

No. 19-6542

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

CHLORIS C. HALL,
Petitioner,

v.

AUTHOR SOLUTION, ET. AL,
Respondent.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to
the United States Court of Appeals for
the Seventh Circuit

CORRECTED PETITION FOR REHEARING

RECEIVED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS 2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES 3

PETITION FOR REHEARING 4

CONCLUSION 6

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH..... 7

CERTIFICATE FOR RULE 44.2.....7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Chloris C. Hall v. Lee Daniels Et. AL, No 1:18-cv-01349 (7th Dis. 2018)
.....6

Chloris C. Hall v. Author Solution Et. AL, No 18-3520 (7th Cir. 2019)
.....6

Chloris C. Hall v. Author Solution Et. AL, No 19-6542 (Sup US Ct . 2020)
.....6

Williams v. Gaye, No. 15-56880 (9th Cir. 2018).....6

Rules

Sup. Ct. R. 44.2 4

Sup. Ct. R. 1.....5

Sup. Ct. R. 7.....5

Constitution

Fourteenth amendment., Rights to due process.....4-6

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Petitioner Chloris C. Hall respectfully asks this Court to grant rehearing of this Court's January 13, 2020 order, pursuant to Rule 44 of this Court. *Chloris C. Hall v. Author Solution At Al*, No. 19-654. This corrected petition for rehearing calls the Court's attention to a recent development, since the denial of certiorari, that affects Petitioner's Fourteenth amendment "Rights to due process" question presented and may have affected the Court's consideration of the case.

On January 13, 2020, the United States Supreme Court rendered a Denial decision in *Chloris C. Hall v. Author Solution ET. AL*.

Ms. Hall case, involves the question of whether a Respondent have the rights to interfere with the Petitioner Fourteenth Amendment "Rights to due process" beyond a reasonable doubt, by using inside court resources available to the Respondents to render a denial of the case.

The fact that the Respondents were able to convince the Supreme court of United States law clerks to send Chloris C. Hall a case to mimic is proof that the Respondents has been indicating the direction of the Petitioner case by violating her Fourteenth amendment "Rights to due process."

Petitioner Chloris C. Hall received via priority mail case no. 19-165 Daniel T. Morgan petitioners v. Sheri A. Morgan Respondent from the Supreme court of United States law clerks to mimic.

The priority package included a fitted 6 by 9 brown envelop also addressed to the Petitioners with two booklets inside of the priority mail package that was

also addressed to the Petitioner. 1) a writ of certiorari 2) an appendix inside the brown envelop by case no. 19-165 Daniel T. Morgan petitioners v. Sheri A. Morgan.

The Respondent and law clerk assured that the Petitioner case will be denied by sending her case no. 19-165 Daniel T. Morgan petitioners v. Sheri A. Morgan to mimic knowing that case no. 19-165 had already been denied by the Justice.

Petitioner/Chloris C. Hall writ of certiorari and 19-165 Daniel T. Morgan petitioners v. Sheri A. Morgan Respondent, both writ of certiorari layouts and some arguments are exactly the same, because Petitioner/ Chloris C. Hall mimicked the writ of certiorari that was mailed to her by the law clerks.

Rule 1 Sup. Ct, The Clerk maintains the **Court's** records and will not permit any of them to be removed from the **Court** building except as authorized by the **Court**. Any document filed with the Clerk and made a part of the **Court's** records may not thereafter be withdrawn from the official **Court** files.

Rule 7 Sup. Ct, No law court has the rights to assist a Petitioner as an attorney by giving her cases to mimic.

The Respondents indicated the exact direction that was used by the Supreme Court law clerks by violating Chloris C. Hall/Petitioner Fourteenth amendment " Rights to due process" questioned presented in her writ of certiorari; by misapplying the Respondents " Illinois guide to civil practice"

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.