

No. 18-302

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

ANDREI IANCU, UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR,
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE,

—v.—

Petitioner,

ERIK BRUNETTI,

Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

**BRIEF *AMICI CURIAE* OF
THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION AND
THE ACLU OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT**

Arthur B. Spitzer
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION OF THE DISTRICT
OF COLUMBIA
915 15th Street, NW, 2nd Floor
Washington, DC 20005

David D. Cole
Counsel of Record
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
915 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 675-2330
dcole@aclu.org

Emerson Sykes
Vera Eidelman
Esha Bhandari
Jennesa Calvo-Friedman
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
125 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT	5
I. THE LANHAM ACT’S PROHIBITION ON REGISTERING TRADEMARKS THAT INCLUDE “IMMORAL ...OR SCANDALOUS MATTER” IS VIEWPOINT-BASED DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT.	5
II. THE GOVERNMENT’S ATTEMPT TO NARROW THE SCANDALOUS-MARKS PROVISION TO PROHIBIT ONLY VULGAR MARKS CANNOT SAVE IT.....	7
III. EVEN IF THE SCANDALOUS- MARKS PROVISION WERE DEEMED VIEWPOINT-NEUTRAL, IT COULD NOT PASS CONSTITUTIONAL MUSTER.....	11
IV. THE SCANDALOUS-MARKS PROVISION IS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY VAGUE.	16
V. INVALIDATING THE SCANDALOUS- MARKS PROVISION WOULD BRING TRADEMARK LAW IN LINE WITH COPYRIGHT AND PATENT LAW, WHERE VIEWPOINT-BASED “MORALITY” JUDGMENTS PLAY NO ROLE.	21
CONCLUSION.....	23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Agency for Int’l Dev. v. All. for Open Soc’y Int’l, Inc.</i> , 570 U.S. 205 (2013)	14
<i>Belcher v. Tarbox</i> , 486 F.2d 1087 (9th Cir. 1973)	22
<i>Bethel Sch. Dist. No. 403 v. Fraser</i> , 478 U.S. 675 (1986)	9, 10
<i>Bleistein v. Donaldson Lithographing Co.</i> , 188 U.S. 239 (1903)	22
<i>Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm’n</i> , 447 U.S. 557 (1980).....	15
<i>Christian Legal Soc’y Chapter of the Univ. of Cal. Hastings Coll. of the Law v. Martinez</i> , 561 U.S. 661 (2010)	13, 14
<i>City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc.</i> , 475 U.S. 41 (1986)	10
<i>Cohen v. California</i> , 403 U.S. 15 (1971).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Ex parte Murphy</i> , 200 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 801 (1977).....	21
<i>FCC v. Pacifica Foundation</i> , 438 U.S. 726 (1978).	7, 9
<i>Grayned v. City of Rockford</i> , 408 U.S. 104 (1972) ...	16
<i>In Re Red Bull GmbH</i> , 78 U.S.P.Q.2d 1375 (T.T.A.B. 2006)	6
<i>In re Riverbank Canning Co.</i> , 95 F.2d 327 (C.C.P.A. 1938).....	6
<i>In Re Tinseltown, Inc.</i> , 212 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 863 (T.T.A.B. 1981).....	6

<i>Keyishian v. Bd. of Regents</i> , 385 U.S. 589 (1967)	16
<i>Matal v. Tam</i> , 137 S. Ct. 1744 (2017)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Miller v. California</i> , 413 U.S. 15 (1973).....	10
<i>Mitchell Bros. Film Grp. v. Cinema Adult Theater</i> , 604 F.2d 852 (5th Cir. 1979)	21, 22
<i>Perry Educ. Ass’n v. Perry Local Educators’ Ass’n</i> , 460 U.S. 37 (1983)	11
<i>Police Dep’t of Chicago v. Mosley</i> , 408 U.S. 92 (1972)	11
<i>R. A. V. v. City of St. Paul</i> , 505 U.S. 377 (1992)	8, 11
<i>Regan v. Taxation With Representation of Wash.</i> , 461 U.S. 540 (1983)	14
<i>Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union</i> , 521 U.S. 844 (1997)	12, 16
<i>Rust v. Sullivan</i> , 500 U.S. 173 (1991)	14
<i>Texas v. Johnson</i> , 491 U.S. 397 (1989).....	2, 12
<i>Thomas v. Union Carbide Agric. Prods. Co.</i> , 473 U.S. 568 (1985)	17
<i>Widmar v. Vincent</i> , 454 U.S. 263 (1981).....	14
<i>Ysursa v. Pocatello Educ. Ass’n</i> , 555 U.S. 353 (2009)	14

CONSTITUTION & STATUTES

U.S. Const. amend. I.....	<i>passim</i>
15 U.S.C. § 1052(a)	<i>passim</i>

OTHER AUTHORITIES

- Jean M. Twenge, Hannah VanLandingham, & W. Keith Campbell, *The Seven Words You Can Never Say on Television: Increases in the Use of Swear Words in American Books, 1950–2008*, SAGE Open (2017), <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/2158244017723689>..... 20
- Merriam-Webster Dictionary, *occupy*, <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/occupy> (last visited Mar. 19, 2019) 20
- Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure* (TMEP) (Oct. 2018) 6, 16, 17, 19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.