

Nos. 19-1434, 19-1452, 19-1458

In the Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES,
Petitioner,

v.

ARTHREX, INC., et al.,
Respondents.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

ARTHREX, INC., et al.,
Respondents.

ARTHREX, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., et al.,
Respondents.

**On Writs of Certiorari to the United States
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit**

**BRIEF OF PROFESSOR JOHN HARRISON AS
AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF NEITHER PARTY**

JOHN HARRISON
Counsel of Record
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
SCHOOL OF LAW
580 Massie Road
Charlottesville, VA 22903
(434) 924-3093
jh8m@law.virginia.edu

December 1, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iv

INTEREST OF *AMICUS CURIAE* 1

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT..... 1

ARGUMENT 4

 I. The Court of Appeals Relied on the Mistaken Assumption that Courts Give Remedies that Change the Content of Statutory Law..... 4

 A. The Court of Appeals Decided the Case on the Assumption that Its Decision Changed the Content of the Statutory Law, Transforming a Principal Office into an Inferior Office..... 4

 B. Invalidation, Severance of Unconstitutional Statutory Provisions, and the Activation of Statutory Fallback Systems, Are Brought About by the Constitution and the Statutes Involved, and Are Not Remedies by Which Courts Change the Content of the Law 5

 C. The Possibility that Lower Courts May Resolve the Same Question Differently Demonstrates that Courts Do Not Give Remedies that Change the Content of Statutory Law, But Rather Set Precedents, the Scope of Which is Limited for Courts Other than this Court..... 9

II. Because Invalidity Arises, and Statutory
Fallbacks Are Effective, Ab Initio, If the
Court of Appeals Was Correct on the
Constitutional and Fallback Issues, the
Appointments of APJs at Issue In this Case
Were Valid When Made and Did Not
Become Valid Only After the Lower Court’s
Decision 14

CONCLUSION..... 18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Alaska Airlines, Inc. v. Brock</i> , 480 U.S. 678 (1987).....	16
<i>Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	4, 5, 7, 15
<i>Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood of N. New England</i> , 546 U.S. 320 (2006).....	8
<i>Barr v. Am. Ass’n of Pol. Consultants, Inc.</i> , 140 S. Ct. 2335 (2020).....	6, 16
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i> , 478 U.S. 714 (1986).....	7, 17
<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Accounting Oversight Bd.</i> , 561 U.S. 477 (2010).....	12
<i>Intercollegiate Broad. Sys., Inc. v. Copyright Royalty Bd.</i> , 684 F. 3d 1332 (D.C. Cir. 2012).....	11, 12
<i>Leavitt v. Jane L.</i> , 518 U.S. 137 (1996).....	8
<i>Lucia v. SEC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018).....	5
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i> , 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803).....	6
<i>Myers v. United States</i> , 272 U.S. 52 (1926).....	15, 16
<i>United States v. Booker</i> , 543 U.S. 220 (2005).....	8

<i>United States v. Davis</i> , 139 S. Ct. 2319 (2019).....	6, 7
--	------

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION

U.S. Const. art. II, § 2, cl. 2	7
---------------------------------------	---

STATUTES

5 U.S.C. § 703.....	10
5 U.S.C. § 7513(a)	9, 11, 13, 15
17 U.S.C. §§ 801-02.....	11
17 U.S.C. § 802(i)	12
28 U.S.C. § 1295.....	10, 12
28 U.S.C. § 1331.....	10
28 U.S.C. § 1491(a)	12
28 U.S.C. § 2201.....	10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.