

No. 20-148

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

MARVIN WASHINGTON; DB, AS PARENT OF INFANT AB;
JOSE BELEN; SC, AS PARENT OF INFANT JC; AND
CANNABIS CULTURAL ASSOCIATION, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

WILLIAM PELHAM BARR, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY
AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL; UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; TIMOTHY J. SHEA, IN HIS OFFICIAL
CAPACITY AS ACTING DIRECTOR OF THE DRUG ENFORCEMENT
ADMINISTRATION, UNITED STATES DRUG ENFORCEMENT
ADMINISTRATION, AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

**BRIEF OF THE LAST PRISONER PROJECT
AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

ELIYAHU S. SCHEIMAN
PORZIO, BROMBERG & NEWMAN, P.C.
100 SOUTHGATE PARKWAY
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962
(973) 889-4232
ESSCHEIMAN@PBNLAW.COM

MATTHEW J. DONOHUE
PORZIO, BROMBERG & NEWMAN, P.C.
100 SOUTHGATE PARKWAY
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962
(973) 810-6240
MJDONOHUE@PBNLAW.COM

GARY WEINSTEIN
COUNSEL OF RECORD
330 WASHINGTON ST.
PMB #368
HOBOKEN, NJ 07030
(551) 208-2447
GPW2@CORNELL.EDU

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT	5
I. THE DEA AND SECRETARY AZAR HAVE DEMONSTRATED BIAS AND IMPROPERLY PREDETERMINED THAT MARIJUANA CANNOT BE DESCHEDULED, RENDERING EXHAUSTION FUTILE.....	5
A. The DEA’s Established Bias and Record of Dilatory Review	8
B. Secretary Azar’s Demonstrated Bias	12
II. THE DEA’S FAILURE TO CONSIDER DESCHED- ULING PERPETUATES RACIAL BIAS AND SOCIETAL HARMS BY DRIVING MARIJUANA CRIMINALIZATION AND UNEQUAL ENFORCE- MENT	15
CONCLUSION.....	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>In re Scottsdale Research Institute, LLC</i> , Case No. 19-1120 (D.C. Cir. 2019).....	10, 12
<i>McCarthy v. Madigan</i> , 503 U.S. 140 (1992)	4, 8
<i>N.O.R.M.L. v. Drug Enforcement Admin.</i> , 559 F.2d 735 (D.C. Cir. 1977)	6, 8
<i>Porter v. Nussle</i> , 534 U.S. 516 (2002)	4
<i>Suzanne Sisley, M.D., et al. v. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, et al.</i> , No. 20-71433 (9th Cir. August 18, 2020)	7, 11
<i>Washington v. Barr</i> , 925 F.3d 109 (2d Cir. 2019).....	4, 5, 7, 8
STATUTES	
21 U.S.C. § 801 <i>et seq.</i>	passim
21 U.S.C. § 811.....	6, 14
21 U.S.C. § 823(a)	11
N.J.S.A. 24:6I-2.....	7
R.I.S. 21-28.6-2.....	7
JUDICIAL RULES	
Sup. Ct. R. 10(a).....	5
Sup. Ct. R. 37.2(a)	1
Sup. Ct. R. 37.6.....	1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
REGULATIONS	
84 Fed. Reg. 44,920 (Aug. 27, 2019).....	10
<i>Denial of Petition to Initiate Proceedings to Reschedule Marijuana</i> , CFR Chapter II and Part 1301, Fed. Register, Vol. 156, 53688, Aug. 12, 2016 (<i>quoting N.O.R.M.L.</i> 559 F.2d at 751).....	6, 8, 15
<i>Denial of Petition to Initiate Proceedings to Reschedule Marijuana</i> , CFR Chapter II and Part 1301, Fed. Register, Vol. 156, 53689, Aug. 12, 2016	9
Federal Register, Vol. 81, No. 156, 53696 (August 12, 2016)	14
TREATISES	
Alex Azar, <i>Remarks on Surgeon General’s Marijuana Advisory</i> , https://www.hhs.gov/surgeongeneral/reports-and-publications/addiction-and-substance-misuse/advisory-on-marijuana-use-and-developing-brain/index.html	12
<i>Licensing Marijuana Cultivation in Compliance with the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs</i> , 42 Op. O.L.C. (June 6, 2018)	11
Office of the Surgeon General, <i>U.S. Surgeon General’s Advisory: Marijuana Use and the Developing Brain</i> ,	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
https://www.hhs.gov/about/leadership/secretary/speeches/2019-speeches/remarks-on-surgeon-general-marijuana-advisory.html	13
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, <i>Results from the 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health</i>	16
U.S. Census, <i>ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates</i> , 2018, https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=2020%20population%20estimates&tid=ACSDP1Y2018.DP05&t=Counts,%20Estimates,%20and%20Projections	7
 OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Angela Dills, Sietse Goffard and Jeffrey Mironm, <i>Dose of Reality: The Effect of State Marijuana Legalizations</i> , CATO Institute, September 16, 2016, https://object.cato.org/sites/cato.org/files/pubs/pdf/pa799.pdf	17
E. Edwards, E. Greyak, B. Madubounwu, et al. <i>A Tale of Two Countries: Racially Targeted Arrests in the Era of Marijuana Reform</i> , ACLU Report, 2020, https://www.aclu.org/report/tale-two-countries-racially-targeted-arrests-era-marijuana-reform	15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.