

No. 17-67

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

CITY OF FRESNO AND  
OFFICER GREG CATTON,

*Petitioners,*

v.

CHRIS WILLIS AND MARY WILLIS,  
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSORS  
IN INTEREST TO STEPHEN WILLIS,

*Respondents.*

---

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the  
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

---

**BRIEF IN OPPOSITION**

---

ELLEN LAKE, ESQ.  
*Counsel of Record*  
4230 Lakeshore Avenue  
Oakland, CA 94610  
(510)272-9393  
elake@earthlink.net

WALTER H. WALKER, III,  
ESQ.  
WALKER, HAMILTON,  
KOENIG & BURBIDGE,  
LLP  
50 Francisco Street, Ste. 460  
San Francisco, CA 94133  
(415) 986-3339  
walter@whkb-law.com

*Attorneys for Respondents Chris Willis and Mary Willis,  
individually and as successors in interest to  
Stephen Willis*

**QUESTIONS PRESENTED**

1. Would a reasonable police officer have known it was a clearly established constitutional violation to use deadly force by shooting in the back a man who is lying motionless on the ground and not reaching for a gun and thus poses no immediate threat to the officer or others?
2. Is the issue of attorneys' fees ripe for review when the final amount of attorneys' fees has not yet been determined because the Court of Appeals has remanded the case for further trial on additional damages to be awarded under 42 U.S.C. §1983?

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
INTRODUCTION .....	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	2
REASONS FOR DENYING THE WRIT.....	6
I. PETITIONERS' FIRST QUESTION, REGARDING QUALIFIED IMMUNI- TY, IS BASED ON AN ASSUMPTION ABOUT THE EXISTENCE OF FACTS THAT THE JURY REJECT- ED. ....	6
II. PETITIONERS' SECOND QUES- TION, REGARDING AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES UNDER SEC- TION 1983 WHERE THE JURY HAS AWARDED ONLY NOMINAL DAM- AGES, IS NOT RIPE FOR REVIEW. THE COURT OF APPEALS HAS RE- VERSED THE JUDGMENT, AFTER RULING THAT THE DISTRICT COURT SHOULD NOT HAVE RE- STRICTED THE JURY TO AWARD- ING ONLY NOMINAL DAMAGES, AND HAS REMANDED THE CASE FOR A NEW TRIAL ON DAMAGES, WHICH WILL AFFECT THE AMOUNT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AWARDED. ....	10
CONCLUSION.....	14

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Pages
<i>Aubin v. Fudala</i> , 782 F.2d 287 (1st Cir. 1986) .....	13
<i>Bridges v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i> , 102. F.3d 56 (2d Cir. 1996) .....	13
<i>Chaudhry v. City of Los Angeles</i> , 751 F.3d 1096 (9th Cir. 2014).....	11
<i>DTD Enters, Inc. v. Wells</i> , 130 S. Ct. 7(2009) .....	12
<i>Farrar v. Hobby</i> , 56 U.S. 103 (1992) .....	14
<i>Hamilton-Brown Shoe Company v. Wolf Bros. &amp; Co.</i> , 240 U.S. 251 (1916) .....	12
<i>Hawa Abdi Jama v. Esmor Corr. Servs.</i> , 577 F.3d 169 (3d Cir. 2009) .....	13
<i>Hensley v. Eckerhart</i> , 461 U.S. 424 (1983).....	13
<i>NOW v. Operation Rescue</i> , 37 F.3d 646 (D.C. Cir. 1994) .....	13
<i>Virginia Military Institute v. United States</i> , 508 U.S. 946 (1993) .....	12
 <b>Miscellaneous</b>	
Robert L. Stern, <i>et al.</i> , “Supreme Court Prac- tice” (9th ed. 2007).....	12

## INTRODUCTION

Neither question raised by the petition is worthy of certiorari.

The first question, relating to qualified immunity, is based on a factual account that is contradicted by the jury's verdict. Petitioners frame the issue based on the assumption that Officer Catton is entitled to qualified immunity because he shot respondents' decedent, Stephen Willis, while Willis was reaching for a gun that was "within inches" of his hand. (Pet. i.) Yet those were not the facts as determined by the jury. As the District Court concluded—and the Court of Appeals agreed—"[t]he jury's verdict does not permit a finding that Stephen Willis was reaching for his revolver. Indeed, the jury's verdict *necessarily* means the jury did not believe Stephen Willis was reaching for his revolver when Officer Catton fired the final shot(s)." (Pet. App. 30, italics in original.) There is no reason to grant certiorari to decide a hypothetical legal issue that is not supported by the facts of the case.

Petitioners next ask this Court to rule on a question of attorneys' fees when the amount of attorneys' fees awarded to respondents has not yet been finally determined in the District Court, so the question is not ripe for review. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals remanded this case back to the District Court for a trial on additional damages for Stephen Willis' pre-death pain and suffering. Those additional damages will affect the District Court's determination on attorneys' fees. The Ninth Circuit instructed the District Court to revisit the question of attorneys' fees after this new trial. There is no reason to grant

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.