IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

R. J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY,

Petitioner,

v.

JAN GROSSMAN, as personal representative of the Estate of Laura Grossman, deceased,

Respondent.

On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari To The Florida District Court Of Appeal For The Fourth District

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CHARLES R.A. MORSE JONES DAY 250 Vesey Street New York, NY 10281 (212) 326-3939 MICHAEL A. CARVIN

Counsel of Record

YAAKOV ROTH

JONES DAY

51 Louisiana Ave., N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

(202) 879-3939

mcarvin@jonesday.com

Counsel for Petitioner R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.



QUESTION PRESENTED

This case presents a question also raised in the petition for a writ of certiorari filed September 15, 2017, in *R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. v. Graham*, No. 17-415:

When there is no way to tell whether a prior jury found particular facts against a party, does due process permit those facts to be conclusively presumed against that party in subsequent litigation?



PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING AND RULE 29.6 STATEMENT

The plaintiff below was Jan Grossman, as personal representative of the estate of his deceased wife, Laura Grossman.

The defendant below was petitioner R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company. The complaint also named as defendants Philip Morris USA Inc., Lorillard Tobacco Company, Liggett Group LLC, and Vector Group Ltd., but those entities were dismissed before trial and were not parties to the appeal.

Petitioner R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Holdings, Inc., which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Reynolds American Inc., which in turn is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of British American Tobacco p.l.c., a publicly held corporation.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page	
QUESTION	I PRESI	ENTED	i	
		PROCEEDING AND		
		STATEMENT		
PETITION	FOR A	WRIT OF CERTIORARI	1	
OPINIONS	BELOV	V	1	
JURISDIC	ΓΙΟΝ		1	
CONSTITU	TIONA	L PROVISION INVOLVE	D2	
STATEME	NT OF T	ΓΗΕ CASE	2	
A.		istory Of The <i>Engle</i> tion	4	
	1.	The failed class action in <i>Engle</i>	4	
		The <i>Engle</i> -progeny litigation	7	
В.	The Pr	roceedings In This Case	8	
C.	The Eleventh Circuit's En Banc Decision In <i>Graham</i> 10			
REASONS	FOR GI	RANTING THE PETITION	J12	
Plain Estal	tiffs Of olishing	Courts' Decision To Relieve The Burden Of Essential Elements Of laims Violates Due Process		
	The Court Should Hold This Petition Pending Resolution Of <i>Graham</i>			
CONCLUS	ION		16	



iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

rag	ţе
APPENDIX A: Order of the Supreme Court of Florida (Aug. 31, 2017)1	a
APPENDIX B: Opinion of the Fourth District Court of Appeal of Florida (Jan. 4, 2017)3	a
APPENDIX C: Respondent's Motion to Lift Stay	a



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

