

No. 18-956

---

---

In the  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

GOOGLE LLC,  
*Petitioner,*  
v.  
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,  
*Respondent.*

**On Writ of Certiorari to the United States  
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit**

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* AUTO CARE  
ASSOCIATION AND STATIC CONTROL  
COMPONENTS, INC. IN SUPPORT OF THE  
PETITIONER**

Seth D. Greenstein  
*Counsel of Record*  
Robert S. Schwartz  
Constantine Cannon LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Suite 1300 North  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
(202) 204-3500  
*sgreenstein@constantinecannon.com*

*Counsel for Amici Curiae Auto Care Association and  
Static Control Components, Inc.*

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... ii

STATEMENT OF INTEREST ..... 1

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....3

ARGUMENT .....5

I. INDEPENDENT BUSINESSES THAT REPAIR SOFTWARE-ENABLED PRODUCTS RELY ON ACCESS AND INTEROPERABILITY WITH NON-COPYRIGHTABLE ELEMENTS OF APIS .... 5

II. PROPER INTERPRETATION OF COPYRIGHT LAW AND DOCTRINES SHOWS THAT API DECLARING CODE IS NOT PROTECTABLE BY COPYRIGHT ..... 12

CONCLUSION.....17

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>ATC Distribution Grp., Inc. v. Whatever It Takes Transmissions</i> , 402 F.3d 700 (6th Cir. 2005).....	13
<i>Chamberlain Grp. v. Skylink Tech.</i> , 381 F.3d 1178 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	11
<i>Computer Assocs. Int’l v. Altai, Inc.</i> , 982 F.2d 693 (2d Cir. 1992) .....	4, 13
<i>Feist Publ’ns Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co.</i> , 499 U.S. 340 (1991) .....	12, 13, 14, 15
<i>Ford Motor Co. v. AUTEL US Inc.</i> , No. 14-13760, 2015 WL 5729067, Op. and Order, (E.D. Mich. Sept. 30, 2015) .....	8
<i>Gates Rubber Co. v. Bando Chem. Indus., Ltd.</i> , 9 F.3d 823 (10th Cir. 1993).....	13
<i>General Motors LLC v. Dorman Prods., Inc.</i> , No. 15-12917 2016, WL 5661578 (E.D. Mich. Sept. 30, 2016) .....	8
<i>Impression Prods. v. Lexmark Int’l.</i> , 137 S. Ct. 1523 (2017) .....	7
<i>In re Keurig Green Mountain</i> , 383 F. Supp. 3d 187 (S.D.N.Y. 2019).....	10
<i>Lexmark Int’l v. Static Control Components</i> , 387 F.3d 522 (6th Cir. 2004).....	<i>passim</i>

<i>Lexmark Int’l v. Static Control Components</i> , 134 S. Ct. 1377 (2014) .....	9
<i>MDY Indus. v. Blizzard Entm’t</i> , 629 F.3d 928 (9th Cir. 2010) .....	11
<i>Mitel, Inc. v. Iqtel, Inc.</i> , 124 F.3d 1366 (10th Cir. 1997) .....	13
<i>Oracle Am. v. Google</i> , 750 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	15
<i>Sega Enters. v. Accolade, Inc.</i> , 977 F.2d 1510 (9th Cir. 1992) .....	15
<i>Sony Comput. Entm’t v. Connectix Corp.</i> , 203 F.3d 596 (9th Cir. 2000) .....	15
<i>Southco, Inc. v. Kanebridge Corp</i> , 390 F.3d 276 (3d Cir. 2004) .....	13
<i>Star Athletica v. Varsity Brands</i> , 137 S. Ct. 1002 (2017) .....	14
<i>Static Control Components v. Lexmark Int’l</i> , Nos. Civ. A. 02-571, Civ. A. 04-84, 2007 WL 1485770 (E.D. Ky. Apr. 18, 2007) .....	10
<i>Universal City Studios v. Corley</i> , 273 F.3d 429 (2d Cir. 2001) .....	11
<b>STATUTES</b>	
17 U.S.C. § 102 .....	12

17 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....3, 12, 14  
17 U.S.C. § 1201(a) .....*passim*

**REGULATIONS**

37 C.F.R. § 202.1(a).....3, 13  
37 C.F.R. pt. 201 .....10

**GOVERNMENTAL AUTHORITIES**

Environmental Protection Agency, Comprehensive Procurement Guidelines for Non-paper Office Products .....2  
  
Exemption to Prohibition on Circumvention of Copyright Protection Systems for Access Control Technologies, 83 Fed. Reg. 54010 (Oct. 26, 2018).....10  
  
U.S. Copyright Office, A Report of the Register of Copyrights, *Section 1201 of Title 17* (June 2017) .....11, 12  
  
U.S. Copyright Office, A Report of the Register of Copyrights, *Software-Enabled Consumer Products* (Dec. 2016).....11, 12

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

Adam Minter, *U.S. Farmers Are Being Bled by the Tractor Monopoly*, Bloomberg (Apr. 23, 2019) ...9  
  
Brian Barrett, *Keurig’s My K-Cup Retreat Shows We Can Beat DRM*, Wired (May 8, 2015) .....10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.