

No.

---

---

In the Supreme Court of the United States

---

GOOGLE LLC, PETITIONER

v.

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

---

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

---

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

---

THOMAS C. GOLDSTEIN  
GOLDSTEIN & RUSSELL, P.C.  
5225 Wisconsin Avenue,  
N.W., Suite 404  
Washington, DC 20015

ROBERT A. VAN NEST  
CHRISTA M. ANDERSON  
EUGENE M. PAIGE  
REID P. MULLEN  
KEKER, VAN NEST  
& PETERS LLP  
633 Battery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111

BRUCE W. BABER  
MARISA C. MALECK  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1180 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Atlanta, GA 30309

KANNON K. SHANMUGAM  
*Counsel of Record*  
CHARLES L. MCCLLOUD  
MENG JIA YANG  
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP  
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 434-5000  
kshanmugam@wc.com

MICHAEL S. KWUN  
KWUN BHANSALI LAZARUS  
LLP  
555 Montgomery Street,  
Suite 750  
San Francisco, CA 94111

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

The Copyright Act provides that, while “original works of authorship” are generally eligible for copyright protection, 17 U.S.C. 102(a), “[i]n no case does copyright protection for an original work of authorship extend to any idea, procedure, process, system, method of operation, concept, principle, or discovery, regardless of the form in which it is described, explained, illustrated, or embodied in such work,” 17 U.S.C. 102(b). The Act also makes clear that “the fair use of a copyrighted work \* \* \* is not an infringement of copyright.” 17 U.S.C. 107.

As is relevant here, software interfaces are lines of computer code that allow developers to operate prewritten libraries of code used to perform particular tasks. Since the earliest days of software development, developers have used interfaces to access essential tools for building new computer programs. Contravening that longstanding practice, the Federal Circuit in this case held both that a software interface is copyrightable and that petitioner’s use of a software interface in a new computer program cannot constitute fair use as a matter of law.

The questions presented are:

1. Whether copyright protection extends to a software interface.
2. Whether, as the jury found, petitioner’s use of a software interface in the context of creating a new computer program constitutes fair use.

### **CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Petitioner Google LLC is an indirect subsidiary of Alphabet Inc., a publicly held company. Alphabet Inc. has no parent corporation, and no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.

(II)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Opinions below .....	1
Jurisdiction .....	2
Statutory provisions involved .....	2
Statement.....	2
A. Background .....	4
B. Procedural history.....	7
Reasons for granting the petition.....	11
A. This Court should grant review to decide whether copyright protection extends to a software interface .....	11
B. This Court should grant review to decide whether, as the jury found, petitioner’s use of a software interface in the context of creating a new computer program constitutes fair use .....	21
C. The questions presented are exceptionally important and warrant review in this case .....	29
Conclusion.....	33

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases:

<i>American Society for Testing &amp; Materials</i> <i>v. Public.Resource.Org, Inc.</i> , 896 F.3d 437 (D.C. Cir. 2018) .....	24
<i>Apple Computer, Inc. v. Franklin Computer Corp.</i> , 714 F.2d 1240 (3d Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 464 U.S. 1033 (1984).....	13, 15
<i>ATC Distribution Group, Inc. v. Whatever It Takes</i> <i>Transmissions &amp; Parts, Inc.</i> , 402 F.3d 700 (6th Cir. 2005).....	13
<i>Baker v. Selden</i> , 101 U.S. (11 Otto) 99 (1880).....	17, 18, 19
<i>Bill Graham Archives v. Dorling Kindersley Ltd.</i> , 448 F.3d 605 (2d Cir. 2006) .....	29

(III)

IV

	Page
Cases—continued:	
<i>Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc.</i> , 510 U.S. 569 (1994).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Computer Associates International, Inc.</i> <i>v. Altai, Inc.</i> , 982 F.2d 693 (2d Cir. 1992).....	13, 14, 31
<i>Engineering Dynamics, Inc. v. Structural Software, Inc.</i> : 26 F.3d 1335 (5th Cir. 1994).....	14
46 F.3d 408 (5th Cir. 1995).....	14
<i>Ets-Hokin v. Skyy Spirits, Inc.</i> , 225 F.3d 1068 (9th Cir. 2000).....	15
<i>Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.</i> , 499 U.S. 340 (1991).....	17
<i>Harper &amp; Row Publishers, Inc.</i> <i>v. Nation Enterprises</i> , 471 U.S. 539 (1985).....	28
<i>Kregos v. Associated Press</i> , 937 F.2d 700 (2d Cir. 1991), cert. denied, 510 U.S. 1112 (1992).....	15
<i>Lexmark International, Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.</i> , 387 F.3d 522 (6th Cir. 2004).....	13, 15, 16, 20
<i>Lotus Development Corp. v. Borland International, Inc.</i> : 516 U.S. 233 (1996).....	2, 12
49 F.3d 807 (1st Cir. 1995).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Mitel, Inc. v. Iqtel, Inc.</i> , 124 F.3d 1366 (10th Cir. 1997).....	14
<i>Riley v. California</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2473 (2014).....	4
<i>Sega Entertainments v. Accolade</i> , 977 F.2d 1510 (9th Cir. 1992).....	17, 24, 27, 31
<i>Seltzer v. Green Day</i> , 725 F.3d 1170 (9th Cir. 2013).....	25
<i>Sony Computer Entertainment v. Connectix Corp.</i> , 203 F.3d 596 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 871 (2000).....	17, 23, 24, 31
<i>Stewart v. Abend</i> , 495 U.S. 207 (1990).....	23
<i>Swatch Group Management Services Ltd.</i> <i>v. Bloomberg L.P.</i> , 756 F.3d 73 (2d Cir. 2014).....	24, 29

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.