

No. 18-1150

**In The
Supreme Court of the United States**

—◆—
STATE OF GEORGIA, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.,

Respondent.

—◆—
**On Writ Of Certiorari To The
United States Court Of Appeals
For The Eleventh Circuit**

—◆—
**BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE INTERNET
ASSOCIATION SUPPORTING RESPONDENT**

—◆—
JOSEPH C. GRATZ
Counsel of Record
DURIE TANGRI LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 362-6666
jgratz@durietangri.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTEREST OF THE AMICUS CURIAE	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT	4
I. Unless parties other than Lexis are permitted to host the OCGA, the text of the OCGA cannot serve its proper role in informing the public	4
II. Businesses need certainty regarding which sources of government data may be subject to copyright	9
III. Respondent’s proposed rule should be adopted because petitioners’ “force of law” rule is underinclusive and difficult to administer	11
IV. Neither the Supremacy Clause nor the Takings Clause is relevant here.....	14
A. The Supremacy Clause is irrelevant because the question is entirely one of federal copyright law	14
B. The Takings Clause is far afield from the questions here	17
CONCLUSION.....	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Allen v. Jones</i> , 269 Ga. App. 607 (2004)	13
<i>Banks v. Manchester</i> , 128 U.S. 244 (1888)	4, 11, 17
<i>Callaghan v. Myers</i> , 128 U.S. 617 (1888)	4, 11
<i>Capital Cities Cable, Inc. v. Crisp</i> , 467 U.S. 691 (1984).....	15
<i>Fogerty v. Fantasy, Inc.</i> , 510 U.S. 517 (1994).....	9
<i>Reno v. Am. Civil Liberties Union</i> , 521 U.S. 844 (1997).....	4
<i>Ruckelshaus v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 467 U.S. 986 (1984).....	16
<i>Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Stiffel Co.</i> , 376 U.S. 225 (1964).....	14
<i>Sola Elec. Co. v. Jefferson Elec. Co.</i> , 317 U.S. 173 (1942).....	14
<i>Sperry v. Florida ex rel. Fla. Bar</i> , 373 U.S. 379 (1963).....	15
STATUTES	
17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(1).....	9
OCGA § 1-1-1	5
OCGA § 1-1-8(d).....	6
OCGA § 8-2-20(9)(B)(i)(I)	7
OCGA § 8-2-25(a).....	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	Page
OCGA § 10-5-2(a)(26)	13
OCGA § 11-9-521(a).....	12
Copyright Act § 201(e).....	15, 16
 RULES AND REGULATIONS	
U.S. Sup. Ct. R. 37.6.....	1
 OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Amazon Web Services Open Data Registry, at https://registry.opendata.aws	1
Francis M. Nevins, Jr., <i>When an Author’s Mar- riage Dies: The Copyright-Divorce Connection</i> , 37 J. Copyright Soc’y U.S.A. 382 (1990).....	16
Georgia Attorney General, Unofficial Opinions, at https://law.georgia.gov/opinions/unofficial	10
Georgia State Amendments to the International Building Code (2012 Edition), at https://www.dca. ga.gov/sites/default/files/2018_ibcamendments. pdf	8
Google Cloud Public Datasets, at https://cloud. google.com/public-datasets/	1
Google Patents, at https://patents.google.com/	9
International Building Code § 503.1	8
Microsoft Azure Open Datasets, at https://azure. microsoft.com/en-us/services/open-datasets/	1
Nebraska Uniform Commercial Code § 9-521(a)	13

INTEREST OF THE AMICUS CURIAE¹

Internet Association represents roughly forty leading technology companies. Its membership includes a broad range of Internet companies, from travel sites and online marketplaces to social networking services and search engines. Internet Association advances public policy solutions that strengthen and protect Internet freedoms, foster innovation and economic growth, and empower small businesses and the public. It respectfully submits this Brief of Amicus Curiae in Support of Respondent to encourage this Court to consider the importance of authoritative government data to the modern, innovative Internet and its users.

In particular, a number of Internet Association members make open government data available for public use.² Increased certainty regarding the types of government information whose dissemination can be controlled using copyright, and the types of government information that are available for public use, will

¹ Counsel for the parties have consented in writing to the filing of this brief. Pursuant to Rule 37.6, no counsel for either party had any role in authoring this brief in whole or in part, and no party other than the named amicus or its members has made any monetary contribution toward the preparation and submission of this brief.

² See, e.g., Google Cloud Public Datasets, at <https://cloud.google.com/public-datasets/>; Microsoft Azure Open Datasets, at <https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/services/open-datasets/>; Amazon Web Services Open Data Registry, at <https://registry.opendata.aws>.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.