

No. 18-956

---

---

In The  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

—◆—  
GOOGLE LLC,

*Petitioner,*

v.

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

*Respondent.*

—◆—  
**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari  
To The United States Court Of Appeals  
For The Federal Circuit**

—◆—  
**BRIEF OF 65 INTELLECTUAL  
PROPERTY SCHOLARS AS *AMICI CURIAE*  
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

—◆—  
PAMELA SAMUELSON  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,  
BERKELEY, SCHOOL OF LAW  
892 Simon Hall  
Berkeley, CA 94720

CATHERINE CRUMP  
*Counsel of Record*  
SAMUELSON LAW,  
TECHNOLOGY & PUBLIC  
POLICY CLINIC  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,  
BERKELEY, SCHOOL OF LAW  
353 Boalt Hall  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
(510) 292-6860  
ccrump@law.berkeley.edu

---

---

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964  
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i> .....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	2
ARGUMENT .....	5
I. The Federal Circuit’s Merger Analysis Conflicts With This Court’s Ruling In <i>Baker v. Selden</i> As Well As With Decisions From Five Circuit Courts .....	5
A. The Federal Circuit’s Merger Analysis Is Contrary To <i>Baker v. Selden</i> .....	5
B. The Federal Circuit’s Merger Analysis Conflicts With Many Post- <i>Baker</i> Pre- cedents .....	8
C. The Second Circuit Has Rejected The Third And Federal Circuits’ Narrow Conception Of Merger .....	11
II. Circuit Courts Are Split On The Scope Of Copyright Protections For Software.....	12
A. A Circuit Split Exists About Applica- tions Of § 102(b) System And Method Exclusions In Software Copyright Cases.....	13
B. A Circuit Split Exists On The Viability Of Compatibility Defenses .....	14

TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

	Page
C. A Circuit Split Exists On Whether The “Structure, Sequence, And Organization” (SSO) Of Computer Programs Is Protectable Expression As Long As It Exhibits Some Creativity .....	15
D. A Circuit Split Exists Over Whether Copyright and Utility Patents Can Provide Overlapping Protections To Program Innovations.....	17
III. The Federal Circuit’s Decision Opens A Circuit Split On The Copyrightability Of Words And Short Phrases.....	19
CONCLUSION.....	22
APPENDIX	
List of <i>Amici</i> .....	1a

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Apple Computer, Inc. v. Franklin Computer, Inc.</i> , 714 F.2d 1240 (3d Cir. 1983) .....	14
<i>Arica Inst., Inc. v. Palmer</i> , 970 F.2d 1067 (2d Cir. 1992) .....	5
<i>ATC Distrib. Grp. v. Whatever It Takes Trans- missions &amp; Parts, Inc.</i> , 402 F.3d 700 (6th Cir. 2005) .....	20, 21
<i>Baker v. Selden</i> , 101 U.S. 99 (1880) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Bateman v. Mnemonics, Inc.</i> , 79 F.3d 1532 (11th Cir. 1996) .....	11, 15, 16
<i>Code Revision Comm’n v. PublicResource.Org, Inc.</i> , 906 F.3d 1229 (11th Cir. 2018) .....	10
<i>Computer Assocs. Int’l, Inc. v. Altai, Inc.</i> , 982 F.2d 693 (2d Cir. 1992) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Dun &amp; Bradstreet Software Servs., Inc. v. Grace Consulting, Inc.</i> , 307 F.3d 197 (3d Cir. 2002) .....	14
<i>Gates Rubber Co. v. Bando Chem. Indus., Ltd.</i> , 9 F.3d 823 (10th Cir. 1993) .....	17, 19
<i>Lexmark Int’l, Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.</i> , 387 F.3d 522 (6th Cir. 2004) .....	10, 15, 21
<i>Lotus Dev. Corp. v. Borland Int’l, Inc.</i> , 49 F.3d 807 (1st Cir. 1995), <i>aff’d by an equally divided Court</i> , 516 U.S. 233 (1996) .....	3, 13
<i>Mazer v. Stein</i> , 347 U.S. 201 (1954) .....	18
<i>Mitel, Inc. v. Iqtel, Inc.</i> , 124 F.3d 1366 (10th Cir. 1997) .....	22

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
<i>MiTek Holdings, Inc. v. Arce Eng'g Co.</i> , 89 F.3d 1548 (11th Cir. 1996).....	10, 19
<i>Morrissey v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> , 379 F.2d 675 (1st Cir. 1967) .....	8, 9
<i>Sega Enters. Ltd. v. Accolade, Inc.</i> , 977 F.2d 1510 (9th Cir. 1992).....	15
<i>Southco, Inc. v. Kanebridge Corp.</i> , 390 F.3d 276 (3d Cir. 2004) (en banc) .....	20
<i>Taylor Instrument Cos. v. Fawley-Brost Co.</i> , 139 F.2d 98 (7th Cir. 1943).....	18, 19
<i>Veeck v. Southern Bldg. Code Cong. Int'l</i> , 293 F.3d 791 (5th Cir. 2002).....	9, 10
<i>Whelan Assocs., Inc. v. Jaslow Dental Lab., Inc.</i> , 797 F.2d 1222 (3d Cir. 1986) .....	11, 12, 15, 16

## CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. art. I, § 8, cl. 8.....	3
-------------------------------------	---

## STATUTES

17 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	3, 12, 13
-------------------------	-----------

## RULES

37 C.F.R. § 202.1 .....	21
-------------------------	----

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.