

No. 20A-_____

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

GEOPHYSICAL SERVICE, INCORPORATED,
Applicant,

v.

TGS-NOPEC GEOPHYSICAL COMPANY,
Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Don Cruse
Counsel of Record
Law Office of Don Cruse
1108 Lavaca Street,
Suite 110-436
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 853-9100
don.cruse@texasappellate.com

RULE 29.6 STATEMENT

The applicant, Geophysical Service, Incorporated, states that: (1) it has no parent corporation; and (2) no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.

No. 20A-_____

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

GEOPHYSICAL SERVICE, INCORPORATED,
Applicant,

v.

TGS-NOPEC GEOPHYSICAL COMPANY,
Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

TO THE HONORABLE SAMUEL A. ALITO, JR.,
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AND
CIRCUIT JUSTICE FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 13.5, the applicant Geophysical Service, Inc. (GSI) moves for an extension of time of 30 days, to and including January 13, 2020, to file a petition for writ of certiorari to review the decision and judgment of the Fifth Circuit in *Geophysical Service, Inc. v. TSG-NOPEC Geophysical Co.*, No. 18-20493 (5th Cir. Sept. 13, 2019) (per curiam). Jurisdiction rests on 28 U.S.C. §1254(1). Absent an extension, the deadline for filing the petition for writ of certiorari would be December 12, 2019. This application is being filed at least 10 days before that date. S. Ct. R. 13.5. The request for extension is not opposed.

1. This case presents important questions of copyright law, including the legal standard for determining the existence and proper scope of an “implied license” when a company in a regulated industry is required to deposit some of its copyrighted works with a foreign governmental regulator.

2. The dispute involves offshore seismographic survey materials created by GSI at its own expense, for the purpose of being licensed at a profit to other energy firms. The Fifth Circuit concluded that, by complying with a depository requirement of Canadian energy law, GSI had thereby conveyed an “implied license” under U.S. copyright law, and that this “implied license” was sufficiently broad for copies of its seismographic works to later be imported into the United States by TGS, a competing seismographic firm.

3. In its first opinion in this matter, the Fifth Circuit observed that this dispute involves “a question left open by *Kirtsaeng I*. ... the difficult interpretive puzzle of what it means for a copy manufactured abroad to have been ‘lawfully made under this title’ within the meaning of §109.” *Geophysical Serv. v. TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Co.*, 850 F.3d 785, 795 (5th Cir. 2017) (citing *Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*, 568 U.S. 519 (2013)).

4. The Fifth Circuit explained how “the facts of the instant case supply a good example of the puzzle”:

[A]s in *Kirtsaeng I*, the copies imported into the United States here were manufactured abroad, but unlike in *Kirtsaeng I*, the parties dispute whether those copies were lawfully made. TGS would have us look to Canadian law to determine the lawfulness of the Board’s making of the copies—it points to the fact that Canadian law appears to authorize the CNLOP Board to release copies of data submitted to it after ten years. Geophysical asks us instead to look to United States copyright principles ... Applying foreign law

seems to contradict the plain language of §109.... But applying United States law seems to foul the principle that the Copyright Act has no extraterritorial application, and creates some conceptual awkwardness where, like here, the foreign-made copies were made pursuant to some legal regime that finds no analog in United States law.”

850 F.3d at 795-96. The Fifth Circuit remanded for the district court to analyze this legal question in the first instance, and then to apply it to the facts of this case. *Id.* at 796.

5. On remand, the district court concluded that, under *Kirtsaeng I*, the question of whether copies were lawfully made abroad was controlled by United States copyright principles. *Geophysical Servs. v. TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Servs.*, No. 14-1368, 125 U.S.P.Q.2d 1118, 2017 WL 5598593, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 192803, at *25 (S.D.T.X. Nov. 21, 2017). Thus, it interpreted the phrase “lawfully made under this title” to “mean that a a copy is lawful if it was made ... in a foreign country in a manner that would comply with Title 17 if United States copyright law applied.” *Id.*

7. Applying its view of *Kirtsaeng I*, the district court then granted summary judgment on a “license” defense, concluding that a company like GSI doing business in Canada’s energy sector during this time was necessarily granting a copyright license to the Canadian government, not just to use the works for its own purposes, but to make copies of those works for importation back into the United States at the direction of TGS. The Fifth Circuit affirmed on the basis of implied license. Slip op. at 5 & 7 n.5.

8. The case involves important questions about “implied license” and, as the Fifth Circuit observed, one of those questions is how this type of “implied license” defense fits in the framework established by *Kirtsaeng I*, for which “the facts of the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.