In the Supreme Court of the United States

STATE OF GEORGIA, ET AL., PETITIONERS,

υ.

PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ANTHONY B. ASKEW LISA C. PAVENTO MEUNIER CARLIN & CURFMAN LLC 999 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 1300 Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 645-7700

Daniel R. Ortiz
University of
Virginia School of
Law Supreme Court
Litigation Clinic
580 Massie Road
Charlottesville, VA

22903

John P. Elwood Joshua S. Johnson Counsel of Record Matthew X. Etchemendy Vinson & Elkins LLP 2200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20037 (202) 639-6623 joshjohnson@velaw.com



QUESTION PRESENTED

This Court has held, as a matter of "public policy," that judicial opinions are not copyrightable. *Banks* v. *Manchester*, 128 U.S. 244, 253-254 (1888). Lower courts have extended that holding to state statutes. See, *e.g.*, *John G. Danielson*, *Inc.* v. *Winchester-Conant Props.*, *Inc.*, 322 F.3d 26, 38 (1st Cir. 2003). But the rule that "government edicts" cannot be copyrighted has "proven difficult to apply when the material in question does not fall neatly into the categories of statutes or judicial opinions." *Ibid*.

The question presented is:

Whether the government edicts doctrine extends to—and thus renders uncopyrightable—works that lack the force of law, such as the annotations in the Official Code of Georgia Annotated.



PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

- 1. Petitioners, the State of Georgia and the Georgia Code Revision Commission, on behalf of and for the benefit of the General Assembly of Georgia, were plaintiffs and counter-defendants in the district court, and appellees below.
- 2. Respondent Public.Resource.Org, Inc., was the defendant and counter-claimant in the district court, and the appellant below.



III

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
Question PresentedI
Parties To The ProceedingsII
Appendix Contents IV
Table Of AuthoritiesV
Opinions Below
Jurisdiction 1
Constitutional And Statutory Provisions
Involved 1
Introduction
Statement
Reasons For Granting The Petition
I. The Decision Below Exacerbates An Acknowledged Split Of Authority On The Scope Of The Government Edicts Doctrine 15
A. Courts Of Appeals Are Sharply Divided On The Government Edicts Doctrine
B. The OCGA Annotations Would Be Copyrightable In Other Circuits
II. The Decision Below Is Wrong 24
III. This Case Presents An Ideal Vehicle For Resolving An Issue Of Unquestionable Importance
Conclusion 36



IV

APPENDIX CONTENTS

	Page
APPENDIX A: Opinion of the U.S. Court of	
Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit	
(Oct. 19, 2018)	1a
APPENDIX B: Order of the U.S. District	
Court for the Northern District of Georgia	
(Mar. 23, 2017)	54a
APPENDIX C: Permanent Injunction	
Order of the U.S. District Court for the	
Northern District of Georgia (Apr. 7, 2017)	74a
APPENDIX D: Constitutional and	
Statutory Provisions	76a



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

