

No. \_\_\_\_\_

Legal Mail  
Received

DEC 20 2017

Dade C.I.

AM

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
\_\_\_\_\_

**ARNALDO MARTINEZ** - PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

**JULIE L. JONES, etc.** - RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

**FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL**

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

**ARNALDO MARTINEZ**

(Your Name)

**DADE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION**

**1900 SW 377<sup>TH</sup> STREET / C2-131-S**

**FLORIDA CITY, FL 33034-0530**

(Address)

**UNKNOWN**

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

JAN 3 - 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

WHETHER TRIAL COURT ABUSED THEIR AUTHORITY BY REFUSING TO ADDRESS AND OR RESOLVE PETITIONER CLAIM?

WHETHER TRIAL COURT ABUSED THEIR AUTHORITY BY REFUSING TO ATTACH A PORTION OF THE RECORD TO REFUTE PETITIONER CLAIM?

WHETHER TRIAL COURT ABUSED THEIR AUTHORITY BY REFUSING TO ADDRESS REHEARING ON THIS CLAIM?

WHETHER DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL ABUSED THEIR AUTHORITY BY REFUSING TO ADDRESS AND OR REVERSE PETITIONER HABEAS CORPUS BACK TO THE TRIAL TO RESOLVE?

WHETHER DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL ABUSED THEIR AUTHORITY BY REFUSING TO ADDRESS PETITIONER REHEARING?

WHETHER ALL COURTS THAT DENIED PETITIONER CLAIMS VIOLATED THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. Ms. Julie L. Jones, etc., Secretary Florida Dept. of Corrections, Appellee; 501 South Calhoun Street; Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2500

2. Attorney General Office, Mrs. Pamela Jo. Bondi; The Capitol, Suite PL-01; Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050

3. SClerk of the Court, Fifth District Court of Appeal; 300 South Beach Street; Daytona Beach, Florida 32114-5002

4. Clerk of the Court; 18<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit Court; P.O. Box 8099; Sanford, Florida 32772-8099

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
MEMORANDUM OF LAW .....	3
JURISDICTION .....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	5
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	17
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT ON GROUND ONE .....	6
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT ON GROUND TWO .....	8
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT ON GROUND THREE .....	15
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT ON GROUND FOUR .....	16
INDEX TO APPENDICES	
APPENDIX – (A) Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Dated 12-12-16	
APPENDIX – (B) Court Order Denying Habeas Corpus Dated 1-4-17	
APPENDIX – (C) Motion for Rehearing, Dated 1-18-17	
APPENDIX – (D) Court Order Denying Rehearing, Dated March 6, 2017	
APPENDIX – (E) Notice of Appeal, Dated March 16, 2017	
APPENDIX – (F) Court Order Per Curiam Appeal, Dated June 13, 2017	
APPENDIX – (G) Court Order to File Rehearing Granted, Dated July 28, 2017	
APPENDIX – (H) Motion for Rehearing by Petitioner, Dated 8-24-17	
APPENDIX – (I) Court Order Denying Motion for Rehearing, Dated 11-26-17	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

<u>CASES</u>	<u>PAGE NUMBER</u>
State v. Anderson, 537 So.2d 1372 (Fla. 1989) .....	10
Hpt v. People of the Territory of Utah, 114 U.S. 491-92 (1885) .....	10
Gray v. State, 404 So.2d 388 (Fla. 1981) .....	13
Nelson v. State, 398 So.2d 920 (Fla. 1981) .....	13
State v. Tuttle, 177 So.3d 1246 (Fla. 2015) .....	15
<b>STATUTES AND RULES</b>	
Rule 3.133, Fla.R.Crim.P. ....	6, 10, 14
Rule 3.140(G) & (B) .....	6, 10, 12, 13
F.S. 79.01-09 .....	18
f.S. 893.135(1)(a) (2006) .....	8, 15
F.S. 837.02 .....	9, 14
F.S. 27.04 .....	12
F.S. 896.101(3)(a) & (5) .....	16
F.S. 896.104(2)(c) & (4)(a) .....	16
<b>OTHER</b>	
Article 1 § 15 .....	6, 10, 17
Article 1 § 13 .....	18

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.