

No. 19-1181

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

THE ESTATE OF THOMAS STEINBECK, GAIL KNIGHT
STEINBECK, AND THE PALLADIN GROUP, INC.,

Petitioners,

vs.

WAVERLY SCOTT KAFFAGA, as Executor of the
Estate of Elaine Anderson Steinbeck,

Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* THE AUTHORS
GUILD INC., DRAMATISTS GUILD OF
AMERICA, INC., THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF
JOURNALISTS AND AUTHORS and RALPH
OMAN IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

RAYMOND J. DOWD
Counsel of Record
OLIVERA MEDENICA
DUNNINGTON BARTHOLOW
& MILLER LLP
230 Park Avenue, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10169
(212) 682-8811
rdowd@dunnington.com
Attorneys for Amici Curiae

Table of Contents

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iv
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	4
ARGUMENT	7
I. THE ISSUE OF COPYRIGHT TERMINATION RAISED BY THIS CASE IS OF EXTRAORDINARY IMPORTANCE BECAUSE RELIANCE ON LEGISLATIVE INTENT TO UNDERMINE INALIENABLE FUTURE TERMINATION RIGHTS GRANTED BY THE PLAIN LANGUAGE OF THE COPYRIGHT ACT IS CAUSING UNCERTAINTY AND PROMPTING LITIGATION NATIONWIDE	7
A. In the 1976 Copyright Act Congress Makes Termination of a Prior Transfer an Inalienable Right of Recapture	11
B. Congress Permitted Termination of Copyright Grants Made Prior to the 1976 Act.....	13
C. 1998–Congress Extends Copyright Duration Again, Grants Authors a Second Inalienable Right of Recapture.....	13

D.	To Avoid Authors and Families Alienating Their Rights Before Having Full Economic Power, Congress Invalidates Grants of Termination Rights That Pre-Date The Effective Date of Termination ...	14
E.	The Copyright Office Is Giving Advice To The Public That Conflicts With The Decision Below ..	15
II.	BECAUSE COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL WAS APPLIED TOO BROADLY, PETITIONERS WERE DEPRIVED OF PROPERTY RIGHTS AND THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL GUARANTEED BY THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION	15
A.	The Application of Collateral Estoppel To Preclude Gail's Defenses Was Particularly Unjust Because She Was Not A Party To The First-Filed Litigation Involving The Scope of Collateral Estoppel	16
B.	This Second-Filed Diversity Action For Breach of Contract and Tortious Interference	18
C.	The Trial Court's Exclusion of Petitioners' Copyright Law Expert...	19

III. THE DECISION BELOW
THREATENS THE UNIFORMITY OF
THE NATIONAL COPYRIGHT
REGIME BECAUSE IT PERMITTED
A MONETARY JUDGMENT ON
CALIFORNIA TORT CLAIMS
INCONSISTENT WITH THE
PREEMPTION OF SECTION 301 OF
THE COPYRIGHT ACT AND
GRANTING REVIEW COULD
RESOLVE A CIRCUIT SPLIT20

IV. IN THE ALTERNATIVE, BECAUSE
THIS CASE RAISES IMPORTANT
QUESTIONS INVOLVING THE
COPYRIGHT OFFICE’S
ADMINISTRATION OF
TERMINATION RIGHTS AND
ADVICE TO THE PUBLIC, THIS
COURT SHOULD SOLICIT THE
VIEWS OF THE SOLICITOR
GENERAL22

V. IN THE ADDITIONAL
ALTERNATIVE, AMICI CURIAE
RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT
THIS COURT GRANT, VACATE AND
REMAND FOR A RULING ON
WHETHER THE 1983 AGREEMENT
IS AN “AGREEMENT TO THE
CONTRARY” WITH RESPECT TO
THE FILM RIGHTS TO *GRAPES OF
WRATH* AND *EAST OF EDEN*22

CONCLUSION25

Table of Authorities

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Altera Corp v. Clear Logic, Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1079 (9th Cir. 2005).....	21
<i>Baldwin v EMI Feist Catalog, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 18 (2d Cir. 2015)	11, 12, 14
<i>Clark v. Young & Co.</i> , 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 181 (1803)	16
<i>Feltner v Columbia Pictures Tel., Inc.</i> , 523 U.S. 340 (1998).....	16
<i>Fred Fisher Music Co., Inc. v. M. Witmark & Sons</i> , 318 U.S. 643 (1943).....	9, 10, 11
<i>G. Ricordi & Co. v. Paramount Pictures, Inc.</i> , 189 F.2d 469 (2d. Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 342 U.S. 849 (1951).....	10
<i>Harper & Row Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enters.</i> , 723 F.2d 195 (2d Cir. 1983) <i>rev'd on other grounds</i> , 471 U.S. 539 (1985).....	21
<i>Kaffaga v. Estate of Steinbeck</i> , 938 F.3d 1006 (9th Cir. 2019).....	5
<i>Kaffaga v. Steinbeck</i> , 18:55336, 12/07/2018	18, 19
<i>Kaffaga v. Steinbeck</i> , 2016 WL 11187014 (C.D. Ca. 11/10/2016)	17, 18
<i>Kaffaga v. Steinbeck</i> , Case 2:14-cv-08699-TJH-FFM (C.D. Cal.) Docket Number 171-2 1/18/2017.....	8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.