

No. 19-631

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

WILLIAM P. BARR, ATTORNEY GENERAL; FEDERAL
COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

Petitioners,

v.

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF POLITICAL CONSULTANTS,
INC., ET AL.,

Respondents,

**On Writ of Certiorari
to the United States Court of Appeals
for the Fourth Circuit**

**BRIEF FOR *AMICUS CURIAE*
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS**

STEVEN P. LEHOTSKY
TARA S. MORRISSEY
U.S. CHAMBER
LITIGATION CENTER
1615 H St., N.W.
Washington, DC 20062

SHAY DVORETZKY
Counsel of Record
JEFFREY R. JOHNSON
JONES DAY
51 Louisiana Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 879-3939
sdvoretzky@jonesday.com

*Counsel for Amicus Curiae
Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	ii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT.....	8
I. THE ATDS PROVISION HAS BECOME A TREMENDOUS SOURCE OF MERITLESS LITIGATION	8
A. Congress Targeted Random and Sequential Dialing Machines	8
B. The FCC Creates Uncertainty and Chaos Ensues.....	11
C. Meritless ATDS Litigation Still Plagues the Federal Courts.....	12
II. THIS COURT SHOULD INVALIDATE THE PROHIBITION IF IT CONCLUDES THAT THE TCPA’S SCHEME IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL.....	18
A. Precedent and Policy Require Striking the Ban, Not Severing the Exemption.....	19
B. The Government’s Arguments Are Wrong	23
III. CALLERS MAY NOT BE HELD LIABLE FOR CALLS PLACED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL REGIME.....	30
CONCLUSION	32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>ACA Int’l v. FCC</i> , 885 F.3d 687 (D.C. Cir. 2018)	1, 11, 12, 15
<i>Ammons v. Ally Fin., Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 3134619 (M.D. Tenn. June 27, 2018)	14
<i>Ark. Writers’ Project, Inc. v. Ragland</i> , 481 U.S. 221 (1987)	20
<i>AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion</i> , 563 U.S. 333 (2011)	17
<i>Carey v. Brown</i> , 447 U.S. 455 (1980)	20
<i>City of Cincinnati v. Discovery Network, Inc.</i> , 507 U.S. 410 (1993)	21
<i>Dominguez v. Yahoo, Inc.</i> , 894 F.3d 116 (3d Cir. 2018).....	13
<i>Dorchy v. Kansas</i> , 264 U.S. 286 (1924)	24
<i>Duguid v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , 926 F.3d 1146 (9th Cir. 2019)	15
<i>Fitzhenry v. ADT Corp.</i> , 2014 WL 6663379 (S.D. Fla. Nov. 3, 2014)	17
<i>Frost v. Corporation Commission</i> , 278 U.S. 515 (1929)	26, 27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Gadelhak v. AT&T Servs., Inc.</i> , 950 F.3d 458 (7th Cir. 2020)	13, 14
<i>Glasser v. Hilton Grand Vacations Co.</i> , 948 F.3d 1301 (11th Cir. 2020)	13, 14
<i>Golan v. FreeEats.com, Inc.</i> , 930 F.3d 950 (8th Cir. 2019)	16
<i>Grayned v. City of Rockford</i> , 408 U.S. 104 (1972)	19, 20, 30, 31
<i>Greater New Orleans Broad. Ass'n v.</i> <i>United States</i> , 527 U.S. 173 (1999)	24
<i>In re Cargo Airline Ass'n Pet. for</i> <i>Expedited Declaratory Ruling</i> , 29 FCC Rcd. 5056 (2014)	22
<i>In re Rules & Regs. Implementing the</i> <i>TCPA</i> , 7 FCC Rcd. 8752 (1992)	10
<i>In re Rules & Regs. Implementing the</i> <i>TCPA</i> , 10 FCC Rcd. 12391 (1995).....	10
<i>In re Rules & Regs. Implementing the</i> <i>TCPA</i> , 18 FCC Rcd. 14014 (2003).....	11, 26
<i>In re Rules & Regs. Implementing the</i> <i>TCPA</i> , 30 FCC Rcd. 7961 (2015)	11, 17, 22, 31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Jiminez v. Credit One Bank, N.A.</i> , 377 F. Supp. 3d 324 (S.D.N.Y. 2019).....	14
<i>Lucia v. SEC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018)	21
<i>Marks v. Crunch San Diego, LLC</i> , 904 F.3d 1041 (9th Cir. 2018)	13, 15
<i>Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v.</i> <i>Minn. Comm’r of Revenue</i> , 460 U.S. 575 (1983)	21
<i>New York Times Co. v. Sullivan</i> , 376 U.S. 254 (1964)	28
<i>Osorio v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B.</i> , 746 F.3d 1242 (11th Cir. 2014)	15
<i>PDR Network, LLC v. Carlton & Harris</i> <i>Chiropractic, Inc.</i> , 139 S. Ct. 2051 (2019)	14
<i>Police Dep’t of the City of</i> <i>Chicago v. Mosley</i> , 408 U.S. 92 (1972)	19
<i>Rappa v. New Castle County</i> , 18 F.3d 1043 (3d Cir. 1994).....	21, 28
<i>Reed v. Town of Gilbert</i> , 135 S. Ct. 2218 (2015)	1, 20
<i>Reno v. ACLU</i> , 521 U.S. 844 (1997)	24

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.