IN THE

## Supreme Court of the United States

ANDREI IANCU, UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR, UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE,

—v.—

Petitioner,

ERIK BRUNETTI,

Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

# BRIEF AMICI CURIAE OF THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION AND THE ACLU OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT

Arthur B. Spitzer

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

UNION OF THE DISTRICT

OF COLUMBIA

915 15th Street, NW, 2nd Floor

Washington, DC 20005

David D. Cole
Counsel of Record

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
915 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 675-2330
dcole@aclu.org

Emerson Sykes
Vera Eidelman
Esha Bhandari
Jennesa Calvo-Friedman
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
125 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAB	LE OF AUTHORITIES	. ii
INTE	ERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE	. 1
SUM	MARY OF ARGUMENT	. 2
ARG	UMENT	. 5
I.	THE LANHAM ACT'S PROHIBITION ON REGISTERING TRADEMARKS THAT INCLUDE "IMMORALOR SCANDALOU" MATTER" IS VIEWPOINT-BASED DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT.	
II.	THE GOVERNMENT'S ATTEMPT TO NARROW THE SCANDALOUS-MARKS PROVISION TO PROHIBIT ONLY VULGAL MARKS CANNOT SAVE IT	
III.	EVEN IF THE SCANDALOUS-MARKS PROVISION WERE DEEMED VIEWPOINT-NEUTRAL, IT COULD NOT PASS CONSTITUTIONAL MUSTER	11
IV.	THE SCANDALOUS-MARKS PROVISION IS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY VAGUE	16
V.	INVALIDATING THE SCANDALOUS-MARKS PROVISION WOULD BRING TRADEMARK LAW IN LINE WITH COPYRIGHT AND PATENT LAW, WHERE VIEWPOINT-BASED "MORALITY" JUDGMENTS PLAY NO ROLE	21
CON	CLUSION	23



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

## **CASES**

Agency for Int'l Dev. v. All. for Open Soc'y Int'l, Inc., 570 U.S. 205 (2013)14
Belcher v. Tarbox, 486 F.2d 1087 (9th Cir. 1973) 22
Bethel Sch. Dist. No. 403 v. Fraser, 478 U.S. 675 (1986)
Bleistein v. Donaldson Lithographing Co., 188 U.S. 239 (1903)22
Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm'n, 447 U.S. 557 (1980)
Christian Legal Soc'y Chapter of the Univ. of Cal. Hastings Coll. of the Law v. Martinez, 561 U.S. 661 (2010)13, 14
City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc., 475 U.S. 41 (1986)
Cohen v. California, 403 U.S. 15 (1971)passim
Ex parte Murphy, 200 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 801 (1977)21
FCC v. Pacifica Foundation, 438 U.S. 726 (1978)
Grayned v. City of Rockford, 408 U.S. 104 (1972) 16
In Re Red Bull Gmbh, 78 U.S.P.Q.2d 1375 (T.T.A.B. 2006)6
In re Riverbank Canning Co., 95 F.2d 327 (C.C.P.A. 1938)
In Re Tinseltown, Inc., 212 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 863 (T.T.A.B. 1981)



Keyishian v. Bd. of Regents, 385 U.S. 589 (1967) 16
Matal v. Tam, 137 S. Ct. 1744 (2017)passim
Miller v. California, 413 U.S. 15 (1973)
Mitchell Bros. Film Grp. v. Cinema Adult Theater, 604 F.2d 852 (5th Cir. 1979)
Perry Educ. Ass'n v. Perry Local Educators' Ass'n, 460 U.S. 37 (1983)
Police Dep't of Chicago v. Mosley, 408 U.S. 92 (1972)
R. A. V. v. City of St. Paul, 505 U.S. 377 (1992)
Regan v. Taxation With Representation of Wash., 461 U.S. 540 (1983)
Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union, 521 U.S. 844 (1997)
Rust v. Sullivan, 500 U.S. 173 (1991) 14
Texas v. Johnson, 491 U.S. 397 (1989) 2, 12
Thomas v. Union Carbide Agric. Prods. Co., 473 U.S. 568 (1985)
Widmar v. Vincent, 454 U.S. 263 (1981) 14
Ysursa v. Pocatello Educ. Ass'n, 555 U.S. 353 (2009)
CONSTITUTION & STATUTES
U.S. Const. amend. Ipassim
15 II S C & 1052(a) nassim

## OTHER AUTHORITIES

Jean M. Twenge, Hannah VanLandingham, & W. Keith Campbell, <i>The Seven Words You Can Never</i> Say on Television: Increases in the Use of Swear	
Words in American Books, 1950–2008, SAGE Ope (2017), https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/2158244017723689	
Merriam-Webster Dictionary, occupy, https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/ occupy (last visited Mar. 19, 2019)	20
Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP (Oct. 2018)	_



## DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

