No. 17-571

---

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

FOURTH ESTATE PUBLIC BENEFIT CORPORATION,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

WALL-STREET.COM, LLC AND JERROLD D. BURDEN,  
*Respondents.*

---

**On Writ of Certiorari  
to the United States Court of Appeals  
for the Eleventh Circuit**

---

**REPLY BRIEF FOR PETITIONER**

---

JOEL B. ROTHMAN  
JEROLD I. SCHNEIDER  
SCHNEIDER ROTHMAN  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
LAW GROUP, PLLC  
4651 N. Federal Highway  
Boca Raton, Florida 33431  
(561) 404-4350

AARON M. PANNER  
*Counsel of Record*  
GREGORY G. RAPAWY  
GRACE W. KNOCZYNSKI  
COLLIN R. WHITE  
KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD,  
FIGEL & FREDERICK, P.L.L.C.  
1615 M Street, N.W.  
Suite 400  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 326-7900  
(apanner@kellogghansen.com)

November 13, 2018

---

---

## **RULE 29.6 STATEMENT**

Pursuant to this Court's Rule 29.6, petitioner Fourth Estate Public Benefit Corporation states that it is a public benefit corporation that has not issued any stock.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
RULE 29.6 STATEMENT .....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
ARGUMENT .....	3
I. “REGISTRATION” IS “MADE” FOR PURPOSES OF § 411(A) BY THE COPYRIGHT OWNER.....	3
A. The Statute Uses the Phrase “Make Registration” and Its Variants To Refer to Compliance with the Re- quirements of § 408(a) .....	3
B. Allowing a Copyright Owner To Sue for Infringement Once the Copy- right Owner Has Complied with the Registration Requirement Comports with the Structure of § 411(a) and the Rest of the Copyright Act.....	11
II. THE ACT’S HISTORY CONFIRMS THAT A COPYRIGHT OWNER MAY INSTITUTE AN ACTION FOR IN- FRINGEMENT AFTER COMPLYING WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS FOR REGISTRATION.....	16
III. THE COPYRIGHT ACT’S SCHEME OF RIGHTS AND REMEDIES FA- VORS ALLOWING SUITS TO PRO- CEED ONCE THE COYPRIGHT OWNER HAS COMPLIED WITH RE- QUIRED FORMALITIES .....	19
CONCLUSION.....	22

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Almendarez-Torres v. United States</i> , 523 U.S. 224 (1998) .....	8
<i>American Broad. Co. v. Aereo, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2498 (2014) .....	16, 18
<i>Brotherhood of R.R. Trainmen v. Baltimore &amp; O.R.R. Co.</i> , 331 U.S. 519 (1947) .....	10
<i>Bruesewitz v. Wyeth LLC</i> , 562 U.S. 223 (2011) .....	19
<i>Caraco Pharm. Labs., Ltd. v. Novo Nordisk A/S</i> , 566 U.S. 399 (2012) .....	14
<i>Carol Barnhart Inc. v. Economy Cover Corp.</i> , 773 F.2d 411 (2d Cir. 1985).....	21
<i>Chan v. Korean Air Lines, Ltd.</i> , 490 U.S. 122 (1989) .....	4
<i>Cosmetic Ideas, Inc. v. IAC/Interactivecorp.</i> , 606 F.3d 612 (9th Cir. 2010) .....	19
<i>Roth Greeting Cards v. United Card Co.</i> , 429 F.2d 1106 (9th Cir. 1970) .....	2, 17
<i>Vacheron &amp; Constantin-Le Coultre Watches, Inc. v. Benrus Watch Co.</i> , 260 F.2d 637 (2d Cir. 1958), .....	2, 16, 17, 18
<i>Washingtonian Publ'g Co. v. Pearson</i> , 306 U.S. 30 (1939) .....	12, 19
<i>White-Smith Music Publ'g Co. v. Goff</i> , 187 F. 247 (1st Cir. 1911) .....	2, 17

## STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Berne Convention Implementation Act of 1988, Pub. L. No. 100-568, § 9(b)(1), 102 Stat. 2853, 2859.....	19
Copyright Act of 1909, ch. 320, 35 Stat. 1075.....	12, 16, 17
§ 13, 35 Stat. 1078 .....	17
Copyright Act of 1976, Pub. L. No. 94-553, 90 Stat. 2541.....	2, 3, 7, 9, 18, 19
§ 110, 90 Stat. 2600, <i>reprinted in</i> 17 U.S.C.	
§ 407 note .....	7
Copyright Act (17 U.S.C.).....	<i>passim</i>
17 U.S.C. § 102(a).....	12, 19
17 U.S.C. § 205 .....	8
17 U.S.C. § 205(a).....	8
17 U.S.C. § 205(c) .....	8
17 U.S.C. § 205(c)(1).....	8
17 U.S.C. § 405(b).....	6
17 U.S.C. § 406(a).....	9
17 U.S.C. § 406(a)(1).....	8
17 U.S.C. § 406(a)(2).....	8
17 U.S.C. § 408 .....	1, 3, 6, 12
17 U.S.C. § 408(a).....	3, 10
17 U.S.C. § 408(b)(2).....	15
17 U.S.C. § 408(c)(3).....	6, 10
17 U.S.C. § 408(f) .....	9, 15

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.