

No. 20-148

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

MARVIN WASHINGTON; DB, AS PARENT OF INFANT AB;
JOSE BELEN; SC, AS PARENT OF INFANT JC; AND
CANNABIS CULTURAL ASSOCIATION, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

WILLIAM PELHAM BARR, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY
AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL; UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; TIMOTHY J. SHEA, IN HIS OFFICIAL
CAPACITY AS ACTING DIRECTOR OF THE DRUG ENFORCEMENT
ADMINISTRATION, UNITED STATES DRUG ENFORCEMENT
ADMINISTRATION, AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

**BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE
CR_eDO SCIENCE; THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
ENDOCANNABINOID MEDICINE; ETHAN RUSSO, M.D.;
AND JAHAN MARCU, Ph.D. IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

DAVID KOTLER
COUNSEL OF RECORD
HOBAN LAW GROUP
730 17TH STREET
SUITE 420
DENVER, CO 80202
(303) 674-7000
DAVIDK@HOBAN.LAW

SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

COUNSEL FOR AMICI CURIAE

QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether the court should grant Petitioners Marvin Washington, DB, as parent of infant AB, Jose Belen, SC, as parent of infant JC, and Cannabis Cultural Association, Inc. (“Petitioners”) Writ of Certiorari to determine whether the federal scheduling and criminalization of marijuana based on 21 USC § 812, without exception, violates the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and whether the requirement that an injured party must first exhaust administrative remedies to seek relief is consistent with the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTION PRESENTED	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	4
ARGUMENT	6
I. LEGAL BACKGROUND	6
II. THE FEDERAL SCHEDULING OF MARIJUANA UNDERMINES PUBLIC HEALTH	6
a. The Federal Status of Marijuana Impedes Upon Scientific Research and Obstructs the Publishing of Findings	7
b. Advocates and Opponents Agree that Rescheduling will not resolve the Obstacles Researchers Face	12
CONCLUSION.....	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

<i>Washington v. Barr</i> , 925 F.3d 109 (2d Cir. 2018).....	4
---	---

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. amend. V.....	i, 5
---------------------------	------

STATUTES

21 U.S.C. § 802.....	1
21 USC § 812.....	i
21 USC § 812(b)(1).....	7

JUDICIAL RULES

Sup. Ct. R. 37	1
----------------------	---

OTHER AUTHORITIES

A. Mead, <i>Legal and Regulatory Issues Governing Cannabis and Cannabis-Derived Products in the United States</i> , FRONT PLANT SCIENCE, 10 (2019)	8
--	---

A. Mead, <i>The Legal Status of Cannabis (Marijuana) and Cannabidiol (CBD) Under U.S. Law</i> , EPILEPSY & BEHAVIOR, 70 (May 2017)	8
--	---

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
A.J. Soroosh, R. Henderson, L. Dodson, C.S. Mitchell, J.W. Fahey, <i>Mitigating Potential Public Health Problems Associated with Edible Cannabis Products Through Adequate Regulation: a Landscape Analysis</i> , CRITICAL REVIEWS IN FOOD SCIENCE AND NUTRITION, 1 (2020).....	12
Bertha Mardas, <i>5 Reasons Marijuana is Not Medicine</i> , THE WASHINGTON POST, (April 29, 2016), https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/in- theory/wp/2016/04/29/5-reasons- marijuana-is-not-medicine/	13
C.G. Perrine, C.M. Pickens, T.K. Boehmer, B.A. King, et al., <i>Characteristics of a Multistate Outbreak of Lung Injury Associated with E-Cigarette Use, or Vaping—United State</i> , MORBIDITY MORTAL WEEKLY REPORT, 68 (2019)	10
C.M. Bowling, A.Y. Hafez, S.A. Glantz, <i>Public Health and Medicine’s Need to Respond to Cannabis Commercialization in the United States: A Commentary</i> , JOURNAL OF PSYCHOACTIVE DRUGS (2020)	8, 11
Chris Roberts, <i>Prohibitionist Group Co-Founder Backs Reclassifying Marijuana in Congressional Bid</i> , MARIJUANA MOMENT, (January 26, 2020), https://www.marijuanamoment.net/ wife-of-prohibitionist-group- co-founder- backs-reclassifying-marijuana-in- congressional-bid/	13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.