

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STEVE WILSON BRIGGS,

Petitioner,

v.

SONY PICTURES ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

NEILL BLOMKAMP, ET AL,

Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

STEVE WILSON BRIGGS

Petitioner

In Propria Persona


4322 Chico Ave.

Santa Rosa, CA 95407

Phone: (510) 200 3763

Email: snc.steve@gmail.com

Petitioner



QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether by failing to clarify and update internet *widespread dissemination* access guidelines, U.S. courts imperil the rights of U.S. intellectual property owners.

2. Whether a decision based on the falsified report of a man who later confessed on FOX News that he was a “fixer” for President Clinton, can stand and set U.S. copyright precedent.

3. Whether a ruling that ignores superseding law, to base itself in subordinate law, can be valid.

4. Whether a plaintiff has the right to state his own copyright claims, or if the court has authority to omit and substantially alter a plaintiff's claims?

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING:

The Petitioner is Steve Wilson Briggs.

The Respondents are Neill Blomkamp, Sony Pictures Entertainment, Inc.,
Media Rights Capital II LP, TriStar Pictures Inc., and QED International

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iv
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI.....	1
OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	1
STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	1
STATEMENT.....	2
A. Proceedings In District Court.....	3
B. Proceedings In Court Of Appeals.....	5
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.....	7
I. THE QUESTION PRESENTED IS OF EXCEPTIONAL IMPORTANCE, AND THIS CASE IS A SUPERIOR VEHICLE FOR ADDRESSING THIS QUESTION (The Need to Clarify the Concept of Widespread Dissemination).....	7
II. THE LOWER COURTS' RULINGS IGNORE THE PETITIONER'S CITATION OF SUPERSEDING AND PREVAILING LAW, AND RELY ON SUBORDINATE / REVERSED LAW.....	10

III. THE LOWER COURT DECISIONS CONFLICT WITH THIS COURT, OTHER CIRCUITS, AND OTHER NINTH CIRCUIT DECISIONS (And Relies On Rejected “Dissection Analysis,” And Fails To Test Objective Similarity)..... 13

 A. The Court Improperly Used “Dissection Analysis,” Rejected By L.A. Printex..... 13

 B. The Court Failed To Test Objective Similarities of Protectable Elements.....15

IV. THE DISTRICT COURT OMITTED, DISMANTLED, ALTERED & RECONFIGURED PETITIONER’S COPYRIGHT CLAIMS (Denying Petitioner the Right to State and Defend His Own Copyright Claims)..... 16

 A. The Order Omits Dozens of Other Copyright Claims..... 20

 B. The Order Paraphrases “Expert” Rovin’s Falsified Report, and Makes Same or Similar Misstatements..... 21

V. THE JUDGEMENT IS BASED ON THE FALSIFIED REPORT OF AN ELITE “FIXER”..... 24

CONCLUSION..... 26

APPENDIX

Appendix Table..... 1a

A = Opinion (Memorandum) of the Court of Appeals..... 2a

B = Order of the District Court..... 5a

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.