NO.: 17/8000

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Sororazam Bethune - Petitioner

VS.

MTA Long Island Bus - Respondent.

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO DEFENDANTS
OPPOSITION BRIEF

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Sororazam Bethune, Petitioner, Prose YMCA-Samaritan Village, Van Siclen Shelter

89-25 Parsons Blvd., No. 534

Jamaica, New York 11432

Tel. No.: 516-423-0608



QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- (a) Obstruction of Justice during Nov. 5, 2015 Liability Trial by Sup. Ct., NC.
- (b) Mis-Representation, Legal Malpractice; By my attorney not filing papers on time, and dismissing my case for untimely filing of: "OSC", Untimely Appeal from the 4/22/2016 Judgment.
- (c) Facts of my Permanent Irreversible
 Debilitating Injuries, Surgeries, Loss of
 Abilities, Real Estate Business and Real
 Estate Income; Hope and Motivation; Pain
 and Suffering; and
- (d) FEDERAL QUESTION: There is OCNFLICT between Court of Appeal's Decision dated Sept. 8, 2016 and App. Div. 2ndJ.D. Decision dated June 30, 2016; specifically the Court of Appeals Decision dated Sept. 8, 2016 stating:

"Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the June 2016 Appellate Division Order, dismissed upon the Order does Not finally Determine the Action within the Meaning of the Constitution.".

- (e) MTA did not file Answer on time in Nov. 2012; MTA filed answer TWO years later in Nov. 2014; Armienti et al. backdated it to Nov. 2012.
- (f) MTA did not produce the Latino Driver who injured, crippled me life time; affected my children detrimentally. MTA / MTA attorneys could have produced the Latino Driver who injured me, but manipulated, Mis-represented facts decided Not to, in order to dismiss my permanent injuries, surgeries and disabilities.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

_		PAGE
I.	JURISDICTION There is a CONFLICT between the Court of Appeals' September 8, 2016 Decision with respect to App. Div. 2nd J.D. Decision dated June 30, 2016.	1
	A. The Clerk at Court of Appeals, Albany, NY, advised me that I could make a Motion to the United States Supreme Court in Washington D.C.	1
II.	CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED (a) Obstruction of Justice. (b) Legal Malpractice by my attorney. (c) Permanent Irreversible Disabilities. (d) Federal Question (Conflict). (e) MTA did not file "Answer" in 2012. (f) MTA did not produce the Latino Driver.	2-3
III.	STATEMENTS OF FACTS	3
	B. Justice Angela Iannaci's Order Dated July 6, 2015; Entered July 8, 2015 Denied Def.'s Motion for Summary Judgment, found the Driver "Negligent".	3
	C. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE DURING NOV. 5, 2015 IABILITY TRIAL: Approx. 2.5 Hours of Threats.	4
	D. Michael Paglino's Jan. 7, 2016 Affm. Par. 26.	4
	E. Justice Feinman Disclosure Re MTA case when After years of Litigation Plaintiff passed away.	5
	F. Mr. Paglino using Vanessa Corchia's Slandering Strategy against injured plaintiffs.	5
	G. I was injured on Aug. 24, 2011 before MTA L.I. Bus Discharged MTA on Dec. 30, 2011.	6
	H. Stuart A. Jackson, my attorney called me last week In April, 2016, told me that MTA had filed Money Judgment of \$1200, told me I did not have to pay, and that he was going to file appeal from the Judgment.	6



I. There is Question of Federal Law and Question of Law for this Court's Review of Petition and Motion.	7
 J. Michael Paglino is making manipulative, false, misleading statements; and not disclosing my communication with the App. Div. 2nd J. D. Regarding my attorney's Release. 	7
 K. My daughter was represented by same attorney in Her Injury cases at: (i) "NUMC" municipality hospital; (ii) Brunswick Hospital, both cases dismissed in Sup. Ct. N.C., and at App. Div. 2nd J.D. due to Mis-representation. 	8-9
L. Michael Paglino in his Opposition Brief, Pg.: 14 Degrading a person with disabilities: "This is simply a matter of Unsuccessful Litigant".	9
M. Eardrum Rupture, Tinnitus by ENT, Dr. Monhian Responding to Michael Paglino Slandering me.	9
N. Dr. Monhian's Medical Records Admitting Negligence.	10
O. Dr. Monhian's Attorney, Denise Buda stated: "Your only Remedy is to sue your attorney for legal Malpractice".	10
P. Eviction Case: Mayfield no longer funding; I offered To Court and Landlord to place a Lien against my Lawsuit for owed amount plus interest, and let me and my daughter stay for Lease Term, but Landlord did not agree.	11
Q. Defendant's attorney in his Opposition Brief: Pg.: 11, states that Court of Appeals dismissed my case on Sept. 12, 2017. However, he manipulates and does not disclose to this Court that App. Div. 2 nd J.D.'s Order dated August 17, 2017 (APPENDIX NO. 6) did not permit my Appeal to the Court of Appeals, and that Court of Appeals could not review my case 2 nd time Without Permission by App. Div. 2 nd J. D.	11

IV. CONCLUSION

12



APPENDIX INDEX:

APPENDIX NO. 1:

Michael Paglino's Affm. 01/07/2016: Par: 26:

APPENDIX NO. 2:

MARLO POLESE'S AFF. DATED DEC. 29, 2015.

APPENDIX NO. 3:

Vanessa Corchia Affirmations attached to Michael Paglino's Brief Opposition dated 04/05/2018 as

APPENDIX Nos: 16 and 19.

APPENDIX NO. 4:

Stuart A. Jackson's Letter asking App. Div. 2nd J.D. for a Hearing which was rejected by the App. Div. 2nd J.D.; and letters between App. Div. 2nd J.D.,

myself & Stuart A. Jackson re Discharge.

APPENDIX: NO. 5:

Affs. Dated June 30, 2017 Pg: 10; and July 16, 2017 Pg: 5; asking Court to let us stay at the Apt. and place a Lien by Landlord for Months of unpaid Rent; and any future rent including Interest, but

Landlord and Court did not agree.

APPENDIX NO. 6:

Appellate Division Second J.D. Dec. Dated July 17,

Not Permitting Appeal to Court of Appeal

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES:

PAGE NO.

Justice Angella Iannacci's Order Entered07/08/2015:

3

Zuckerman v. City of New York, 49 NY2d 557 [1980]).

Urquhart v New York City Transit Auth., 85 NY2d 828 [1995].

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

