

No. 20-148

---

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

MARVIN WASHINGTON, *et al.*,

*Petitioners,*

*v.*

WILLIAM P. BARR, ATTORNEY GENERAL, *et al.*,

*Respondents.*

---

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED  
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

---

---

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* UNITED  
STATES REPRESENTATIVES IN  
SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

---

---

MICHAEL B. DE LEEUW

*Counsel of Record*

TAMAR S. WISE

COZEN O'CONNOR

45 Broadway, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 908-1331

mdeleeuw@cozen.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

*Representative Earl Blumenauer,*

*Representative Tulsi Gabbard,*

*Representative Jared Huffman,*

*Representative Barbara Lee,*

*Representative Alan Lowenthal,*

*Representative Mark Pocan, and*

*Representative Jamie Raskin*

---

---

298475

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTERESTS OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i> .....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	2
A. Background on Schedule I of the Controlled Substances Act.....	4
B. Research Demonstrates That Medicinal Cannabis—including Medical Marijuana— Has Legitimate Medicinal and Therapeutic Benefits .....	8
C. Failure to Deschedule Cannabis Has Directly and Negatively Impacted American Citizens Throughout the Country .....	12
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	15
I. In Light Of Research Showing Medical Efficacy, Rigid Application Of Schedule I To All Uses Of Cannabis Presents Constitutional Concerns As Applied To Petitioners .....	15
II. The <i>Potential</i> For A Legislative Solution— While Theoretical—Does Not Alleviate This Court’s Obligation to Resolve the Constitutional Concerns Identified In The Petition.....	18
CONCLUSION .....	22

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Alliance for Cannabis Therapeutics v. Drug Enforcement Admin.</i> , 15 F.3d 1131 (1994) .....	7
<i>Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia</i> , Nos. 17-1618, 17-1623, 140 S. Ct. 17310 (June 15, 2020).....	19
<i>CommCan, Inc. v. Baker</i> , No. 2084CV00808-BLS2, 2020 WL 1903822 (Mass. Sup. Ct. Apr. 16, 2020) .....	13
<i>Cruzan v. Missouri</i> , 497 U.S. 261 (1990).....	17, 18
<i>Grinspoon v. Drug Enforcement Admin.</i> , 828 F.2d 881, 886 (1st Cir. 1987) .....	16, 17
<i>James v. City of Costa Mesa</i> , 700 F.3d 394 (9th Cir. 2012).....	14
<i>John Doe, Inc. v. Drug Enforcement Admin.</i> , 484 F.3d 561 (D.C. Cir. 2007).....	17
<i>Rochin v. California</i> , 342 U.S. 165 (1952).....	18
<i>Union Pacific R. Co. v. Botsford</i> , 141 U.S. 250 11 S.Ct. 1000, 35 L.Ed. 734 (1891).....	18
<i>United States v. Windsor</i> , 574 U.S. 744 (2013).....	19

<i>U.S. v. Piaget</i> , 915 F.3d 138.....	17
<i>Washington v. Sessions</i> , No. 17-cv-5625-AKH (S.D.N.Y.) .....	21
<i>Winston v. Lee</i> , 470 U.S. 753 (1985).....	18

### **Statutes and Other Authorities**

21 U.S.C. § 811(a) .....	5
21 U.S.C. § 812(a) .....	4, 15
21 U.S.C. § 812(b) .....	4, 7, 15, 17
13 C.F.R. § 120.110(h) .....	13
28 C.F.R. § 0.100 .....	6
42 U.S.C. § 12210(a) .....	14
42 U.S.C. § 12210(d) .....	14
84 Stat. 1236, 1280-81 .....	6
84 Stat. 1247 (21 U.S.C. § 812(b)(1)) .....	4, 5
84 Stat. at 1245-46. (21 U.S.C. § 811(a)) .....	5
Andrew Keiper, <i>Disabled Iraq veteran faces five years in Alabama prison for legally prescribed medical marijuana</i> , FOX NEWS, Aug. 3, 2020 ..	14

Brief of Americans for Safe Access as Amicus Curiae in Support of Petitioners, at 6-7, <i>Washington v. Barr</i> , No. 20-148.....	8
Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11362.5 .....	11
63 Okla. Stat. § 420 <i>et seq.</i> .....	11
Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VII .....	19
Colo. Dep't of Pub. Health and Envn't, Amended Public Health Order 20-24 at III.C.4 (Mar. 25, 2020) .....	13
Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, P.L. 91-114 84 Stat. 1236..	4
Controlled Substances Act Schedule I.....	<i>passim</i>
Controlled Substances Act Schedule II .....	4
Controlled Substances Act Schedule III.....	4
Controlled Substances Act Schedule IV .....	4
Controlled Substances Act Schedule V.....	4
Controlled Substances Therapeutic Research Act, N.M. Stat. § 26-2A-1 <i>et seq.</i> .....	9
<i>Denial of Petition to Initiate Proceedings to Reschedule Marijuana</i> , No. DEA-427, 81 Fed. Reg. 53767 (Aug. 10, 2016) .....	7
Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Fifth Annual Report to the U.S. Congress, Marihuana and Health at 112 (1975) .....	9

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.